

ROGER WALLACE WARREN

Transcript of the Voir Dire Evidence of Mr. Austin
Marshall, heard before The Honourable Mr. Justice M.M. de
Weerdt, in Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories,
on the 6th day of October, A.D., 1994.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. P. Martin, Q.C./

For the Crown

RITORIES

Mr. D. Guenter

Mr. G. Orris, Q.C./

For the Defense

Ms. G. Boothroyd

* BAN ON PUBLICATION*

INDEX

PAGE	P	Ά	G	E
------	---	---	---	---

AUSTIN MARSHALL:

Examination-in-chief by Ms. Boothroyd: 2
Cross-examination by Mr. Martin: 19

- MS. BOOTHROYD: Thank you, My Lord. The next witness
- 2 for the defence is Austin Marshall please.
- THE COURT: Was Mr. Marshall subpoenaed to be
- 4 here today?
- MS. BOOTHROYD: No he wasn't, My Lord.
- AUSTIN MARSHALL, sworn, examined by Ms. Boothroyd:
- 7 THE COURT: I take it you've come here by
- arrangement and not under subpoena, Mr. Marshall?
- A That is so, My Lord.
- THE COURT: 10 Yes, Ms. Boothroyd?
- 11 MS. BOOTHROYD: Thank you, My Lord.
- 12 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, you're a lawyer
- 13 practising in Yellowknife; is that correct?
- 14 Α I am.
- 15 And you've been -- how long in October of last year
- 16 were you practising in the Territories?
- 17 Α I had come to the Territories to practice in January
- 18 of 1983 and I've been here continuously since then.
- 19 Sir, if I can ask you to think back to the period of
- 20 May '92 at the beginning of a labour dispute between
- 21 the CASAW Union and Royal Oak Mine. Did you become
- 22 involved in some way as acting as counsel for one or
- 23 other of those parties?
- 24 It was after May of 1992, it was August of 1992 and I
- 25 became involved at the invitation and retainer of the
- 26 union which I'll call CASAW Local 4, Canadian
- 27 Association of Smelter and Allied Workers and the

- retainer was to act on behalf of individual members and so I take my instructions from those individual members on criminal charges laid against them, and then the retainer also involved acting on behalf of members and the union in certain activities relating to the injunction that was in place at the time relating to the picketing activity out at the mine site.
- All right, sir, and through the course of those dealings with the union and its members, did you come 10. to know Roger Warren?
- I did. Α 12

- All right. Now, at the time that you were dealing 13
- with the union and its members from August '92 14
- onwards, did you have any feelings about the nature of --15
- the privacy of your phone communications? 16
- There had been discussion from time to time. I had 17
- been cautioned about talking on the telephone, and 18
- when I say cautioned, it had been advice given to me 19
- from others I was working with in the course of my 20
- retainer that there was the possibility of telephone 21
- conversations being listened to, so I was aware of 22
- that possibility. I didn't think at any time that it 23
- was happening to me. 24
- All right. Now, when you say there had been 25
- discussions, can you tell us amongst whom? 26
- I can't remember who, quite frankly, but if I can -- I 27

1 think I can remember to the point where I can safely 2 say I was working along with Leo McGrady and Gina Fiorillo, they were counsel out of Vancouver who were retained to handle the labour relations issues and in 5 discussions with them, I know we had discussions that 6 in these kinds of situations it was not unknown that 7 your telephones could be, could be -- your telephone 8 conversations could be listened to and so they were 9 indicating to me to be cautious about what you discussed on the telephone. And some of the union 10 11 members, as well, had that concern that their 12 telephone conversations might be listened to. 13 All right. Were those --14 THE COURT: I think I heard you say you didn't 15 think that at any time it was happening to you? 16 I didn't think at any time it was happening to me, My 17 Lord. 18 THE COURT: Had you any reason to believe that 19 your telephone was being tapped apart from what Mr. 20 McGrady and Ms. Fiorillo may have said? 21 Α No, I didn't. 22 MS. BOOTHROYD: All right, so can I -- in terms of a 23 time frame that you're talking about there in 24 reference to His Lordship's question, what time frame 25 are we talking about when you say you didn't have any 26 reason to believe that your phones were being tapped?

I didn't have any reason to believe it up until I

believe December the 2nd of 1993 and on the -- I think 1 that's the right date. If my memory, if my memory 2 serves me right it was on the evening of a Thursday 3 night which I think is December the 2nd of 1993, I became aware that my telephone conversations had been 5 listened to. And how did you become aware of that, sir? Someone arrived at my door who I think was from the 8 post office with a letter for delivery to me and that letter contained statements about interception of my 10 private communications. 11 Do you have, do you have that letter with you today 12 sir? 13 I've got the one that I received, and I've also got a 14 photocopy of it here in my file which you gave me a 15 little earlier. 16 My Lord, what I'm going to ask, what MS. BOOTHROYD: I'm going to ask is that the photocopy, in fact, is to 18 be entered as an exhibit, is the one to be entered. I 19 understand that Mr. Marshall wishes to hang onto the 20 original for his own files. 21 I have no difficulty with that. MR. MARTIN: That will be satisfactory? THE COURT: 23 Yes, Sir. MR. MARTIN: 24 MS. BOOTHROYD: Before we deal with the entering of 25 it, I wonder if you could just refer to that, Mr. 26 Marshall? 27

- 1 A I have it in front of me.
- 2 THE COURT: You've compared the copy to the
- original have you, Mr. Marshall?
- 4 A I have, My Lord.
- 5 THE COURT: Is it a true and accurate copy?
- 6 A It is. There is photocopies of hole punching on the
- 7 photocopy, but the typewritten portions of it are all
- 8 the same. It's the same -- it's a copy of the same
- 9 document.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to place a
- copy of that before me?
- MS. BOOTHROYD: Yes please, My Lord, I wonder if that
- might go in. And that would be exhibit number?
- 14 THE CLERK: 49.
- 15 THE COURT: 49, a defence exhibit.
- 16 [EXHIBIT 49 NOTICE CONCERNING WIRETAPS DATED
- NOVEMBER 29, 1993]
- 18 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, the exhibit, the notice
- that you've referred to is dated November 29, 1993; is
- 20 that right?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 Q And this is the document that you received, you
- believe, on the 2nd of December, '93?
- 24 A Yes, to the best of my recollection that's the date
- 25 that I got it.
- Q And, just generally speaking, can you tell us what it
- indicated to you?

