CR 03195

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -



MICHAEL LAFFERTY

Transcript of the Decision of the Honourable

Mr. Justice J. E. Richard, sitting at Yellowknife
in the Northwest Territories, on June 26, A.D., 1996.

APPEARANCES:

MS. U. ARVENETES

On behalf of the Crown

MR. P. BOLO

On behalf of the Defence

THE COURT: I have reviewed the order of detention
made by the Territorial Court Judge, as requested by
Michael Lafferty.

I have now had an opportunity to review the transcript of the bail hearing before the Territorial Court Judge on May 24th, the documentary exhibits that are filed by both counsel, and I have considered carefully the testimony of Michael Lafferty and of the other witnesses who testified in support of his release while awaiting his trial.

I want to say at the outset that I accept
Mr. Lafferty's statement that life has changed for him
since he received a penitentiary sentence in 1990. I
view as genuine his acknowledgement that alcohol has
ruined his life and that he does not want to drink
again. I accept as genuine his desire to obtain his
release so that he can support his family, and so that
he can continue in his real efforts to lead a
constructive life.

However, with some considerable regret, I have decided that the law, and Michael Lafferty's personal circumstances, compel me to dismiss his application and to uphold the decision of the Territorial Court Judge requiring him to be detained in custody until his trial is held.

I am not satisfied that his detention is necessary on the primary grounds to ensure his

attendance in court. However, I am satisfied that his detention pending his trial is necessary for the protection or safety of the public, having regard to all of the circumstances that are present here.

The main consideration is Mr. Lafferty's unfortunate addiction to alcohol and the effect that alcohol has on his behaviour. He has a history of violent behaviour when he is drinking; most notable is his violent conduct which led to a three-year penitentiary term in 1990, following the unlawful confinement of a taxi driver at gunpoint for several hours.

I accept everything that he says about his intentions and the positive steps that he has taken to turn his life around since his release from penitentiary in 1991. But he also tells me himself that he has had some slips. His wife and his mother confirm that he has had some slips. And he is a danger to the public when he slips from his abstention. During one of his slips he was intoxicated in a public place and had to be ordered from a convenience store.

He acknowledges that he was drinking on the occasion of the altercation with Mr. Jackson on May 9th. For purposes of this review only I refer to the Crown allegations, and I find that his drinking led to at least some level of violent aggressive behaviour

1 towards Mr. Jackson.

There is a substantial risk that violent behaviour will occur if he has another slip.

Mr. Lafferty strikes me as an intelligent man. I am certain that he knows that he cannot guarantee the Court that he will not have another slip.

Unfortunately, as he knows, that is the nature of alcoholism or a dependency on alcohol. In the context of this review hearing it means that there is a substantial likelihood that Mr. Michael Lafferty will commit a criminal offence, or interfere with the administration of justice while awaiting his trial date.

Mr. Lafferty, I am saying these things to you in some detail because I want you to know, sir, that I have given careful consideration to your application on this bail review, and I want to try and have you understand why I can't grant your request. You and your lawyer, Mr. Bolo, have presented a very good case here, and there is nothing more that could possibly be said in your favour on this application. It is to your credit that people like Bill Erasmus and Jonas Sangris, and Darryl Beaulieu, and Karen Lorenzen and Mike Stillwell, and your friend Steve Christensen, that they all speak very highly of you, and from having seen you and heard you speak, I can understand why they feel that way about you. They all obviously

want to help you have a better life than you had when you were younger. But each one of them notes, or cautions, that it is your alcohol problem that stands in the way of you attaining that better life. It is that same caution that I must use in carrying out my responsibility, which is to ensure the safety of the public between now and the time that that charge is disposed of.

So, in the result, you will have to remain in custody until your trial on this serious charge, or until it is disposed of in a final way. We in the court system will make every effort to see that your case moves expeditiously.

I realize that the Court's decision today will cause some hardship to your immediate family and also to your mother, but the Court has a wider responsibility which must override those concerns.

So the application under Section 520 of the Criminal Code is dismissed for the reasons that I have mentioned.

We will close court.

	1	
	1	
	1	
	2	Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20
	3	dated December 28, 1987.
	4	
	5	
	6	harello sot
	7	Loretta Mott, Court Reporter
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
-	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	