CR 02882

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

NA 58 look

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -



TODD MICHAEL CATLING

Transcript of Reasons for Sentence before the Honourable Mr. Justice J. E. Richard, sitting at Holman, in the Northwest Territories, on July 25 and 26, A.D. 1995.

APPEARANCES:

MS. S. BOUR

On behalf of the Crown

MR. T. BOYD

On behalf of the Defence

THE COURT:

serious responsibility to pass sentence on two men each of whom has committed a crime of sexual assault in this community of Holman. The maximum punishment for sexual assault is ten years imprisonment in a federal penitentiary in southern Canada. There is no minimum sentence for this crime. The Court, thus, is given a wide discretion or a wide range within which to choose the appropriate sentence in each case. In doing so, the Court is required to keep in mind the overall purpose of our criminal law system which is the protection of the public and in particular, the protection of the members of the community, especially the protection of vulnerable women living in the community.

This morning, it is the Court's

Each of these men before the Court this morning has committed a crime of violence against vulnerable women. In each case, there was a serious interference with the personal integrity of the victim. In the one case, while the young woman was intoxicated from excessive alcohol consumption; and the other case, while the woman slept in her own bed in her own home.

In passing sentence, the Court must indicate the community's denunciation or condemnation of this kind of behaviour by men as being unacceptable to the members of this community. Also, the Court's sentence on behalf of the community and the general public

should be sufficiently harsh so as to act as a deterrent against this kind of criminal conduct in the future. The sentence that is imposed should hopefully discourage these two offenders from ever again conducting themselves in this manner towards women and also serve to discourage or deter other men in the community from this kind of behaviour towards women lest they too receive a similar punishment.

When the Court passes sentence in each individual case, the Court is, of course, obliged to look at the particular circumstances and facts of each case and the personal background and characteristics of the offender himself because no two crimes of sexual assault are identical and no two offenders are ever exactly alike.

In the case of Todd Catling, we have a 32-year-old man from Alberta who was temporarily in this community in July of 1992 to work on a construction project. I understand that he is single with no dependents. He has a high school education plus a four-year certificate as a journeyman welder. He maintained steady and well-paying employment in the years prior to his offence and afterwards. He has worked in numerous small communities in the Northwest Territories over the years on a temporary basis on construction projects and did not cause or encounter any problems with the people in those communities

until the incident which brings him before the Court today. Mr. Catling has no criminal record of any consequence.

On the date in question in July 1992, he was entertaining three young women from the community in his room at the Arctic Char Inn in the wee hours of the morning. All four persons were drinking alcohol supplied by Mr. Catling. All became intoxicated to one degree or another.

The victim, a 16-year-old girl, became quite intoxicated and was also vomiting from excessive alcohol consumption. The two older women unfortunately left her alone with Mr. Catling in his room when they left to get more booze after Mr. Catling's supply of booze had run out. The other two women intended to return to the hotel room in a short while, but they did not.

After they left, Mr. Catling took advantage of the vulnerable state of his young victim for his own sexual gratification. He forced himself on her sexually, and she was unable to resist him physically due to her condition, although she did tell him to stop.

Mr. Catling did not stop but instead, he proceeded to have sexual intercourse with her without her consent. This was indeed a predatory act upon a helpless victim. In conducting himself as he did, Mr.

Catling displayed a contemptuous disregard for this girl's personal feelings and her integrity as a human being. It is precisely this kind of conduct that has given transient construction workers such a terrible reputation in our northern communities over the years. More particularly, it is serious criminal behaviour deserving a substantial term of imprisonment.

AT THIS TIME ANOTHER MATTER WAS SPOKEN TO

THE COURT: Mr. Catling, I would ask you to stand now, Sir. Todd Michael Catling, for the crime that you have committed, a sexual assault on Donna Goose on July 29th, 1992, it is the sentence of this Court that you serve a term of imprisonment of three years in a federal penitentiary.

In addition, as I am required by law to do so, I hereby order pursuant to Section 100 of the Criminal Code of Canada that you are prohibited from having in your possession any firearm or ammunition or explosive substance for a period of time commencing on today's date and expiring on a date ten years after your release from your term of imprisonment. Any such item in your possession at this time shall be surrendered to a police officer or otherwise disposed of within one month of today's date.

In the circumstances, there will be no victim fine surcharge. Please take your seat.

27 AT THIS TIME ANOTHER MATTER WAS SPOKEN TO

```
1
      THE COURT:
                          Mr. Boyd.
 2
      MR. BOYD:
                          My Lord, the defence requests that the
           warrant for committal in respect to Mr. Catling
 3
           recommend imprisonment at the Bowden institute in
 5
                     The reason for the request is that I
 6
          understand that his trade skill could be employed
 7
          there in that facility and also as he's near the end
          of his term of imprisonment, he would have a better
9
           chance of making contact with former and prospective
10
           employers getting back into the work force and
           completing his rehabilitative process.
11
                          Submissions from the Crown at this
12
      THE COURT:
13
          point?
14
      MS. BOUR:
                          Crown takes no position with respect
15
           to Mr. Boyd's request.
16
      THE COURT:
                                      The clerk will endorse the
                          Fine then.
           warrant for committal with the Court's recommendation
17
18
           that Mr. Catling be permitted to serve his
19
           imprisonment at the Bowden institute in Alberta.
           Counsel, is there anything further on either of these
20
21
          cases?
22
      MR. FOLDATS:
                          No, My Lord.
23
     MR. BOYD:
                          No, Sir.
24
     MS. BOUR:
                          No, My Lord.
25
                          Thank you. Then we will close court.
     THE COURT:
26
                          All rise. This sitting of the Supreme
      THE CLERK:
27
           Court is now closed.
```

1	λT	MHICH	TIME	THIS	SENTENCING HEARING CONCLUDED	
2						
3					Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #2	0
4					dated December 28, 1987.	
5					•	
6					Tara McCrae, CSR(A)	
7					Court Reporter	
8						
9						
10						
,11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						