- It indicated to me that my private communications had been intercepted and that this had come about pursuant to court order. And if I didn't mention it earlier, I received this at home. It was delivered to me at my residence and it's addressed to me at my residence.
- 6 THE COURT: I take it you've read the letter?
- You have read the letter?
- A Yes I have, My Lord.
- THE COURT: As I read it, it says that
- authorizations were issued permitting the interception
- of your private communication?
- 12 A It does say that.
- THE COURT: Does it say anywhere that your
- communications were, in fact, intercepted?
- 15 A It doesn't use those exact words, My Lord.
- 16 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: And just for clarity, Mr. Marshall,
- the date on which the authorization -- for which the
- authorizations were made is from September 27th, '92
- 'til October 31st, '93; is that right?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 Q And what did you believe as a result of receiving that
- 22 notice?
- 23 A I believe that over that period of time private
- communications of mine had been intercepted. I
- believe that's why I got the notice.
- Q And what have you done with respect to this notice,
- have you taken any further steps?

- Yes, yes I have. I have instructed counsel to make an
- application to the Court to obtain disclosure of the 2
- 3 material that would support this authorization so I
- can see for myself what was, in fact, done.
- 5 All right. And has that matter been -- is it before
- 6 the courts now?
- Yes, it is.
- And can you tell us what progress has been made in
- 9 that?
- It was scheduled for argument in August. 10 A
- 11 Sorry, of which year? 0
- 12 Of 1993. The Crown --
- 13 MARTIN: I'm sorry '93?
- '94, pardon me. It was scheduled for argument in 14 Α
- 15 August of 1994 and the Crown indicated that it was
- 16 going to, going to raise some objections which would
- 17 be procedural -- preliminary, if I might put it that
- 18 way, in nature challenging my right to bring the
- 19 application and then raising, as well, that the matter
- 20 should be brought before the Court in a different
- 21 matter than by way of petition. So we adjourned,
- 22 rather than getting into the expense of having my
- 23 counsel come up from Vancouver.
- 24 THE COURT: I take it I should understand then
- 25 that this application has not, as yet, been heard?
- 26 No, it has not.
- THE COURT:
- Do we need to go further, Ms.

- Boothroyd?
- No, My Lord, I just wanted to make BOOTHROYD:
- sure that I understand.
- MS. BOOTHROYD: Your application is still then before
- the Court, it hasn't been in any way abandoned? 5
- Α No.
- All right, sir, following the receipt of this notice
- did you have any discussions with the police or the
- Crown in terms of ascertaining whether or not you had 9
- been intercepted? 10
- Yes, yes, I did. And there has been, there has been Α 11
- two times when anything has, has been said to me that 12
- would give me some inkling of what might have actually 13
- happened here. One of those was -- I think it was a 14
- copy of a letter I received from my co-counsel on a 15
- charge that was before this court awhile ago, the riot 16
- charge, and my co-counsel had some discussions with a 17
- local Crown about this wiretap. So I got, I got 18
- second-hand information via that. 19
- We don't want to get into that then, but did you 20
- personally have any discussions with anybody? 21
- Yes, I did. 22
- And what was that about? 23
- That was a discussion, and I think it was in February 24
- of this year, with Inspector MacIntyre. 25
- Can you tell us about that? 26
- Inspector MacIntyre asked if he could speak with me 27

- for a minute, I was over listening to part of the
- 2 preliminary inquiry and Inspector MacIntyre and I saw
- each other so he asked if he could speak with me for a
- 4 minute. And the content of it was he wanted to assure
- me, and I'm paraphrasing because I don't have his
- exact words, but he wanted to ensure me that I wasn't
- 7 a target of these interceptions and there was a little
- bit of conversation around that, and I took it from
- 9 the way he was talking to me that he just wanted me to
- 10 know that there wasn't a wiretap authorization
- directed at me specifically. That was what I -- that
- is what I was taking from it.
- 13 Q All right, and that's something that Mr. MacIntyre
- 14 told you?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And were you assured by that advice?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Why not?
- 19 A I want to see the material that is the basis of this
- letter. And when I see that material, then I can be
- satisfied. But at this point I'm not satisfied.
- 22 Q All right, sir, did you leave -- did you say or do
- anything with respect to Inspector MacIntyre that
- would have left that impression that you can think of
- that you were satisfied?
- 26 A No, no. I was cordial with him, but I received his
- information and left it at that.

- Q Mr. Marshall, the date that you received this notice,
- 2 I'm sorry, can you just -- I don't have a note of
- that?
- A I think it was December the 2nd of 1993.
- 5 Q Thank you.
- MS. BOOTHROYD: My Lord, at this point, if I may,
- what I would like to do with Mr. Marshall is ask him
- some questions pertaining to his dealings with the
- police on October 16, '93, October 17th, '93 when Mr.
- Warren was arrested. Now, that evidence does not
- pertain directly to the intercept issue, it pertains
- to the 10(b) argument in general and rather than --
- 13 I'm suggesting, if I may, could I do it now rather
- than have Mr. Marshall come back at some later time?
- 15 THE COURT: I take it there is no objection to
- 16 that?
- 17 MR. MARTIN: No, My Lord.
- 18 THE COURT:
 - ...

Very good.

- 19 MS. BOOTHROYD: Thank you, My Lord.
- 20 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, I just want to ask you
- 21 to think about October 16th of '93 that was a date we
- heard -- 15th, 16th, when Mr. Warren was arrested on
- these charges. Did you have any dealings with Mr.
- Warren on either of those days?
- 25 THE COURT: Let us be clear about the dates.
- 26 MS. BOOTHROYD: I'm sorry.
- 27 THE COURT: October of '93, what date?

