CR 02711

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

LUCASSIE EQILAQ



Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Mr. Justice M. M. de Weerdt, at Sanikiluaq in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday, April 12th A.D., 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MS. B. SCHMALTZ:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. R. GORIN:

Counsel for the Accused

(CHARGED UNDER s. 146 CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA)

THE COURT: Lucassie Eqilaq is before the Court to be sentenced following his conviction after trial on a charge of unlawfully having sexual intercourse with a female person, not his wife and under the age of 14 years, between January 1st, 1975 and December 31st, 1976 at Sanikiluaq in the Northwest Territories, all contrary to Section 146 of the Criminal Code as it stood at that time.

The victim of this offence was nine years old at the time. The offender had told her not to tell anyone what he had done to her.

The evidence shows that she suffered some internal bleeding from her genitals as a result of the offence. More significant, she did not see her way to reporting the offence to the police until after the offender had been convicted of another and somewhat similar offence for which he was sentenced to serve a lengthy term of imprisonment.

That the victim has suffered serious psychological harm in her life as a consequence of the offence is presumed even if, as the evidence shows, she has been able to give birth to four children after coming to sexual maturity.

The offence is one for which the law provides a maximum penality of life imprisonment together with a possible firearms prohibition for a minimum period of ten years pursuant to Section 100 of the Criminal

Code.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

Even if the offence were subject to punishment at the reduced scale now provided under the law, it is clearly one which calls for a lengthy term of imprisonment even for a youthful first offender.

This Court imposed a sentence of eight years' imprisonment on a youthful first offender who pleaded guilty in a case of this kind which I disposed of at Hay River some nine years ago (see Regina v. Buckley (1986) Northwest Territories Reports, page 42. That sentence was within the range then in force for an offence of this nature.

I am satisfied that the same range applies today.

Counsel are agreed that no order under Section 100 of the Criminal Code should be made in this case and I therefore make none.

The offender is now close to 40 years of age. He was about 18 years old at the time of this offence and, since that time, he has accumulated a criminal record as follows:

February 21st, 1978 at Sanikiluaq, N.W.T., convicted of indecent assault on a female contrary to Section 149(1) of the Criminal Code, for which sentence was suspended for two years.

1982, October 6th at Sanikiluaq, Northwest

Territories, two counts; convicted, one of indecent
assault on a female under Section 149 of the Criminal

Code for which he was sentenced to six months' imprisonment with probation for a year. And a second count of indecent assault on a female contrary to Section 149 of the Criminal Code for which he was sentenced to 15 months' imprisonment consecutive with probation for two years consecutive to the probation on Count 1.

Then in 1988, December 9th, at Kuujarapik,
Province of Quebec, a conviction on two counts. First,
forcible confinement under Section 247(2) of the
Criminal Code for which sentence was suspended with
probation for a period of two years; and secondly,
break, enter, and commit an indictable offence
contrary to Section 306(1)(b) of the Criminal Code and
(d) of the Criminal Code for which sentence was
suspended and probation was ordered for a period of
two years with what I take to have been some form of
penalty in the amount of \$500.

In 1991, on October 24th at Sanikiluaq, Northwest Territories, the accused was convicted of sexual assault contrary to Section 271 of the Criminal Code for which he was sentenced to serve a term of five years' imprisonment and was subjected to a firearms prohibition for a period of five years.

In 1994, on August 30th at Sanikiluaq, Northwest
Territories, he was again convicted of sexual assault
according to this record but I am told that that

should be rape contrary to Section 143 of the Criminal Code for which he was sentenced to serve three years' imprisonment consecutive to the sentence last mentioned.

It is true that none of these offences had brought the offender into Court before he committed the offence for which he is now to be sentenced. So far as this offence is concerned, it was therefore committed while he was still a youthful first offender as was the situation in the case of Regina v. Buckley.

But it is also true that the offender has by now shown that he has had a serious problem in his choice of sexual partners and activities.

