In the matter between:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, upon the information of Cst. C.F. Banting sworn the 11th day of January AD 1974, the Informant

Respondent

- and -

LLOYD WILLARD PATRICK ALEEKUK

Appellant

A Transcript of the Reasons for Judgment of The Honourable Mr. Justice W.G. Morrow, given at Inuvik, N.W.T. on May 14th, 1974.

Orval J.T. Troy Esq. Q.C. for the Respondent M. McConnell, Esq., for the Appellant

the PARKETY THE OUTER, upon the importation of Cat. C. P. Panting : worn the lith day of January A.D. 1974, the Informant.

Respondent

- End a

LLOYD WILLARD PATRICK ALERKUK

Appellant

HOTTED OF SPITAL

TARE NOTICE that the Appellant hereby appeals against the sentence of Thirty (30) days imprisonment without the option of a fine passed upon him by his Norship F. Greenland, a Justice of the Feace in and for the Northwest Territories, at Aklavik in the each Territories on the 16th day of January A.D. 1974 upon the fellowing charge:-

"That Lloyd Willard Patrick Alechuk ddd on or about the 11th day of January A.D. 1974 at Ablavik in the Worthvest Territories, animofully sall limuor contrary to section 57 (c) of the Liquor Ordinance of the Northwest Yerritories."

AND TAKE HOLICE that the grounds of appeal are:-

- (a) thit the sentance of Thirty (30) days inprisonment is excessively severe in all the circumvances; and
- (t) that sentence was passed on the basis of a wrong principle.

DATED at the City of Yollowknife, in the Northwest Yer-

ritories this 31st day of January, A.D. 1974.

20:

The Clerk of the Court

For Holest Fallian

Counsel for the Appellant

(Mr. McConnell and Mr. Troy having addressed the court respectively on behalf of their clients, judgment was given as follows)

The Court:

Well, in this case I see nothing excessive under the circumstances I can see nothing excessive in the sentence imposed by the Justice of the Peace at the that time. I agree with this type of offence it would emphasis appear that fines are merely putting an economic on something that is already an economic crime, if I can use those words loosely. It is a turning back we must consider, and the police have a difficult time, and the learned Justice of the Peace has felt he must impose a fine to prevent the continuation of such offences.

The only problem I have here is that we are talking about four days and I am wondering if in view of the amount that the man has already served, the sixteen days of the thirty, and will in effect be merely put back in for another four days, just what I should do.

He has had this hanging over his head, so I think in this case I will allow the appeal to the extent of the time served, but I want to make it clear that it is not a criticism in any way of the original sentence.

W.G. Morrow J.S.C.