- 1 MS. BOOTHROYD: October 15th or 16th of '93.
- 2 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 3 A I spoke to Mr. Warren on October the 16, 1993.
- 4 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: All right, and can you tell us how
- 5 that came about?
- 6 A He phoned me -- I was at home at the time and it was
- in the evening that he called and he phoned to tell me
- 8 that he would need a lawyer. There were previous
- 9 events in the day --
- 10 Q Sorry, if I could just stop you?
- 11 A -- but this was the first with Mr. Warren.
- 12 Q I'm sorry, if I may just stop you for a moment.
- MS. BOOTHROYD: My Lord, Mr. Marshall is referring to
- some notes that he made and I'm wondering, with Your
- Lordship's leave, if he could refer to those?
- 16 THE COURT: I take it there is no objection?
- 17 MR. MARTIN: No, My Lord, I assume they were made
- some what contemporaneously with the events so I have
- no objection on that basis.
- 20 A They were, Mr. Martin.
- 21 THE COURT: Very good, you'll just testify from
- 22 memory refreshing it from your notes.
- 23 MR. ORRIS: Excuse me, excuse me, My Lord.
- 24 A Thank you, My Lord.
- Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, can I get you just to
- back up then and tell us about the events that
- preceded your conversation with Mr. Warren on Saturday

- night?
- 2 A Early in the afternoon I was at my office and I
- received a telephone call. I received it from Harry
- Seeton asking me if I could come and meet with him and
- could I meet him across the street from the Polar Bowl
- and it was evident from, from his manner of speaking
- with me that it was something important, so I said I
- would and I went over and I met with him across from
- 9 the Polar Bowl.
- 10 Q All right. If I could just ask you, sir, without
- going into too much detail in terms of the
- conversation with other individuals, as a result of
- the meeting with Mr. Seeton, what did you do next?
- 14 A I went into an apartment residence which was a
- residence where Helen Warren and her friend Kathy were
- present and Helen's daughter, I believe, was present
- as well. She was in another part of the apartment.
- 18 Q All right.
- 19 A We were in the kitchen.
- 20 Q And I take it you had a conversation with those
- 21 individuals?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- 23 Q And what happened after that?
- 24 A As a result of that, I had, I had some telephone calls
- with certain persons and then I went back to my
- office.
- 27 Q And did anything of significance happen at your

- 1 office?
- 2 A There was a message on my answering machine to call my
- wife, and I called her and what I learned from her is
- 4 that the police had called and it was about Roger
- Warren. It was a message about him.
- 6 Q Do you know what time it was that you received that
- 7 message from your wife?
- 8 A I don't have, I don't have a precise time on that I'm
- 9 afraid. It would be somewhere between 2 o'clock was
- when I went over to meet with Helen Warren and I was
- there for some time and then went back to my office
- and shortly before 4 is --
- 13 THE COURT: So these are all times on the 16th
- are they, Mr. Marshall?
- 15 A They are. Shortly before 4 is when I went to the
- detachment so that telephone call and telephone
- message were inbetween.
- 18 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: All right. Before going -- I take it
- you went to the Yellowknife R.C.M.P. Detachment?
- 20 A I did.
- 21 Q All right. Do you know what time you arrived there
- 22 sir?
- 23 A Approximately 3:55 in the afternoon.
- Q And that's October 16th?
- 25 A It is.
- Q Prior to going to the police detachment, had you made
- any efforts to contact the police by phone?

- 1 A I believe I had phoned. This is by recollection only
- because I think I just dealt with the receptionist, if
- I can call the person that. I wanted to speak with
- Mr. Warren and I couldn't get through to him so I said
- I will come over and I did go over.
- 6 Q So you arrived about 3:55 p.m.?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And what happened?
- 9 A I spoke at the wicket just inside the door with an
- officer by the name of Steggles, I took that off his
- 11 badge.
- 12 Q And what happened?
- 13 A He told me that -- I wanted to see Roger Warren. I
- told him that I was there to see Roger Warren, I was
- counsel and wanted to speak to him and he told me that
- 16 the members had Warren out for a drive. I asked him
- 17 would he please contact those members and so he left.
- 18 He went away from the wicket and he came back. He
- told me that they'll be back by 6 o'clock and could I
- leave a number where I could be reached. I wasn't
- content with that so I said I have a phone message
- that Corporal White had called about Roger Warren to
- indicate to me that he was at the station and I wanted
- to see Mr. Warren. So he left again, Officer Steggles
- left again and came back, and he told me that White is
- with Warren and that Warren hasn't asked for me, would
- I leave a number. And I -- so I said to him well I

- want to talk to him right away, but I didn't. I think
- I left him numbers. I told them that I'll be either
- at my office or my home.
- 4 Q All right.
- 5 A And that was as far as I was getting at the time.
- 6 THE COURT: Can I just get the name of that
- officer, was it Staples?
- 8 A It's Steggles, My Lord, S-T-E-G-G-L-E-S.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, I take it you then left
- 11 the detachment?
- 12 A I did.
- 13 Q All right, and what was your next contact with the
- police or Mr. Warren that day?
- 15 A Well, my next contact was with the police and this
- would be back at my office. I went back to my office
- and I received a telephone call from a Dean Ravelli. I
- wrote it down at the time, it was Ravelli, and he
- identified himself as from the task force and what he
- 20 told me is that Vern White and Roger were doing a
- reenactment and that they were at Cameron Lake Falls
- some 30 miles out of town.
- Q Do you know what time that phone call happened?
- 24 A I would place it somewhere around five or maybe a
- little bit later. I didn't mark down the exact time
- of that phone call.
- Q And that was at your office?

