



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

## HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- V-

#### LESLIE MEMOGANA

Transcript of the Oral Reasons for Sentence of The Honourable Mr. Justice J.E. Richard, sitting in Holman, in the Northwest Territories, on the 23rd day of January, A.D. 1996.

### AP EARANCES:

MS. B. SCHMALTZ:

MR. A. MAHAR:

Counsel for the Crown

THE COURT:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Mr. Leslie Memogana has pleaded guilty to an offence of sexual assault contrary to Section 271 of the Criminal Code, the offence occurring

The offence of sexual assault covers a wide range of criminal activity from a minor fondling to a violent and brutal rape. Because of the wide range of criminal activity covered by this offence, the law provides a wide range of possible punishments from a suspended sentence to a maximum of ten years imprisonment in a Federal penitentiary in southern Canada.

here in the community of Holman in November of 1994.

In determining the appropriate sentence for each crime of sexual assault, the Court is required to take into account the particular facts and circumstances of the crime and also the personal background and individual circumstances of the offender who is being sentenced. In deciding the appropriate sentence in each case, the Court also must keep in mind certain important principles such as the need to deter or discourage other young men in the community from committing similar crimes in the future. The sentence imposed by the Court must be one which will reflect the community's denunciation or condemnation of this kind of behavior as being behavior that is unacceptable to the members of the community. The whole purpose of the criminal justice system, including the sentence that is imposed in each and every case, is the protection of

the members of the public, the protection of the members of the community including vulnerable young women.

Mr. Memogana's crime is a serious one and the sentence to be imposed must reflect that level of seriousness.

While he was in an intoxicated state he forced himself sexually on a 14-year-old girl. His rape of the young victim was accompanied by threats and by some physical violence.

The victim was a friend of Mr. Memogana's girlfriend and she did not report the rape until a week after the event out of fear of Mr. Memogana.

The offender is a man who is now 24 years of age.

I am told that he grew up here in Holman and in

Coppermine and that he comes from a large extended

family. He has a Grade 9 education in the formal sense

and has had sporatic employment through his adult years

mainly as a laborer in the construction field. He has

a criminal record which includes six convictions for

assault and he has been sent to jail on at least three

previous occasions, at one time for a period of seven

months.

I am told that Mr. Memogana has little memory of the circumstances surrounding his commission of his crime because of his intoxication but he advises, through his counsel, that he is now prepared to accept the victim's statement of what he did to her that night.

His guilty plea is presented only at the 11th hour after a jury panel had been summoned to try the charge of sexual assault at Mr. Memogana's request. In any event, the Court does take note of the fact that the young victim will be spared the additional trauma of testifying again about her ordeal because of Mr. Memogana now accepting responsibility for his behavior.

In all of the circumstances, I see no reason to depart from the usual sentence which is imposed in cases of this nature. Regretfully there continues to be many cases of this nature that come before the courts in communities of the Northwest Territories and, in each case almost without exception, the offender is in an intoxicated state and the offender, as here, treats the victim in a way that shows a complete disregard for the personal feelings and integrity of the victim who is invariably an acquaintance of the offender.

The important principles of deterrence and denunciation require that a meaningful period of incarceration be imposed on Leslie Memogana. It is also required, in my view, in order to achieve the overall objective of the criminal law which is the protection to the members of the community.

Would you please stand now, Mr. Memogana?

Mr. Memogana, for the crime that you have committed, the sexual assault of Janine Olifie contrary to Section 271 of the Criminal Code, it is the sentence of this Court that you serve a term of imprisonment of three years. And, in addition as I am required to do so by law, I hereby order pursuant to Section 100 of the Criminal Code that you are prohibited from having in your possession any firearm or ammunition or explosive substance for a period of time commencing on today's date and expiring on a date ten years after your release from your sentence. Any such item in your possession at this time will be surrendered to a police officer or otherwise disposed of within 30 days of today's date.

In the circumstances, I decline to impose the \$35 victim fine surcharge and I will have the Clerk of the Court endorse the Warrant of Committal that takes you to jail with this Court's recommendations that you be given every opportunity to serve your sentence at a facility within the Northwest Territories and also that you be given every opportunity to receive educational courses and professional psychiatric counselling.

You may sit down now.

25 MR. MAHAR: Thank you, Sir.

26 THE COURT: Now, counsel, is there anything

27 further on this case?

1	MR. MAHAR:	No, Sir.	
2	MS. SCHMALTZ:	Nothing from the Crown, Sir.	
3	THE COURT:	Fine then, we'll close court.	
4	1		
5	5		
6	Certified Purs	suant to Practice Direction	
7	#20 dated Dece 7	ember 28, 1987	
8	8		
9	9 Strille	Sandra Burns	
10		•	
11	1		
12	2		
13	3		
14	4		
15	5	•	
10	6		
1	.7		
18	.8		
1:	.9		
2	0		
2	21		
2	22		
2	23	-	
2	24		
2	25		
2	26		
2	27		
1			