Jen

CR03186

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

ROY JAMES EPP

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence delivered by The Honourable Mr. Justice J.E. Richard, sitting at Fort Simpson, in the Northwest Territories, on Friday, November 1, A.D. 1996.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. L. Rose:

Mr. M. Triggs:

On behalf of the Crown
On behalf of the Defence

(Charge under Section 4(2) of the Narcotic Control Act)

THE COURT: The offender before the Court this morning, Roy James Epp, is a man of 46 years of age, and he is now to be sentenced for a major infraction against the provisions of the Narcotic Control Act.

I am told that Mr. Epp is originally from the province of British Columbia and that he moved to this community of Fort Simpson some seven years ago. He is an experienced mechanic and has been steadily employed as such during his years here in Fort Simpson, notwithstanding his admitted problems with alcohol and drug use. He was in a common-law relationship with a local woman for five years, but that relationship apparently has now ended and he lives alone. He is the father of two children as a consequence of that relationship.

During the trial, the Court heard evidence that R.C.M.P. officers in Fort Simpson for some time were convinced that Mr. Epp was trafficking in marijuana within the community. In January of this year, they received a tip that Mr. Epp was bringing a substantial quantity of this narcotic into the community, and because of that tip, they were able to intercept Mr. Epp's shipment shortly after its arrival.

The amount of marijuana seized was weighed and found to be 957 grams. The shipment originated somewhere in the province of British Columbia, and the police estimate that it would have cost Mr. Epp between

\$6,000 and \$8,000. Depending on how Mr. Epp retailed this illegal product on the streets of Fort Simpson, that is, by the gram or by the quarter ounce, it had a potential retail value of between \$15,000 and \$17,000. It's obvious that Mr. Epp stood to make a substantial profit on this particular transaction. That was halted by the police investigation.

It is equally obvious that Mr. Epp, who candidly acknowledges a familiarity with the illegal marijuana trade over a period of 30 years, had to know -- he had to know that he was taking a substantial risk by bringing in such a quantity of this illegal narcotic, and yet he took that risk. Mr. Epp had to know that he was at risk for a substantial jail term if he got caught, and yet he took that risk. He did get caught and today is the day of reckoning.

He has been properly convicted of possession of two pounds of marijuana for the purposes of trafficking.

Mr. Epp may or may not have known of this Court's sentencing practices in cases of this nature. The courts of this jurisdiction, as counsel have stated, have always taken a very serious approach when it comes to imposing a punishment on those who are involved in bringing illegal drugs into northern communities.

Our northern communities are currently experiencing a great deal of social problems, problems

that are associated with cultural transition, unemployment, housing shortages, alcohol abuse, et cetera. The introduction of drug trafficking and drug use, particularly among the young people, simply aggravates that situation and causes further havoc to the social fabric of the community. Those who would profit from such havoc must be severely punished.

Mr. Epp's punishment will be incarceration in an institution far away from Fort Simpson. His punishment will be a removal of his liberty and his freedom to do as he chooses, which is something that most of us cherish in our daily lives.

Mr. Epp is not a stranger to the criminal justice system. He has a list of criminal convictions dating back to 1967 for a variety of transgressions including drinking and driving, assaults, thefts, and mischief. Most of these crimes resulted in the imposition of a fine or a period of probation.

The most aggravating feature of Mr. Epp's crime for which he is being sentenced this morning is the amount of the narcotic in his possession.

I find that there are no mitigating factors in the circumstances of this crime, nor in Mr. Epp's personal circumstances. Mr. Epp does not indicate any remorse. At his trial, he took the somewhat preposterous position that he brought in this amount of marijuana in January of this year strictly for his own personal

He is naive in the extreme to offer such an 1 incredible excuse to this Court, and perhaps that is 2 some indication of how the police were able to readily 3 catch him in the act. 4 Once he is released from his term of imprisonment, 6 one will hope that he will take up a different kind of 7 business enterprise. Please stand, Mr. Epp. 8 Roy James Epp, for the crime that you have 10 committed, the possession of a narcotic contrary to -for the purpose of trafficking, contrary to Section 11 4(2) of the Narcotic Control Act, it is the sentence of 12 this Court that you serve a term of imprisonment of 18 13 In the circumstances, there will be no victim months. 14 of crime surcharge. 15 You may sit down. 16 Mr. Rose? THE COURT: 17 18 MR. ROSE: My Lord, I would ask the Court to 19 make an order disposing of the exhibits after the expiration of the appeal period. 20 THE COURT: 21 An order will issue regarding the exhibits in the appropriate fashion. Anything else? 22 23 MR. TRIGGS: No, sir. THE COURT: 24 Fine. We'll close court. 25 26 27

1	
2	Certified Pursuant to Rule 723
3	
4	Jane Romanowich
5	Court Reporter
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	