- \mathbf{A} It was at my office.
- 2 Q All right, and following that phone call what did you
- 3 do?
- A I had -- I received a telephone call from another
- solicitor. I was aware at the time that we were going
- to be retaining other counsel or assisting Mr. Warren
- to retain other counsel because it was evident to me,
- from what was going on, what this was all about.
- 9 Q So you spoke to some other lawyer I take it then?
- 10 A A little later, a little later after 5 o'clock I spoke
- with another lawyer, yes.
- 12 Q All right. Can I just ask you then about your next
- contact then with the police or with Mr. Warren?
- 14 A Mr. Warren called me at home. Shortly, shortly after
- speaking with Officer Ravelli and then I had the
- telephone conversation with the other solicitor, I
- went home and then I received a telephone call from
- 18 Roger Warren.
- 19 Q All right. And that, again, was about what time?
- 20 A To my recollection again it didn't -- I didn't put a
- time on my memo about this, but I think it was some
- time after 7 o'clock.
- 23 Q And that was the phone call that you referred to at
- the beginning of the discussion of this evidence?
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q Without getting into the details of that conversation,
- sir, did you have any further contact with the police

- or Mr. Warren that night?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- 3 Q And when was that?
- 4 A Later in the evening around 10 o'clock and my note on
- 5 this one indicates ten after ten I spoke with Corporal
- White. He called me, he called me at home.
- 7 Q All right. And what was the gist of that discussion
- 8 that you are talking about?
- 9 A He was talking about a search they were doing at the
- 10 / apartment, the Warren apartment.
- ${\tt 11} \quad {\tt Q} \quad {\tt All \ right.} \quad {\tt And \ you \ made \ some \ inquiries \ about \ the}$
- search or general inquiries about what was going on?
- 13 A I had already been aware something was happening and so
- I, I did want to know if I could, from the police,
- what it was about so that I could advise Helen Warren.
- 16 Q All right. And did you have anymore --
- 17 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry, so that you could advise
- who, I didn't hear that?
- 19 A Helen Warren.
- That would be Mr. Warren's wife?
- 21 A Yes, My Lord.
- Q MS. BOOTHROYD: Mr. Marshall, did you have any further
- conversations with either Mr. Warren or the police for
- the balance of the 16th or October 17th?
- 25 A I had one further call from Vern White. We spoke at
- some length around ten after ten in the evening and
- then he called me back, and this time I did record the

- time, at 10:21 to tell me they should be finished the
- search by 11:30, which I took to be 11:30 that night.
- 3 Q And that was a search of the Warren residence?
- 4 A That's the search we were talking about.
- 5 Q Thank you, sir.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Martin?

7 MR. MARTIN CROSS-EXAMINES THE WITNESS:

- 8 Q Good morning, Mr. Austin. I'm sorry, Mr. Marshall.
- Forgive me, for some reason I refer to you as Mr.
- 10 Austin and that is not intended to be a slight. I
- know a man named Austin and I am sometimes confused.
- 12 I take it, sir, when you received this notification
- that you have shown us and is now exhibited, you were
- 14 quite surprised?
- 15 A I was.
- 16 Q Right. And, as a lawyer, I take it not only surprised
- 17 but taken aback?
- 18 A I was very disturbed. I thought they were tapping my
- home phone, quite frankly, and so I told my wife and
- 20 my kids about it and there was real upset in the
- 21 household.
- 22 Q And I take it something like this has never happened
- 23 to you before, that you've received a notice such as
- 24 this?
- 25 A It's never happened that they have ever told me about.
- 26 Q Right, that was my question. Whether you received a
- notice like this, you had not; is that right?

- 1 A I have not received a notice like this before.
- 2 Q And when you read the notice, you realized it was
- 3 signed by Ron Reimer who apparently is a Crown
- 4 prosecutor?
- 5 A Well actually, Mr. Martin, it's interesting you should
- for a raise that because one of the first things that really --
- 7 THE COURT: Can I see the letter?
- 8 A -- disturbed me about this -- my first reaction was
- 9 one of dismay and upset.
- 10 Q MR. MARTIN: Could I just ask you -- Mr. Marshall,
- I'm sorry, could I just ask you did you realize that
- that letter was signed by Mr. Reimer, the prosecutor?
- 13 A Well, I'm just getting to that, I'm just getting to
- that. No, I did not. This letter that came to me is
- on plain bond and down in the, down in the signature
- portion of it, there is a signature, which I can't
- read, and there is no identification of who signed it.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A Other than as an agent specially designated in writing
- 20 by the Solicitor General of Canada.
- 21 Q And --
- 22 A But there is no letterhead on this and and I did not
- 23 know who wrote it.
- 24 Q All right. In that case the author of the advice to
- 25 you is unknown to you. Now, something like this has
- never happened before and I am trying to put myself in
- 27 your shoes if I were to receive a letter like this I

- would be anxious to get to the bottom of it, I gather
- you were as well?
- 3 A I thought about it and made that decision that I
- would, that I would try to find out what this -- what
- was the basis of this letter.
- 6 Q Yes. I understand there is a Department of Justice
- 7 office here in Yellowknife?
- A There is.
- 9 Q And do you know all of the lawyers in that department?
- 10 / A I wouldn't say that I know them all.
- 11 Q How many lawyers do you think are there approximately?
- 12 A I don't know presently how many lawyers there are.
- 13 Q Ten, twenty?
- 14 A Around the ten figure.
- 15 Q Okay. Do you know most of the ten?
- 16 A Well, they change alot.
- 17 Q Okay, and did you know the senior prosecutor?
- 18 A Yes, I knew the senior prosecutor.
- 19 Q That is Pierre Rousseau?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You know him well?
- 22 A I know him well enough to talk with him, we've dealt
- with each other.
- 24 Q Certainly. When did you go with Mr. Rousseau with
- 25 this letter to ask him to explain it?
- 26 A I didn't go to Mr. Rousseau.
- 27 Q You didn't make any inquiries at Justice at all?

