SENTENCING - SC OR 74 OII



IN THE SUFREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Respondent

and

BARRY WAYNE BINGHAM

Appellant

Judgment of His Lordhin, the Hon. Mr. Justice W.G. Morrow, given at Yellowknife, N.W.T., on Friday August 2nd., 1974.

APPEARANCES:

Wm. Corbett, Esq., Murray Sigler Esq., For the Crown. For the Appellant.



Regina vs Barry Wayne Bingham, Friday August 2, 1

JUDGMENT BY HIS LORDSHIP:

In the quick few moments that I had out there, Gentlemer, trying to check the un-reported judgments that have been coming in to the library. I don't suggest that I saw all of them, but I did see one or two, and there is not much modification in attitude as I can see from the Alberta Appeal Court. However, they do recognise the principle that each case must be settled on its own particular facts, and as Mr. Sigler says, the individual must not be lost track of. At the same time, I have already expressed my approval and support of the remarks of Chief Magistrate Parker in several of his cases dealing with this subject, in which he points out how much more important it is in the Northwest Territories to discourage trafficking because of our native people; so I am in the position where insofar as the general picture is concerned, I feel that the deterrent aspect is very important. At the same time, in this particular case, looking at this young gentleman that is before me now, he is certainly from my observation from examining the report and everything that has been said on behalf of him, he is certainly not what one would call a sophisticated person. he has been very foolish; he has broken the law, and certainly no one could get too much sympathy from a court who would come before me, or before the Court and say "well, it may be illegal but we don't believe the law is right", that is not what I am going

7 8

9

6

10

11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25 26

27



consider. But he has plead guilty; he has put some time in jail already; his family have indicated that they are prepared to look after him. We do have a strong probation report in his favour. Taking all these circumstances in mind and remembering the Doyle case which is reported in 1971 1 W W R, page 70, which case if not perhaps directly binding upon me is certainly most persuasive.

Now, taking all these things into consideration -- will you stand up, Mr. Bingham?

I am sentencing you to three months imprisonment in the Correctional Institute at Yellowknife, following which there will be a probation period of six months, the conditions of which are, in addition to keeping the peace and being of good order, will be: a, that you will leave the Northwest Territories, and I hope you go back to your home, but you will not, during that period of six months, in any way use drugs or narcotics. And b, that you will report to the probation authorities wherever you may be each month.

Now I hope you will carry out what you have said to the Probation Officer, that you will take this course and try to rehabilitate yourself, I am not going to put that as a term, I think that is a pure mental thing, if you want to kill yourself you can do it.

Have I missed anything? I suppose we should

rder.



MR. SIGLER: We would wish to make an application for the return of the vehicle and the contents of the vehicle during the raid.

THE COURT: Mr. Corbett?

MR. CORBETT: Sir, I am advised that the automobile is still in Hay River and it is available to be moved from, I believe, the Police Compound. I've no objection to it being returned. The procedure is outlined I believe in Section 10 of the Narcotic Control Act.

THE COURT: Is there anything that you have to do especially, or is an order sufficient?

MR. CORBETT: The application appears to be made before a Magistrate, I don't know why that would necessarily be.

THE COURT: Well I wonder if Magistrate isn't in the broad terms; let's put it this way: are you making any objections to the return?

MR. CORBETT: No sir.

THE CCURT: I will make a direction assuming that I am a

Magistrate within the meaning of that regulation, that
the automobile be returned to the owner by the Police,
and two, that this order would not only cover Bingham
btI will also have to cover the Grover and Wolfe cases,
do I not, that the substance is seized including the
pipes -- in the other two cases I believe there were
pipes -- and apparatus will be destroyed by the Police.
Have I missed anything?



MR. CORBETT: If I might just have a look at the Exhibit Report first.

THE COURT: Fine. I think there were some other exhibits that might not be involved.

MR. CORBETT: Perhaps the Court will order forfeiture of the following items: Two plastic bags containing marihuana one and a half pounds; one plastic bag containing green plant material, marihuana one pound; one plastic bag containing green plant material, marihuana one fifth ounce; two hash pipes. These are the items that the Crown would like forfeited.

THE COURT: Any hashish as well as any of those items that you've outlined. All remaining exhibits that appear to be not directly concerned with smoking or use of the drug will be returned to the owners.

MR. SIGLER: Yes, I believe under Section 10 that automatically occurs upon conviction.

THE COURT: That's right.

Does that dispose of today's procedures?

MR. SIGLER: Yes, My Lord.

THE COURT: I want to thank Counsel and I want to thank

Mr. Whitford for his report. We will adjourn.