CR 02955

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN
- and - 5

FRANK MAURICE GARGAN

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence delivered by The
Honourable Mr. Justice J.Z. Vertes, sitting at Fort
Providence, in the Northwest Territories, on Wednesday,
October 11, A.D. 1995

APPEARANCES:

Mr. J.A. MacDonald:

For the Crown

Mr. S.M Shabala:

For the Defence

(Charges under Section 139(2) of the Criminal Code)

of guilty to a charge that on or between the 14th day of April, 1994 and the 6th day of July, 1994, at Fort Providence, he did wilfully attempt to obstruct the course of justice by dissuading Lucas Minoza by corrupt means from giving accurate evidence, contrary to Section 139 of the Criminal Code.

`26

What that charge really boils down to is that

Mr. Minoza and Mr. Gargan entered into an arrangement
whereby Mr. Gargan said he would pay Mr. Minoza for

Mr. Minoza's false testimony in criminal proceedings
against Mr. Gargan.

Apparently, Mr. Gargan was charged with an assault that caused bodily harm to Lucas Minoza. That assault allegedly occurred on April 14th, 1994. As a result of that charge, Mr. Minoza initiated an arrangement whereby he would testify falsely so that Mr. Gargan could avoid conviction on the assault charge.

I am told that the agreement was that Mr. Gargan would pay Mr. Minoza \$375, although, apparently, there is no evidence that money ever changed hands, and Mr. Gargan denies that any money was ever paid.

Mr. Gargan to this day denies committing the assault on Mr. Minoza, and those proceedings were stayed.

I am told that at the preliminary hearing into the original assault charge, Mr. Minoza claimed a lack of recollection and intoxication. His evidence was

false. But, curiously, I am also told that Mr. Minoza was never charged with anything arising out of this arrangement. He was not charged with perjury. He was not charged with attempting to obstruct justice. And Mr. Gargan stands before the Court as one half of this arrangement that apparently was initiated by Mr. Minoza.

Crown suggests incarceration for a period of nine to twelve months and the defence does not take any serious objection to that range. Certainly, if one has regard just to the type of offense and to the nature of the offender (certainly, the history of the offender) that range is quite an appropriate one.

Mr. Gargan is 43 years old and he has ten criminal convictions between 1969 and 1993. Some of them are very serious indeed - serious crimes of violence for which he has been sentenced to lengthy periods of incarceration. His last conviction was in February 1993 in which he was convicted on two counts of sexual assault and sentenced to four months imprisonment on each charge and probation for 18 months. Therefore, at the time of the alleged assault and at the time of this arrangement, he was on probation, which also is an aggravating factor.

Obviously, an offense of this type is very serious as it strikes at the very administration of justice.

The public at large should be able to count on the

proceedings of the courts to be above suspicion or coercion or bribery. But I find it difficult to contemplate the range suggested by Crown in view of the fact that it was Mr. Minoza who initiated this arrangement, Mr. Minoza who lied in court, and Mr. Minoza who has walked away from this for some reason without any adverse consequences whatsoever. But I must have regard to the fact that this is a crime that touches on the administration of justice and must be dealt with seriously. I must also consider the serious record of criminal convictions of this man. I can only hope that by now, at his age, he would have greater insight into his own actions and try and finally walk a straight line, as it were, as opposed to constantly coming back and forth into court.

I am sure, Mr. Gargan, you understand that the more times you keep coming back into court, the harder it is just going to get, even for little things now.

Stand up, Mr. Gargan.

I take into account the fact that you have entered a plea of guilty to this charge. I also take into account all that has been said both by your lawyer and by the Crown counsel. I hereby impose a sentence of nine months imprisonment. There will be no victim of crime fine surcharge. You may have a seat.

Is there anything else that we need to do, Counsel, with respect to this matter?

1	MR. MacDONALD: Nothing, sir.
2	MR. SHABALA: Nothing, My Lord.
3	(REASONS FOR SENTENCE CONCLUDED)
4	
5	,
6	Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.
7	
8	Mich.
9	Jane Romanowich Court Reporter
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
<i>L 1</i>	