- 1 A No, I didn't.
- 2 Q Okay, thank you. When did you go --
- 3 A You're talking the local office?
- 4 Q Yes?
- A Yes, I didn't make any inquiries of the local office.
- Q With the Department of Justice, anyone there at all to
- 7 ask them if they could explain this?
- 8 A Not at the local office, no.
- 9 Q All right. Which Department of Justice office did you
- 10 direct your inquiries to?
- 11 A It was my counsel -- I retained counsel and he made
- the inquiries on my behalf and he made the inquiry to
- the same office that is indicated on this letter.
- 14 Q So your counsel made inquiries and determined that Mr.
- Ron Reimer was the author of the letter?
- 16 A Well we learned that, yes.
- 17 Q And you learned that Mr. Ron Reimer is a Federal Crown
- 18 prosecutor?
- 19 A That's my understanding.
- 20 Q Did you --
- 21 A Now I don't -- bear with me, I don't know the full
- organization of the Federal Department of Justice, but
- I understand he's a Federal -- I would take it he's a
- Federal employee attached with the Federal office.
- Q Did you know him before you received this letter?
- 26 A No, I don't know, I've never met the gentleman.
- Q Okay. And I take it the purpose for retaining counsel

- and making the inquiries was to find out what was
- behind this?
- 3 A That is so.
- 4 Q And you have now received assurances as to what was
- 5 behind this as I understand it?
- 6 A Well no, no I haven't, Mr. Martin.
- 7 Q Did I hear you say that you had been personally
- advised by Inspector MacIntyre, who you believe to be
- the leader of the Homicide Task Force looking into
- this matter, that -- explaining this letter that your
- phones were not tapped? I'm just wondering if you --
- 12 didn't you tell us that --
- 13 A If you say he's the leader I'll accept that. Again,
- 14 I'm not going to say how your organization or your
- investigation is set up, but he did speak with me
- about it and I told you what he said but that doesn't
- satisfy me.
- 18 Q No, that's fine.
- 19 THE COURT: The question is were you told that
- your telephone had not been tapped by Inspector
- 21 MacIntyre?
- 22 A I don't remember him saying it that way, My Lord.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- 24 Q MR. MARTIN: Were you told -- were you given
- assurances by a local prosecutor, a Federal prosecutor
- also that your home and office phones were not tapped?
- 27 A No, I didn't speak, I didn't speak with a local

- 1 prosecutor about this.
- 2 Q You didn't at all? Could you tell us -- it upset you
- but you didn't make personal inquiries?
- 4 A The inquiries were made by my counsel.
- 5 Q Your counsel Mr. --
- 6 A Mr. Kemp.
- 7 Q Mr. who.
- 8 A Mr. Kemp.
- 9 Q I see. Can I just show you a letter and could you
- tell me if you have you seen this before, it's dated
- January 9, 1994 and it's address by Mr. Pringle, it
- appears to be signed by a Federal prosecutor named
- 13 MacDonald?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q A copy directed to Inspector MacIntyre?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q That's the police officer you spoke to?
- 18 A Yes, that's right.
- 19 Q All right. And it has to do apparently with a trial
- of one Ivan Brien et. al.?
- 21 A That's the riot trial.
- 22 Q Yes, and apparently Mr. Pringle wrote a letter on
- January the 26th with this being answered on January
- the 29th; have you seen this letter?
- 25 A Yes, I have.
- Q So you're familiar with the second paragraph of this
- 27 letter?

- A Iam.
- 2 Q And it would say Mr. Marshall has never been a primary
- 3 target in regard to any intercepted communications,
- neither his office phone nor home phone have ever been
- 5 tapped. Any intercepted communications involving Mr.
- 6 Marshall were only the result of his communications
- with a primary target?
- 8 A Yes, I've seen that.
- 9 Q I take it you don't believe this either?
- 10 A I'm not accepting that --
- 11 Q I see.
- 12 A -- until I see the packet.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A That's what I was referring to earlier, Mr. Martin.
- 15 Q I see.
- 16 A The conversation between my co-counsel on the riot
- 17 trial and another, and another lawyer.
- 18 MR. MARTIN: My Lord, I will be moving to another
- area and and frankly I need no more than a five-minute
- 20 adjournment if I may.
- 21 THE COURT: We'll take five minutes. You'll
- understand the usual rule, Mr. Marshall?
- 23 MR. MARSHALL: Yes, My Lord.
- 24 (ADJOURNMENT)
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Martin.
- 26 MR. MARTIN: My Lord, thank you.
- 27 O MR. MARTIN: Mr. Marshall, this letter I just showed

- you a moment ago here dated the 29th of January to Mr.
- Pringle, he was your co-counsel in this riot trial?
- 3 A Yes, he was.
- 4 Q You have read the entire letter?
- 5 A Yes, I have.
- 6 Q And you received it, was it late January or the early
- 7 part of February?
- 8 A It would be around the time of its date.
- 9 Q Do you want to have a look at this to see that it's
- the letter that you actually read? And how about if
- we make an exchange, I'll give you this letter and you
- show me your notes?
- MR. ORRIS: Excuse me, My Lord, as far as Mr.
- Marshall's notes are concerned, those notes may
- contain communications which are privileged and I
- would be somewhat concerned that -- about turning them
- over to the Crown in that regard.
- 18 THE COURT: To the extent that they have been
- referred to in these proceedings, I should have
- thought that waiver of privilege was evident.
- 21 MR. ORRIS: Well, as far as the extent that they
- have been referred to I have no difficulty with that,
- but Mr. Marshall has not referred to his notes and
- 24 disclosed any communications between himself and Mr.
- Warren. So what I would suggest, My Lord, is that Mr.
- Marshall extract from the notes any references to
- communications he had directly with Mr. Warren. The

- balance of the notes I have no difficulty going to the
- 2 Crown, but I'm just concerned about the direct
- communications between Mr. Warren and Mr. Marshall.
- 4 MR. MARTIN: At this stage, My Lord, at least at
- this time, I have no difficulty with that. I was not,
- at this time, interested in looking at the notes for
- 7 that purpose.
- 8 MR. ORRIS: Yeah, no I'm not suggesting --
- 9 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Marshall referred to them and I
- would like to see them.
- 11 MR. ORRIS: No, I understand that, and I'm not
- 12 suggesting that my friend was intending to look at
- 13 that.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, can they be edited?
- 15 MR. ORRIS: I believe -- well I'm sure -- well I
- 16 assume they can.
- 17 A They can be, My Lord.
- 18 THE COURT: Then if you would undertake to do
- that, Mr. Marshall, so as to leave visible that to
- which you referred in giving your evidence and any
- other related material which does not include
- communications that are subject to privilege. Could
- you do that?
- 24 A I can do that, My Lord.
- 25 THE COURT: Then we'll have that material turned
- over to Mr. Martin. Would that suffice your purposes,
- 27 Mr. Martin?