As I am informed by counsel, this is not the only young girl whom he has criminally assaulted and if the present offence had come before the Court in the year or years immediately following its commission, he might never have committed those other offences or, still another possibility, he would have been marked out by the time that he came to Court for those later offences as a potentially dangerous sexual offender.

Mr. Eqilaq, please stand.

As your counsel Mr. Gorin will no doubt advise
you, you now have the sort of very serious criminal
record of convictions for sexual offences which makes
you vulnerable to proceedings to have you declared a
dangerous offender. If you are so declared, you might

find yourself going to prison for a very long time and possibly for the rest of your life.

As you now know, the offence for which you are now to be sentenced is one which carries a maximum term of life imprisonment. This sort of crime is looked upon by decent people as disgusting and depraved, the sort of thing that a decent man could never do to a child. It is a cruel and irresponsible abuse of power by a person over a much smaller and weaker person.

Mr. Eqilaq, I noticed that many local people came up to you and shook your hand during the jury selection proceedings last Monday. This may be their way of showing you that they are prepared to welcome you back.

I will only say that I assume that they did not know the extent and nature of your criminal record, that they were unaware of what you have done to harm innocent victims of your sexually expressed need to feel powerful even if only over a defenseless, small child.

Have you anything to say before the Court passes sentence upon you? If so, please say so now. Do you wish to say anything?

THE ACCUSED:

Yes, I do. Just like the Court to know that I myself was abused by those older than I am. When I first got sentenced to five years, I was depressed. I am a widower a long time, since '88 and

1	'89, I have raised my boy for almost two or three
2	years, and we love each other very much. That's all I
3	have to say now.
4	THE COURT: Keeping in mind the principles of
5	sentencing law which I must apply in this case and all
6	of the circumstances, including the sentences that you
7	are now serving, it's clear to me that I have no
8	choice but to impose a sentence which will be seen to
9	uphold the law and which expresses the outrage and
10	disgust which the general public must naturally feel
11	for crimes of violence and particularly sexual crimes
12	against young children.
13	The sentence must discourage not only you but also
14	others who might otherwise commit such crimes. And it
15	must be tempered by the principle of totality so that
16	this sentence, when added to those that you are now
17	serving, will not be seen as excessive for those
18	purposes.
19	The sentence to be imposed will also serve to some
20	extent to protect you for some time to come from the
21	anger of those who might otherwise seek to exact their
22	revenge upon you. It will give you an opportunity to
23	work so as to rehabilitate yourself and atone for your
24	crime, and it will remove you from any opportunity to
25	repeat the offence for some time to come.
26	I direct the clerk to endorse the warrant of
27	committal to show this Court's recommendation that you

1	receive counselling and treatment during the period of
2	your incarceration for any sexual problems which you
3	may have in relation to young children. And to
4	recommend that upon your eventual release, steps be
5	taken to monitor your ability to refrain from the
6	temptation to repeat offences against young children.
7	You will be glad to know that the sentence you are
8	to serve is to be served concurrently with your
9	present sentences and not consecutively.
10	The sentence of this Court is that you shall serve
11	a term of imprisonment for eight years to be served
12	concurrently with your present sentences.
13	Please be seated.
14	Is there anything further then before we conclude?
15	Ms. Schmaltz?
16	MS. SCHMALTZ: Not on this case, My Lord.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Gorin?
18	MR. GORIN: No, My Lord.
19	THE COURT: I wish to thank the interpreters, the
20	court staff, and counsel for making it possible for us
21	to deal with these matters at this sittings and we
22	will now conclude. And I may add, the Royal Canadian
23	Mounted Police.
24	(AT WHICH TIME THIS SENTENCING CONCLUDED)
25	
26	
27	

ı		
	1	
	2	Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction
	3	dated December 28, 1987.
	4	
	5	
	6	Alunt
	7	Lois Hewitt, Court Reporter
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

Я