JC CK YII VIV

Hor

CR 02476

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

PETER EDWARD DRYGEESE

Transcript of a Reasons for Sentence Delivered by the Honourable Mr. Justice J. Z. Vertes, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on Thursday, May 5th, A.D., 1994.

APPEARANCES:

MR. D. CLAXTON:

MR. P. BOLO:

Counsel for the Defence

THE COURT: Peter Edward Drygeese has entered a plea of guilty to a charge of manslaughter arising from the death of Alphonse Simpson at Yellowknife on September 29, 1993. The facts are set out in an agreed statement of facts, and I need only summarize them.

On the evening of September 28th and in the early morning hours of September 29, 1993, the accused, together with the victim, who was 48 years of age, and at least two other persons were at a residence in the Old Town area of Yellowknife. All of the persons, including the victim and the accused, had been drinking.

During the night the accused assaulted the victim over a prolonged period of time. From the injuries eventually observed on the victim after his death, I have no doubt that the assault was a particularly vicious one. The accused hit the victim with his hands and his feet. The accused hit the victim using a golf club. The accused used the same golf club to burn parts of the victim's body after heating the golf club in a wood stove. This golf club, which was an iron, was present in the room as it was normally used as a fire poker for the wood stove.

During most of this beating the victim was laying on the floor. The accused was observed by two other persons in the residence to be beating on the victim

at different times, and both persons asked the accused to stop. However, the accused told them to go back to sleep.

The next day, even though the victim was laying in blood on the floor, the accused and the others left. Shortly afterwards, the accused returned to the residence with another person. There he drank more liquor and eventually passed out inside the residence. The other person left and eventually the body of the victim was discovered, and the accused was arrested.

The postmortem examination revealed severe and multiple head and internal injuries. It is agreed between the prosecution and the defence that at the time of the offence the accused was intoxicated to the extent that he was unable to form the intent to cause the death of the victim. The accused has no recollection of what occurred during the time period in question but he accepts these facts. And no explanation has been given as to what caused the accused to so viciously beat this man.

The accused is a 30 year old Dene, born and raised in the Yellowknife area. He has a minimal education. I was told by his sister who grew up with him that they were raised by their grandparents, that they grew up with a lot of drinking, and that may in fact be a primary reason why both of them were unable to pursue their schooling. I thank his sister for being here

today and for telling me what she can about her brother.

I am sure that the accused is a very skillful and good worker when he is out in the bush. I am told that most of the time he supports himself by trapping in the winter months and working as a fire fighter during the summer months. Indeed, living in the bush seems to be the only way of life that the accused has known.

But never the less, it is also obvious that for some reason on the evening of September 28th last year, he lost control of himself and even though others had tried to tell him to stop, even though the next day the victim was laying in the blood on the floor of this residence, the accused took no steps to either stop himself or to assist the victim.

The accused does have a criminal record, albeit it is a short one, but it is a somewhat relevant one. In May of 1991 he was convicted of assault with a weapon, and sentenced to four months imprisonment.

Unfortunately, neither counsel could provide me with details as to that conviction. In 1993, he was convicted of mischief and fined, but I am told that he in fact served the default time.

Sentencing is always the most difficult aspect of the criminal justice system. In every case in trying to determine what is an appropriate sentence, one must

take into account the circumstances of the offence and the circumstances of the offender. As should be familiar to most people who follow the workings of our justice system, the main objectives of any sentence should be protection to the public, deterrence to others, and reformation of the individual offender. But while the objectives are easy to state, how to achieve them in any particular case is always a dilemma.

In manslaughter cases especially, it is difficult to lay down any overriding guidelines. Manslaughter is not murder. Manslaughter is the unintended killing of another human being. Manslaughter is an offence which embraces conduct ranging all the way from near accident to near murder. There is therefore a flexible range of punishment available to the sentencing court.

This is recognized by Parliament in that the Criminal Code gives virtually an unlimited range of options to the sentencing court in manslaughter cases. But it is a crime that results in the death of another human being. It is blameworthy conduct of a most serious kind, and for that the offender must be punished.

But because manslaughter does embrace such a wide range of conduct, the court has to make in every case and, particular to every case, an assessment of the

1.

proper degree of moral fault attaching to the offence and to the offender.

In this case it should be obvious from the agreed facts that there is a high degree of moral fault attaching to this offence. This was a prolonged vicious attack. As Crown counsel points out, by the range and type of injuries noted on the victim, it is quite likely that the victim suffered over a prolonged period of time. It is particularly aggravating to me that the accused did not stop when he was asked to stop by others. And as I have already said, was callous enough to simply leave the residence the following day and then come back even with the victim still lying there and drink more liquor until he passed out.

So in my mind the degree of moral fault of the offender is also high. And therefore this case obviously calls for a significant period of incarceration.

But I do take into account the fact that the accused has entered a guilty plea. I attach significant mitigating weight to it. I think it is a genuine sign of his acceptance of responsibility, and I accept that he does feel sorry for what has occurred, even though he may be unable to explain it to himself.

I also take into account the fact that he has

served a little over seven months in pre-trial custody, and I give him credit over and above that straight seven months due to the fact that it is remand time.

Will you please stand, Mr. Drygeese. Mr.
Drygeese, I hope that over the course of the next few
years you will be able to sort out in your own mind
and in your heart why you did what you did. And I
hope in doing so you will be able to try and determine
how you are going to live your life from here on in
because you are still a young man and you are going to
be released from prison after a period of time, and
you will still have most of your life ahead of you,
and there is still a chance for you to live an
upstanding life, and to regain the respect of not only
your family but others and perhaps yourself.

It is the sentence of this court that you serve a term of imprisonment of six years. You may sit down.

with respect to a Section 100 order, considering the circumstances of the case, and considering what I have heard about the personal history of the accused, his way of life, and indeed, as I said, the fact that living off the land is really the only way of of life that he is familiar with, I decline to impose a prohibition order. Under the circumstances, there will be no victim of crime fine surcharge. Is there

```
anything else, Counsel?
1
                          No, My Lord.
2
     MR. BOLO:
                          No, thank you.
     MR. CLAXTON:
3
                          All right. I should state, Counsel,
     THE COURT:
           that a copy of the transcript of my remarks on
           sentencing will be sent to the correctional
           authorities automatically. In terms of where Mr.
           Drygeese should serve his period of incarceration,
           while I recognize that there are certain advantages to
           him serving it here in the north because it is close
10
           to his family and he would be more likely to be in a
11
           familiar milieu, I think the correctional authorities
12
           would be in the best position to assess where would be
13
           the most appropriate placement for Mr. Drygeese,
14
           especially considering his rudimentary education and
15
           work skills. There may be other places where it would
16
           be best for him in terms of upgrading his education
17
           and life skills and other things so as to perhaps
18
           better equip him for the day when he is released.
                                                               So
19
           I prefer to leave that in the hands of the
20
           correctional authorities.
21
      MR. BOLO:
                          Thank you, My Lord.
22
      MR. CLAXTON:
                          Thank you.
23
                          I want to thank both of you gentlemen
24
      THE COURT:
           for your submissions, and if there is nothing else, we
25
           will close court.
26
          (AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)
27
```

1				
2	Certified Pursuant dated December 28,	to Practice 1987.	Direction	#20
3				
 4	XX/Carly			
5	Laurie Ann Young Court Reporter	•		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				