- 1 MR. MARTIN: It would. I assume this will be done
- over the noon hour; is that the idea?
- 3 THE COURT: I take it we can do it over the noon
- 4 hour?
- 5 MR. MARTIN: All right. It's -- excuse me, My
- 6 Lord, for stepping before you but it just leaves me in
- an awkward situation in the sense that my -- Mr.
- 8 Marshall has referred to these notes in giving his
- 9 evidence and I would like to know what's there in
- preparing for my cross. Could he give us some idea as
- 11 to how long this might take? Is it a matter of a few
- minutes or -- in other words, can we do it within the --
- 13 THE COURT: You can have access to the copier in
- the court house, Mr. Marshall, it would save you going
- back to the office.
- 16 A If we can do that I can do it fairly quickly, My Lord.
- 17 THE COURT: And I'm sure that Mr. Clerk will see
- that you are given every assistance there.
- 19 MR. ORRIS: Can we do that now?
- 20 THE COURT: Shall we break then so that that can
- be done? We'll break then and I'll await to hear from
- you.
- 23 (ADJOURNMENT)
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Martin?
- 25 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, My Lord.
- Q MR. MARTIN: Mr. Marshall, you've had a chance to
- read this letter that I referred you to earlier?

- A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q And that's the letter that you had seen earlier?
- 3 A Yes, I had seen that letter.
- 4 Q And even though it's a -- a xerox was the exhibit Ms.
- Boothroyd showed you of your notice, you would agree
- 6 that this is the letter you looked at? This is the
- letter that Mr. Pringle showed you signed by
- MacDonald?
- 9 A Yeah, I got a copy of that letter actually.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Around about, around about that date.
- 12 Q Okay, thank you very much.
- 13 MR. MARTIN: My Lord, could it become the next
- 14 exhibit please?
- 15 THE COURT: That will be 50?
- 16 MR. CLERK: Yes, My Lord.
- 17 MR. ORRIS: My Lord, before it becomes an exhibit,
- frankly I don't know what the purpose of it is? The
- contents speak of a number of things, some of which
- actually I welcome but I don't know how it assists
- Your Lordship in the sense that it's communication
- from one lawyer to another, not Mr. Marshall, although
- 23 he gets a copy. It is an indication that -- I take it
- my friend is concerned about the second paragraph.
- 25 That, of course, is --
- 26 THE COURT: Pandora's box seems to have opened and
- 27 now everything is jumping out.

```
MR. ORRIS:
                          Well, My Lord, I don't have any
 1
 2
           difficulty with it being filed as an exhibit, my
 3
           position I would take is that if my friend is
           intending to rely upon its contents as being the
 4
 5
           truth, then I would object to that, that's all. As
 6
           far as --
      THE COURT:
                          Perhaps you can indicate to me what
           your purpose is, Mr. Martin?
 8
                          Well, My Lord, at this stage in these
      MR. MARTIN:
           proceedings it appears to me the Crown will be calling
10
11
           Mr. Reimer, the author of the notice, to explain, to
           explain the notice, and I expect that will happen so
12
           if Mr. Orris has some concerns about this letter, I
13
           don't mean to take more time in debating this issue,
14
15
           it doesn't have to be exhibited. Mr. Marshall
16
           referred -- was referred to the paragraph that I
17
           wished to refer him to and he agreed that he was aware
           of it and not persuaded. That's all I wanted to do,
18
           it doesn't have to be exhibited.
19
      THE COURT:
                          I have made a very short note to the
20
21
           effect that he did not accept Paragraph 2 of the
22
           letter until he has seen the contents of the packet.
23
      MR. MARTIN:
                          That's right.
                          So I take it then you are withdrawing
24
      THE COURT:
25
           that.
      MR. MARTIN:
26
                          Thank you.
27
           MR. MARTIN:
                          Mr. Marshall, did I hear you to say
```

that as of August of 1992 you were retained by the CASAW Union? 2 That's when I, that's when I got my retainer, Mr. Α 3 Martin and, as I indicated, it's the union that -- the union came to me to ask me to act, but they asked me to act on behalf of members who were charged with criminal offences. So for each of those members, my client was that individual. But, as well, I did, I did consider that I was retained by the union for 9 certain other things that really were union business 10 or union business with members being affected. 11 Okay. Q 12 But on the criminal matters the client was the Α 13 individual who was charged and the union was taking 14 responsibility for paying for his defense. 15 I appreciate the detail but your THE COURT: 16 answer is yes, you were retained in August 1992 by The 17 Canadian Association of Smelter and Allied Workers? 18 Well, I think you have to take my answer as I 19 described it, My Lord. They came to me and asked me 20 if I would act. 21 I see. THE COURT: 22 And the terms of the retainer were --Were you retained by them at that THE COURT: 24 time? 25 Yes, I was. 26 All right. THE COURT: 27

- 1 Q MR. MARTIN: Thank you. And the last part of your
- answer was, if I understood you correctly, you said
- your fees to provide legal services to the union and
- 4 their members were paid by the union?
- 5 A The union looked after those, Mr. Martin, yes.
- 6 Q Thank you. And so we're clear, it's this union which
- 7 was at that time on strike, their members were on
- strike or had been locked out of the Giant Mine, this
- 9 is the union we're talking about?
- 10 / A Yes.
- 11 Q And the charges you are talking about arose as a
- result of that strike?
- 13 A Yes, they did.
- 14 Q And the union business you are talking about arose as
- a result of that strike as well?
- 16 A It did.
- 17 Q All right. And so it was in that context of
- representing the union and its striking members that
- you were retained; do I have that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And they were paying, the union was paying for your
- 22 fees?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Thank you. And if I just understand the extent of the
- retainer, you were doing business with the union and --
- on the one hand, you were acting for members who had
- been charged criminally; is that correct?

