c an 43 cuo p

CR 02838

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

VS

DARM RYAN CROOK

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence Delivered by The Honourable Mr. Justice M. M. de Weerdt, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on Monday, May 29th, A.D., 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MR. A. REGEL:

MR. A. FOX:

FILED FILED FILED FILED SAINOUNDERS

Counsel for the Crown

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT:

1

3

5

6

8

10

1,1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

27

Darm Ryan Crook is before the court this afternoon to be sentenced on two counts under the Narcotic Control Act, one count being of trafficking in cocaine on September 7, 1994, at Yellowknife, and the other having in his possession cocaine for the purpose of trafficking at Yellowknife on September 13, 1994. In each instance, the quantity was one gram, and it appears from the circumstances which were not in dispute that Mr. Crook was making himself available through the use of a telephone pager to persons who might wish to purchase cocaine in such quantities. This has in other cases been referred to as a dial-a-doper system or scheme.

Mr. Crook has informed me in his statement to the court this afternoon that when he became involved in this it did not occur to him that cocaine was a dangerous drug or that it could negatively affect the lives of those who use it, notwithstanding that some information is apparently available through the schools. It had not registered apparently with Mr. Crook that he was doing anyone any harm.

As the Alberta Court of Appeal made clear some 15 years ago in the case known as R. vs Maskell, cocaine is a very dangerous drug, and its danger lies in its addictive nature so that people who become slaves to this drug can ruin their own lives and the lives of those who depend on them and come in contact

with them.

Mr. Crook is someone who seems to have a strongly supportive family. He is highly spoken of in the presentence report. He is close to achieving his immediate educational objectives having not much further to go before achieving his grade 12 graduation.

He is now 19 years of age. He appears to be healthy and strong. Like so many persons in his peer group, I suspect, he has either chosen to ignore or perhaps never fully understood how much harm he would do to himself, far less others, by becoming involved in the cocaine trade.

This court is bound to apply the law as it has developed to this point in this jurisdiction, and so while Parliament has provided a maximum sentence of life imprisonment for these offences, marking how seriously they are regarded by the Canadian public, the court is left to determine if a lesser sentence will accomplish the aims and abide by the principles of sentencing.

Given Mr. Crook's age, his absence of any previous criminal record, his plea of guilty and waiver of a preliminary inquiry, it seems to me that while I must give first place to the principle of deterrence, that is to say the crafting of a sentence which will be seen by others as well as by you, Mr. Crook, as being

severe enough to discourage them from attempting to follow in your footsteps. It is a shame that pain must be inflicted to accomplish this end, and the court attempts to minimize that. In our efforts to reach an appropriate balance, we realize that we may be accused of undue severity or undue leniency. We do our best simply to arrive at an appropriate balance on the facts as we see them.

Here there is hope that you will learn a lesson from this unpleasant experience, that you will not only yourself avoid any repetition of this behavior, but that you will in your contacts with your peers actively discourage them from being so foolish.

It must be plain that some prison time will have to be imposed, and I gather that you accept that, Mr. Crook. Crown counsel asks me to consider a sentence of two years less a day. Your counsel has asked me to consider a shorter sentence with probation instead of incarceration. I am going to adopt the alternative proposed by Mr. Fox.

Would you stand up, please, Mr. Crook. Is there anything you wish to add before the court passes sentence?

24 THE ACCUSED: No.

25 THE COURT: All right. The sentence of the court
26 is that you serve a term of imprisonment for one year
27 following which you will be on probation for one year

further. During that further year, you will report to
your probation officer beginning immediately on your
release from prison until such time as the year is up.
So that one year counts from the time of your release
from prison.

During that year, you are to keep your probation officer notified of any change in your address, occupation, or employment. You will during that period perform 200 hours of community service work under the direction and supervision and to the satisfaction of your probation officer. I am not saying what that work should be. That is something I leave to you and the probation officer to work out together. Do you understand?

- 15 THE ACCUSED: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Is there any further condition I
- should consider, Mr. Regel?
- 18 MR. REGEL: Not that I can suggest, My Lord.
- 19 THE COURT: Mr. Fox?
- 20 MR. FOX: None, My Lord.
- 21 THE COURT: Have you any question about that, Mr.
- 22 Crook?

7

10

11

12

13

14

- 23 THE ACCUSED: No.
- 24 THE COURT: I am going to direct the clerk when
- she has prepared the probation order to read it over
- to you. You will be asked to sign a copy to show that
- that is been done. If you have any difficulty

```
understanding any part of it, I am going to ask Mr.
```

- Fox to explain that for you.
- 3 MR. FOX:
 I will do that, My Lord.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Also, Mr. Fox, bring to
- 5 your client's attention those provisions of the
- 6 Criminal Code which govern probation. I will simply
- 7 tell you now, Mr. Crook, that if you are in breach of
- your probation, you can be brought back before the
- 9 court. You can be charged with that breach, and if
- found guilty, you can be punished for the breach. Do
- 11 you understand?
- 12 THE ACCUSED: Yes.
- 13 THE COURT: Furthermore, the terms of probation
- can be lengthened, and additional terms can be added.
- I will say to you that I have chosen to impose only
- what I consider minimal terms. Do you understand?
- 17 THE ACCUSED: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: I see you as an intelligent young man
- 19 who should have been much too smart to find yourself
- involved in this get rich quick scheme. The risks and
- 21 penalties are much too severe considering whatever
- small gain you might see from involvement in such a
- scheme. That will be all.
- 24 MR. REGEL: My Lord, just a couple of quick
- things. I take it the victim fine surcharge is waived
- 26 for both counts?
- 27 THE COURT: I didn't hear anybody speak about such

1	a surcharge. V	What do you wish to say about it?
2	MR. REGEL:	In view of the jail term, it may not
3	be appropriate	to impose one.
4	THE COURT:	I take it you agree with that, Mr.
5	Fox?	·
6	MR. FOX:	I agree, My Lord.
7	THE COURT:	Then there will be no such surcharge
8	in this case.	
9	MR. REGEL:	The other thing, My Lord, the jail
10	term, would that be one year concurrent on each count?	
11	THE COURT:	One year concurrent on each count.
1.2	MR. REGEL:	Thank you, My Lord. That is the only
13	additional inquiry I had.	
14	THE COURT:	All right.
15		
16		
17	Ce	ertified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20
18		ated December 28, 1987.
19		
20		
21		XI have a
22	La	eurie Ann Young
23		dit Reporter
24		
25		
26		
27		