IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES CANADA NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

and -

R M B.

Sentencing given by The Honourable Mr. Justice J.E. Richard, at Norman Wells, Northwest Territories, on the 9th day of March A.D. 1995

APPEARANCES:

BETWEEN:

J.A. MacDonald, Esq., Appeared for the C. Rehn, Esq., Cheryl Mendryk, Ms., Court Reporter

Appeared for the Defence

(Charged under Section 271 of the Criminal Code)

THE COURT:

R
B
, a 34-year old

Dene from Fort Good Hope has been convicted by a

jury of a serious crime of sexual assault, and it

is now my serious responsibility to impose a

proper sentence upon him for that crime.

Sexual assault is a crime that is regrettably all too prevalent in these Territories in recent years. Virtually every week of the year in one or more communities in these Territories the courts are sentencing young men for committing a sexual assault against a vulnerable woman. And most often, as in this case, the victim is well known to or an acquaintance of the offender. Also, in most cases, as here, the offender is in an intoxicated state, and that intoxicated state has affected his judgment, has been a significant factor in him doing something that he would not do if completely sober.

It is a sad fact of life today that so many healthy young men are being sent away to a correctional center or to a penitentiary for a substantial period of incarceration instead of living that part of their lives as productive citizens in their own communities, contributing to their community, to their family, and to their people. I can sincerely say that this is not a part of my job or my responsibilities that I

enjoy.

A penitentiary term of three years has in recent times become almost a standard sentence for the type of crime committed by R B; yet, the sexual assaults continue. One might legitimately question whether the sentences imposed are effective as a general deterrent.

That said, there is sufficient empirical data available to safely conclude that a three-year sentence is indeed effective as a specific deterrent, for it is only rarely that we see in the courts of the Northwest Territories a repeat offender who has already served a sentence of three years for sexual assault. Deterrence, then, is rightly considered to be one of the most important principles that guide a sentencing judge in cases involving sexual offenders.

Another principle that is also of significance is denunciation. By this is meant that the sentence imposed for a crime of this nature must be a reflection of our society's condemnation of this kind of conduct as being unacceptable, unacceptable to Dene and non-Dene alike. All sentencing principles, whether deterrence, denunciation or any other are invoked by the Court with the aim of achieving the overall purpose or objective of the criminal law process,

and that is the protection of the public.

In the context of this case, protection of the public means protection of vulnerable women in the communities, protection from being violated at the hands of selfish men, drunk or sober, friend or stranger.

In the present case, the offender is a 34-year old man who was born and raised in the Dene community of Fort Good Hope. He is single at the present time, although he has had at least two marital or common-law relationships in the past, and children have resulted from those relationships. He has a Grade 9 education in the formal sense, although it is my impression from the brief opportunity I have had to hear him spe \tilde{a} k and to observe him that he is a much more learned or "small e" educated person than one would normally associate with a Grade 9 education.

He has over the years followed the traditional pursuits of the Dene in hunting and trapping and living on the land, but he has also worked sporadically in the wage economy and has acquired some valuable skills in the construction trade.

has a relatively minor criminal record as follows: in 1990, he was convicted of careless use or careless storage of a firearm and

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

was assessed a \$350 fine. Also in 1990, driving while over 80 milligrams percent, \$700 fine. Also in 1990, failure to attend court, \$30 fine; and October 1991, common assault, \$700 fine.

The offence for which Mr. B is being sentenced today occurred in August 1993 here in the town of Norman Wells while Mr. B was in this community for a few days between jobs.

In the bar he met his niece, who was also from Fort Good Hope, and who is 12 or 13 years his junior. They had not seen each other for a few years, and they had a couple of beers together in the bar. As Mr. B did not have a place to stay that evening, his niece invited him to stay with she and her boyfriend or common-law husband at their trailer.

The common-law husband picked them up at the bar, and the three of them and some others continued drinking at the trailer through the course of the evening. There was also an ingestion of hashish by these people during the evening.

At midnight or later, the niece and her husband went to bed in the master bedroom. It was the niece's testimony at trial that at approximately 3:30 a.m. she awoke to find this offender, R B , on top of her having

sexual intercourse with her without her consent while her husband lay sleeping beside her. She pushed her uncle off of her, her husband woke up, and Mr. B was immediately ejected from the trailer. Later that morning, the victim's husband sought out Mr. B and administered a severe beating on him. The husband is presently awaiting sentencing for that incident after pleading guilty to assault causing bodily harm.

Those, then, are the awful circumstances of R B 's crime. It is clear that in behaving as he did, he displayed a contemptuous disregard for the personal feelings and the integrity of his young relative. There is no doubt in my mind that his state of intoxication resulting from his voluntary ingestion of alcohol and hash was a factor in his behavior; but as he well knows, his self-induced intoxication is no excuse.

I accept as genuine that part of R

B 's testimony when he said that after he sobered up he felt ashamed for what he'd done. I also accept as genuine Mr. B 's words during the sentencing hearing when he said that he will likely be living with this mistake for the rest of his life, but that he wants to turn his life around and do whatever is necessary to regain the

respect of his community, his relatives and especially his children. He strikes me as being sincere in that regard, and I do hope he keeps that in mind while he is serving his term of imprisonment, and I can only wish him good luck in that endeavor. However, in the meantime, he must pay the price for what he has done, the price being a significant interference with his freedom and his daily activities.

In addition to imposing a term of imprisonment, the law normally requires me in a case like this to make a ten-year firearms prohibition order.

In this case, Mr. B , through his counsel, has made application for an exemption from that law pursuant to Section 100(1.1) of the Criminal Code. Taking into consideration the personal circumstances of Mr. B , what has been said on his behalf and the matters listed in Section 100(1.2) of the Criminal Code, I am satisfied that Mr. B has established that it is not desirable in the interests of his safety and the safety of others that such an order be made, and the circumstances here are such that it would not be appropriate to make the Section 100 order, and I therefore decline to make the order.

Also, in view of the fact that Mr. B

will be incarcerated for the next while, I decline to impose any Victim Fine Surcharge.

Please stand now, Mr. B. . Mr. B for the crime that you have committed, the sexual assault of S , it is the sentence of Т this Court that you be imprisoned for a period of three years, and I will have the Clerk of the Court endorse the Warrant of Committal that takes you to jail with this Court's strong recommendation that you be permitted to serve your term of imprisonment at a correctional facility within the Northwest Territories. You may sit down now.

Now, counsel, is there anything further on this case?

MR. MacDONALD: Not from the Crown, My Lord.

MR. REHN:

1

2

3

10

. 1

.2

. 3

5

7

No, My Lord.

THE COURT:

This is the last case on the

docket, and we'll close court.

(SENTENCING HEARING CONCLUDED)

I, Cheryl Mendryk, C.S.R.(A), hereby certify that I attended the above Sentencing Hearing and took faithful and accurate shorthand notes and the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of

Alberta, this 12th day of March, A.D. 1995.

Court Reporter.