- A Yes.
- 2 Q Is that all members who had been charged criminally?
- 3 A I'm not aware that anyone charged criminally was
- 4 represented by anybody else.
- Q All right.
- A When we got to Mr. Warren's situation, that became
- different.
- g Q All right.
- 9 A But up until then, anyone who was charged criminally
- 10 / came to me.
- 11 Q All right. And in terms of going anywhere else, since
- the union was paying for you to represent those boys
- free, you were their lawyer free of charge to them?
- 14 A Well, they had that benefit, yes.
- 15 Q Right. And you were also, I take it, as I understand
- it, there to advise union members with regard to other
- legal matters, in particular police investigations and
- other potential criminal charges that might be laid?
- 19 A There were -- once the explosion, the investigation of
- the explosion came up, that became -- at times that
- 21 became an issue because the union, the union was not
- wanting to, to get involved in business that really
- went beyond the picket line and with the investigation
- there were other kinds of legal problems about a
- 25 person's individual rights, about whether they had to
- go to the police or not, whether they had to take a
- 27 polygraph or not, and we gave, we gave some advice but

- frequently the advice was you should go and retain a
- lawyer of your own choice if you have any concerns
- 3 about being investigated or asked for statements.
- 4 Q I'm still talking about August of '92 and so we're
- 5 clear, you're attending to the union's business?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You're representing, so far as you think now, all of
- 8 their members who had been facing criminal charges and
- you are available to all of their striking members to
- offer free legal advice?
- 11 A Well, it wasn't free.
- 12 Q But the union was paying for it, free to the members?
- 13 A The union was paying for it.
- Q Right, so free to the members?
- 15 A That would be fair, yes.
- 16 Q All right. And during that time one of your clients
- was Mr. Warren, Roger Warren?
- 18 A Yes, he was.
- 19 Q And that's this man sitting right here?
- 20 A Yes, Mr. Warren is here.
- 21 Q Right. And that was the situation then for some time
- and you say that after the blast things changed a
- 23 little bit?
- 24 A In the way I -- in the way I've just described it.
- 25 Q Right.
- 26 A People, people were having concerns about how they
- were, how they were being approached by the police.

- 1 Q And, so I understand it, and it is your understanding
- that the union then, in addition to having you do its
- legal work, also had other lawyers available to their
- striking members who would offer free legal advice
- with regard to criminal investigations or dealings
- 6 with the police?
- 7 A I can't help you on that. I'm not able to tell you
- 8 what other arrangements there were.
- 9 Q Well, I want to put it to you did you know, for
- instance, that my learned friend, Mr. Orris, was
- working in that capacity for the union? So that
- striking members could call him or his office to
- obtain free legal advice?
- 14 A I can't -- I'm not able to help you on that, Mr.
- 15 Martin.
- 16 Q You don't know? Who could tell us that?
- 17 A I don't know. It's not for me to answer that
- 18 question.
- 19 Q I see, all right. I take it, sir, you were still,
- even after the blast, giving legal advice which was
- 21 free to the striking members; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, it carried on until the matters that I was
- 23 dealing with --
- 24 Q Right.
- 25 A -- would be finished.
- 26 Q And, in particular, and I don't want to get into the
- confidential nature of this, but in particular you,

- throughout this time, from September of 1992 until let
- us say the 18th of October, 1993, during that time you
- were available to give free legal advice to Mr.
- Warren, and by that I mean free to Mr. Warren, with
- 5 regard to strike-related matters, criminal
- investigations arising from those matters and so on?
- 7 A Yes, I would be.
- 8 Q Thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: What date was that again now?
- 10 MR. MARTIN: That was from September of 1992 until
- 11 October the 18, 1993.
- 12 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Marshall?
- 13 A Yeah, the better date would be October 16th, that's
- the day that the situation crystalized.
- 15 Q MR. MARTIN: You want to say that some time after
- you spoke with Mr. Warren the situation crystalized?
- 17 A It became, it became clear on October the 16th that
- Mr. Warren would now be in a different situation.
- 19 Q Well, let's -- we have this choice here, we get into
- these communication and we get into them and if we
- don't, we don't. And all I'm asking you, and I think
- you've answered the question, that at least until you
- spoke with Mr. Warren on the night, night of October
- the 16, 1993, you were available to give him legal
- advice which was free to him?
- 26 A That is so.
- 27 Q And there is no question about that in your mind,

- right? That is so, you've just said that?
- 2 A Yes, he could --
- 3 Q Yeah?
- 4 A -- he could contact me --
- 5 Q Right.
- 6 A -- about strike-related matters.
- 7 Q Right. You are a lawyer who lives here in
- 8 Yellowknife?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you are -- are you designated in the phone book as
- 11 a lawyer?
- 12 A I think I am, yes.
- 13 Q So if we looked up Austin Marshall in the white pages
- we would see lawyer and your home number there?
- 15 A My home number is there as well as my office number.
- Whether they're one under the other, I just don't --
- 17 I'm not able to tell you, Mr. Martin, but you can look
- it up.
- 19 Q Thank you. And I take it that your name is there in
- 20 bold black print?
- 21 A It is.
- 22 Q Standing out from the other names around it?
- 23 A It is.
- Q Yes. Are you also in the Yellow Pages under lawyer?
- 25 A I think I have a listing there too.
- Q And this was so in October of 1993?
- 27 A To my knowledge, yes.

- 1 Q Yes. The -- referring to the month of October of
- 2 1993, Mr. Marshall, were you aware that your name was
- on a list of lawyers that was -- of criminal lawyers
- who -- that the police regularly gave to prisoners?
- A Yes, my name has been on that list for sometime, Mr.
- 6 Martin.
- 7 Q Okay. And that list would contain, as well, your home
- 8 number and your office number?
- 9 A Both of them should be there and I get calls at both.
- 10 / Q Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: So just so that I am clear, where is
- this list kept?
- 13 A The R.C.M.P. detachments would have it, My Lord. To
- my knowledge they have it around the Territories.
- 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you.
- 16 Q MR. MARTIN: And the idea is that you are available
- 17 then to give legal advice to people who call you
- 18 effectively night and day?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And Mr. Warren, obviously, would be among those people
- and indeed he would get his advice free until the
- night of October the 16th at least?
- 23 A Mr. Warren would know, from our relationship, that if he
- had a legal problem that had anything to do with the
- 25 strike he could call me.
- Q Thank you. And that included, obviously, criminal
- charges arising from the strike since you were

