JCUR-43 U8L \$

CR 02930

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs -

GEORGE BETSAKA



Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Mr. Justice J.Z. Vertes, sitting at Fort Simpson, in the Northwest Territories, on September 14h, A.D., 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MS. U. ARVANETES

MR. H. LATIMER

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Accused

THE COURT: The accused has been convicted by a jury on a charge of aggravated assault.

On October 6, 1993, the accused was visiting at his sister's home in Fort Liard. He was drinking. His sister wanted to keep him out of the house because she and her children were trying to sleep. He was out on a balcony pounding on a door to get in. She went outside. They got into a fight. The accused pushed his sister causing her to fall off the balcony landing six feet below on the hard ground. The fall broke a vertbrae in the victim's back. She is now a complete paraplegic and confined to a wheelchair for the rest of her life. It's fair to say that her whole life has been devastated by this turn of events.

The crime of aggravated assault carries a potential maximum penalty of 14 years imprisonment. But there is a wide range of conduct that could lead to this offence being committed. The mental elements of the offence are the general intent to commit an assault and objective foreseeability of a risk of harm. The offence therefore could be committed by a deliberate pre-meditated act of violence with full intent to commit the harm caused. That would be at a high category of culpability. At a lower end would be the commission of what would ordinarily be a simple assault, but, due to recklessness on the part of the accused, wholly unintended but serious consequences

ensue. That is more like the case before me now.

The accused was drunk, he was reckless, and he was out of control. But I have no doubt that he never intended to cause the tragic consequences of his act. I am satisfied that he did not intend to cause any harm to his sister. But, while I must not place undue emphasis on these unintended consequences, I remind myself that Parliament, by setting the potential maximum penalty at 14 years, has decreed that more serious consequences must attract more serious penalties.

The accused is 45 years old. He lives in the small community of Nahanni Butte. He has a good reputation. But, he also has a record of criminal convictions. He has been convicted of seven offences since 1977. Among those are two offences that are related to this type of conduct. In 1989 he was convicted of assault causing bodily harm, and in 1994 he was convicted of common assault. I am also told that he has a long standing problem with the abuse of alcohol; his counsel describes him as an alcoholic. Obviously alcohol played a big factor in this event. I am sure that if the accused had not been drinking that night, his sister would still be able to walk. But what these two facts say to me, the fact that the accused has had a long standing problem with alcohol abuse and the fact that he has been convicted of

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

related offences previously, is that this conduct for which he now stands convicted does not stand wholly on character. It is not something that was totally unforeseen.

The accused is a mature man and I am sure over the years he has been made aware of how he acts when he drinks. So for that reason I cannot say that this is an offence that is almost accidental, or an offence that is totally out of character for the accused. The only thing that makes this offence different are the tragic consequences that ensued and that his sister will now have to live with for the rest of her life.

Taking all the relevant factors into account, I feel I have no alternative but to impose a penitentiary sentence in this case, although having regard to what I said earlier, the lack of any intent on the part of the accused to cause harm to his sister, I believe I can keep it at the lowest possible range.

Stand up, Mr. Betsaka. Mr. Betsaka, I am sure that you are sorry for what happened to your sister.

- 22 THE ACCUSED: Yes, Your Honour.
- 23 THE COURT: I am sure that if you could turn back
- the hands of time that you would do everything in your
- power to do so.
- 26 THE ACCUSED: Yes, Your Honour.
- 27 THE COURT: But I am also sure that you know that

wheelchair is because you got drunk and because you got reckless. While you are going to be serving some time in prison, you will be released at some point in the future, but your sister will never be released from the prison of that wheelchair. And that is, I think, what you will have to live with and that is probably a bigger punishment than anything I can impose.

It is the sentence of this Court that you serve a period of imprisonment of three years. Under the circumstances, considering where the accused lives, I do not think it would be appropriate to make any order under Section 100 nor a victim of crime surcharge in this case. Have a seat, Mr. Betsaka.

I will endorse the warrant of committal with the recommendation that Mr. Betsaka serve his sentence, if possible, in a facility here in the Northwest Territories.

20 MR. LATIMER: Thank you, My Lord.

21 THE COURT: And also that he be considered at an

early opportunity for counseling and treatment

programmes for alcohol addiction. Is there anything

else we need to deal with?

25 MS. ARVANETES: That is everything, sir.

Thank you counsel. Before we close I

want to thank everybody involved in this case for

1	their cooperation and efforts in making things go so
2	smoothly.
3	We will close court.
4 _	(AT WHICH TIME THIS MATTER WAS CONLCUDED)
5	
6	Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20
7	dated December 28, 1987.
8	
9	
10	faretta Lot
11	Loretta Mott, Court Reporter
12	Could Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	