CR 02937

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

V8

PAUL VERNON CHRISTOFFERSON

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence Delivered by The Honourable Mr. Justice J. Z. Vertes, sitting at Norman Wells in the Northwest Territories, on October 26th, A.D., 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MR. S. COUPER:

Counsel for the Crown

MR. J. BASSIE Q.C:

MR. B. GORIN:

Counsel for the Defence

1	THE COURT: In the matter of Paul Vernon
2	Christofferson, the accused was convicted by a jury on
3	three charges:
4	(1) Impaired driving (this being a lesser and included
5	offence of the charge of impaired driving causing
6	bodily harm set out in the indictment);
7	(2) Dangerous driving cause bodily harm; and,
8	(3) Leaving the scene of an accident with intent to
9	escape liability.
10	All of the offences arise out of the same set of
11	circumstances.
12	On the evening of October 7, 1994, here in Norman
13	Wells, the victim was walking along the side of
14	MacKenzie Road with her cousin. The accused drove his
15	pick-up truck from behind them and struck the victim.
16	Instead of stopping he drove away. Evidence revealed
17	that he had been drinking shortly before the incident.
18	The vehicle and the accused were located at the
19	accused's home less than one hour after the incident.
20	There was no question that the vehicle was the one
21	involved, yet the accused claimed then and during this
22	trial that he did not drive his vehicle at the time.
23	Obviously the jury completely rejected this attempt to
24	avoid liability.

The victim suffered lacerations and abrasions. She was hospitalized for a short time. She did not, fortunately and somewhat surprisingly, suffer any more

25

26

2.7

severe or lasting injuries.

The accused is 24 years old. He is a father of a 2 year old boy whom he helps to support. His employer has written a letter of reference attesting to his good work and personality. I take all this into account.

The accused, however, has also exhibited a pattern of similar behavior in the past. He has a record of related convictions. In 1992, he was convicted of impaired driving. He also has four convictions under the Territorial Motor Vehicles Act including one for failing to remain at the scene of an accident in 1988, and one for careless driving in 1994. This record is aggravating because it shows a serious disregard of the responsibilities that come with having a driver's licence.

Courts have consistently stressed that drinking driving and dangerous driving offences are grave social problems that will be strictly dealt with. Deterrence is emphasized, both so that this offender does not repeat this kind of behavior as well as so others will think twice before taking a drink and then driving.

From the jury's verdicts, I can only conclude that the jury separated the fact of impairment from that of the dangerous driving causing bodily harm. For that reason, the act of impaired operation is not

encompassed in the manner of driving which in this case was at least a contributing cause of the bodily harm. Therefore, this is a situation where convictions can rightly be entered on both verdicts.

More significantly, they are to be treated as separate criminal acts calling for separate punishment.

Similarly, the conviction for leaving the scene is also a separate and distinct act which calls for a separate sentence.

I must consider nevertheless the appropriate total sentence for this set of circumstances. As part of the total sentence I must also consider the question of an appropriate length for a suspension of the accused's driving privileges. The Criminal Code provides that for the impaired driving conviction, because it is a second offence for this accused, the accused shall be prohibited from driving for a period of not more than three years, but not less than six months. For the dangerous driving conviction, the Code provides that the accused may be prohibited from driving for any period up to ten years. It is obvious that Parliament considers driving prohibitions to be an essential component of any fit sentence for these types of offences. For that reason, while I recognize that the accused's job requires him to drive trucks and heavy equipment, I have no alternative but to prohibit him from driving, at least on public

1

2

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

thoroughfares, for a period of time. It may be that he may still be able to operate equipment on his employer's or other's private property. But I have no discretion to conditionally prohibit him from driving. I would not do so anyway since, having regard to his driving record, I think a significant prohibition is warranted in the interests of public safety. The right to drive is a privilege. If you abuse it, you lose it.

Stand up, Mr. Christofferson. On count 1, that being the conviction for impaired driving, I sentence you to serve a term of imprisonment of 1 month. On count 2, the conviction for dangerous driving causing bodily harm, I sentence you to serve a term of imprisonment of 10 months consecutive. On count 3, that being the conviction for leaving the scene of an accident, I sentence you to serve a term of imprisonment of 3 months consecutive. That is a total of 14 months.

In addition, pursuant to Section 259 of the Criminal Code, I hereby order that you be prohibited from operating a motor vehicle for a period of two years. You may have a seat.

Now, before I forget, Counsel, there are a number of exhibits. The map and the additional copies of the booklets of photographs I will direct be returned to the R.C.M.P. and they can hold onto it until the

1	expiry of the appeal period. If there is no appeal,
2	they can destroy them.
3	MR. COUPER: Thank you, sir.
4	THE COURT: The others will be retained. Is there
5	anything else we need to deal with?
6	MR. COUPER: I didn't believe so, sir.
7	MR. GORIN: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. We will take a
9	short break, and we will reconvene when you are ready
10	to start the next matter.
11	
12	(AT WHICH TIME THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED)
13	
14	Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.
15	
16	
17	
	Laurie Ann Young
17	Laurie Ann Young Court Reporter
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	