CR 03000

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

MAR 5 1996

PAUL KANAYOK JR.

Transcript of Sentencing on the trial held before The Honourable Madam Justice V. A. Schuler, sitting at Rankin Inlet in the Northwest Territories, on February 23rd A.D., 1996.

## **APPEARANCES:**

MS. B. SCHMALTZ:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. T. BOYD:

Counsel for the Defence

1 THE COURT: Mr. Kanayok, before I sentence
2 you, is there anything you would like to say? Stand up
3 please.
4 A No, I don't.

5 THE COURT: You don't have anything? All right. That's fine. You can sit down.

The main purpose of the criminal law including the sentencing process is the protection of the public.

The court, in sentencing, must indicate both to the offender and to others that society in general and the community, this community, rejects the behavior, in this case, arson.

In this case, the jury has found Paul Kanayok guilty of arson, of intentionally or recklessly causing damage by fire to the hamlet warehouse/garage. The jury simply said in its verdict that Mr. Kanayok is guilty, and that's quite proper. That's what the jury was asked to do and what the jury had to do by virtue of the wording of the indictment.

Having heard the evidence and accepted the jury's verdict, I am satisfied, Mr. Kanayok, that you did intentionally cause damage by fire to the hamlet warehouse/garage. I don't know whether your intention was to completely burn the garage, but I am satisfied that you intended to cause damage by fire to the garage. I do note, I take into account, that you called in the alarm. Your motive both for setting the

fire and calling in the alarm is not clear to me from the evidence.

This was an offence which caused a substantial amount of damage to the property owned by the hamlet. The photographs themselves make that abundantly clear. The fact that the hamlet may have intended, at some future date, to demolish the building is, in my view, not relevant. The building was being used at the time that the damage was done. I also note that there was substantial time, trouble, and risk to the fire fighters who had to deal with the fire, and as you well know, Mr. Kanayok, these were volunteer fire fighters.

The maximum sentence that the Criminal Code provides for this offence is 14 years in jail. That's the most that someone can get for this offence. Your lawyer has said, and I agree, that it is difficult to assess how general deterrence, in other words, how stopping other people from doing this kind of thing might be served when there is no clear motive for this crime. However, the fact that there is no clear motive and that the crime is therefore so difficult to understand, causes me a great deal of concern, and it also causes me concern with respect to how to deter you, Mr. Kanayok, specifically from committing crimes in the future. I do take into account that at the age of 26, you have a prior record which consists only of two assaults. It is a record unrelated to the offence

1		that I sentence you for today, and I do not place much
2		weight on that record. I also note that those two
3		assault convictions did not result in you serving any
4		jail term. I also take into account that there is no
5		evidence that you stood to gain in a financial way or
6		that you stood to gain any money by committing this
7		offence. I take into account, and I hope that you do
8		too, Mr. Kanayok, that what you have done may bring a
9		great deal of disgrace upon your family, and I take
10		into account as well that by agreeing to many of the
11		facts and allowing the trial to proceed without the
12		numerous witnesses that were originally expected and
13		instead to proceed only with a small number of
14		witnesses, you have saved time, trouble, and expense
15		for all those involved and for the court.
16		In all the circumstances, in my view, an
17		appropriate sentence in this case is 15 months in jail,
18		and I sentence you to serve that sentence. I am not
19		going to impose a term of probation, and there will be
20		no surcharge. Is there anything else counsel?
21	MS.	SMULTZ: Nothing further from the Crown, My
22		Lady.
23	MR.	BOYD: Nothing from defense, My Lady.
24	THE	COURT: Thank you very much, counsel, for
25		your assistance, and we will close court.
26		
27		

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	•		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

26

Certified pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.

Rae Gajadhar
Court Reporter