IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

ALVIN ROSS KAOSONI

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence delivered by
The Honourable Madam Justice V.A. Schuler, sitting at
Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, on Friday,
August 16, A.D. 1996.

APPEARANCES:

Ms. B. Schmaltz:

On behalf of the Crown

Mr. A. Mahar:

On behalf of the Defence

(Charges under Section 271 of the Criminal Code)



1	THE	COURT: Well, this matter comes before me
2		on a joint submission by Crown and defence for a
3		sentence of four years in jail, and I am sorry.
4		First of all, I should have asked you, Mr. Kaosoni, Is
5		there anything you would like to say before I sentence
6		you?
7	THE	ACCUSED: (No verbal response).
8	THE	COURT: Thank you. As I say, there is a
9		joint submission for four years in jail in this matter,
10		and I think it is fair to say that, generally speaking,
11		the Court is inclined to accept a joint submission
12		unless the joint submission itself is clearly
13		inappropriate; and in this case, based on what I have
14		heard, I am not inclined to the view that the
15		submission is inappropriate. I think that taking into
16		account, in particular, the young age of this offender
17		and the fact that one of the offences was committed
18		when he was only 17 years old, that this calls for
19		dealing with him differently than one would with
20		someone in their 20s or 30s.
21		With respect to the offences themselves, I will
22		simply indicate that they are three offences of what is
23		commonly known as major sexual assault. The first
24		offence, when Mr. Kaosoni was 17 years old, occurred in
25		a situation where he was at a party with the
26		complainant, it appears, or she was there too. They
27		were both intoxicated, and the accused at one point

threw her on a bed and had intercourse with her against her will despite the fact that she was resisting and screaming throughout that. That occurred sometime in February or March of 1995. Then in December of 1995, Mr. Kaosoni apparently entered the home of a 20-year-old woman, and without waking her up, proceeded to have sexual intercourse with her during which she did wake up. Yelled at him, he stopped and left the house. He was not drinking on that occasion. On April 19th, 1996, the last offence that we're dealing with, the accused was at a party and the complainant was also That was an incident where she had gone to bed, and while she was sleeping or passed out, the accused had intercourse with her without her knowledge. woke up the next morning and realized what had happened.

So these are very serious offences. Unfortunately they're the type of offence that occurs all too often and that the Court sees all too often with respect to young men.

Considering Mr. Kaosoni's age, there is obviously still, I would say, hope for rehabilitation, and considering as well his background and what I have been told about the difficult background that he has come from, there must be hope for him that he can in some way put that behind him and rearrange this life to become a productive member of society. From what I

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

have heard about the things that he is good at, it certainly sounds as though he has a chance or a hope of doing that. So that I think, as Crown counsel quite fairly said, this case is different from many that we see because the prospect or the significance of the potential for rehabilitation is more pronounced, I would say, and therefore the principles that I do have to be concerned with - general deterrence and denunciation - although they are still very important, they also have to be balanced with this aspect of rehabilitation in a very real way in this case.

Taking into account the time that Mr. Kaosoni has spent in custody, the fact that he waived the preliminary hearing in these matters, which I am sure must have been quite a relief to the complainants in these matters because it saved them from having to testify, and the fact that he has entered guilty pleas, and by doing that I accept as indicating that he takes responsibility for what happened and that he is remorseful for what happened, considering all of those factors, I have no hesitation in accepting the recommendation for a term of incarceration for four years.

Mr. Kaosoni, I will sentence you then to a term of imprisonment of four years.

Now, I am going to have the warrant endorsed with a recommendation, and I will hear from you, Mr. Mahar,

```
if you think there is any difficulty. But it seems to
 1
 2
           me that it might be helpful to have it endorsed with a
           recommendation that Mr. Kaosoni receive any treatment
 3
           that is available for sex offenders, and also that he
 5
           be permitted to pursue his education. I do not know
           whether there is anything else that you think should be
 6
           added.
 7
                Now, I take it there is no dispute about the
           Section 100 order.
 9
10
       MR. MAHAR:
                                 No.
11
       THE COURT:
                                 All right. There will be a
           Section 100 order -- it is ten years, I think. Is that
12
           right?
13
       MR. MAHAR:
                                 I believe so.
14
       THE COURT:
15
                                 -- for ten years which will
16
           commence on the day of your release. Well, commence
           now and continue for ten years after your release from
17
           imprisonment.
18
                Does your client have any firearms?
19
       MR. MAHAR:
20
                                No, he doesn't.
21
       THE COURT:
                                So the order can be made then to
           surrender any firearms, ammunition, or explosives
22
           forthwith?
23
24
       MR. MAHAR:
                                Yes.
25
       THE COURT:
                                I will make that order.
           you. You can sit down, Mr. Kaosoni. Is there anything
26
           else, Counsel, that we need to deal with on that
27
```

1		matter?	
	MID		No.
2		MAHAR: SCHMALTZ:	If I could just confirm one thing,
3	MS.		_
4		-	missed it since it was waived at
5		-	r the preliminary was waived. Has
6		there been a Section	486 order on this case not to
7		publish the names of	the complainants?
8	THE	COURT:	It doesn't look as though there
9		has. I do not see ar	nything on the file. So I will
10		make that order then	at this time that there will be no
11		publication of the na	ames of the complainants or any
12		information tending t	to identify them.
13	MS.	SCHMALTZ:	Thank you, My Lady.
14	THE	COURT:	Thank you very much, Counsel.
15		We'll adjourn court t	chen.
16			
17			
18			Pursuant to Practice Direction #20
19		dated Dece	ember 28, 1987.
20			0
21		Jane Roman	
22		Court Repo	orter
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			