- representing him on one?
- 2 A Well, that's really the matters that people were
- dealing with me on, those and any breaches of
- 4 injunction.
- Thank you. Just a final question, did I hear you to
- say, and I didn't take note of this, that you weren't
- aware if there were other lawyers who were also
- retained to act in that capacity; that is, to offer
- free legal advice to striking union members after the
- 10 blast that killed the nine men on September the 18th?
- 11 You don't know whether or not there were?
- 12 THE COURT: Are you referring to October 1993?
- 13 Q MR. MARTIN: No, I was referring to September 18,
- 14 1992. I'm just wondering -- you have indicated that
- to that time you were the only one offering free legal
- advice and after that you continued. I just want to
- 17 know were there other lawyers as well who were
- retained in that same capacity to give free legal
- 19 advice to striking union members?
- 20 A M'hm.
- 21 Q If you don't know it's fine?
- 22 A Well, Leo McGrady and Gina Fiorillo, to my knowledge,
- would be in a similar relationship to the union local
- 24 as I was. We were doing different aspects of the
- 25 work.
- 26 Q Thank you. Just a very small detail, I take it also
- 27 that Mr. Warren had your business card as of August of --

- 1 or indeed June of '92?
- 2 A I don't know whether he had my card or not.
- 3 Q You don't ordinarily pass out your card to your
- 4 clients?
- 5 A If a client needs it, if I know a client might be
- 6 wanting to call me and they haven't got my letterhead
- handy, then I would give them a card. But I don't, I
- 8 don't hand it out each and every time I meet a client.
- 9 Q Thank you. And I would like to take you now to the
- afternoon of October the 16th, you went to, to see
- Mrs. Warren; is that correct?
- 12 A I saw Mrs. Warren. I went first to meet somebody
- because of the phone call I got and then we went to
- the Warren apartment.
- 15 Q Okay. Harry Seeton was then the president of the
- 16 CASAW Union?
- 17 A Yes, he was.
- 18 Q He contacted you and as a result of that conversation
- you went to see Mr. Warren?
- 20 A Yes, that's how it happened. I met him first and we
- went into the apartment.
- 22 Q That's fine. And so Mrs. Warren did not get a hold of
- you directly, Mr. Seeton did?
- 24 A He called me, yes.
- 25 Q And when you spoke to Mrs. Warren there was another
- lady there?
- 27 A Yes.

- Q That lady was not your client?
- 2 A No, she was not.
- Q You were having a general conversation with Mrs.
- Warren and another person who was not your client or
- you were not giving her legal advice?
- 6 A That would be right.
- 7 Q She was clearly within earshot and heard the
- conversation?
- 9 A Yes, she was sitting with us.
- 10 MR. MARTIN: My Lord, I would like to ask some
- 11 questions with regard to this conversation and there
- are very few and indeed, I'll tell you this, it's
- simply whether or not Mrs. Warren told him that she
- had been informed by the police as to what had been
- going on with her husband.
- 16 MR. ORRIS: Well, My Lord, I don't think I'm in a
- position to take, to take a position on that. My
- friend is asking about communications between Mr.
- Marshall and Helen Warren obviously not in the
- presence of my client. I don't know whether he
- considers those to be privileged or not, I don't think
- I can take a position on that. If he --
- 23 THE COURT: We don't want to intrude on any matter
- which is privileged, that's the usual course, isn't
- it? Where is this taking us, Mr. Martin?
- 26 MR. MARTIN: Let me again withdraw. All I wanted
- to establish was, in effect as a courtesy, that Mrs.

- Warren had been kept informed and I will not press it
- 2 here.
- 3 Q MR. MARTIN: Sir, after you left the Warren
- 4 apartment, did you say you went back to your office?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q And sometime after that you went to the police
- 7 detachment?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And you were then advised that Mr. Warren was not
- present, indeed he was some distance out of
- 11 Yellowknife?
- 12 A That's what I learned in the course of that
- conversation with the officer who I understand is
- 14 Officer Steggles.
- 15 Q Thank you. Were you told prior to that, sir, that
- 16 Corporal White of the R.C.M.P. Task Force had been
- trying to get in touch with you?
- 18 A I think I was. I think I was aware that it was
- Corporal White who had tried to get me at home and
- then when I spoke with my wife, I think at that point
- I was aware that Corporal White was in the picture.
- 22 Q So at the time you went to the police station do I
- understand the situation was this, you were aware that
- 24 Corporal White had been trying to reach you through
- your wife?
- 26 A Yes, and that's what I told Officer Steggles is that
- it was Corporal White that was trying to get me.

- Q Right. And so you were -- the answer is yes to that
- 2 question?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q And I'm just wondering, do you have an answering
- 5 service at your office?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you have a recording machine there?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q So if people want to call you, let's say if somebody
- wanted to reach you that Saturday, how would that be
- done?
- 12 A If they phone the office number they can leave a
- message on the answering machine if it's on.
- 14 Q Was there a message on your answering machine from
- 15 Roger Warren?
- 16 A No, I don't recall a message from Roger Warren on the
- 17 answering machine.
- 18 Q So the message was -- had been left for you to contact
- 19 Corporal White and that, you understood, was with
- regard to Mr. Warren, that was the message. In other
- words, Corporal White didn't want to invite you to go
- bowling, he wanted you to call him regarding Mr.
- Warren, I think actually you said that in your direct
- evidence?
- 25 A That's what I, that's what I understood.
- 26 Q Right. And you left the R.C.M.P. detachment, when you
- left, you left a message for White to call you; is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A I wanted to, I wanted to talk to Roger Warren. I
- wanted to see Roger Warren but I was told I couldn't
- because he was not there. So the message was that I
- wanted to see Roger Warren, but they were telling me
- that I would get a phone call when they were back.
- 7 Q Yeah.
- 8 A Where could I be reached.
- 9 Q Okay. My question was, when you left the detachment
- did you leave a message for Corporal White to phone
- 11 you?
- 12 A Well no, no, I wanted to talk to Roger Warren.
- 13 Q You left no message for White to call you?
- 14 A No, I didn't leave that message. They asked me for my
- phone numbers where I could be reached.
- 16 Q I see.
- 17 THE COURT: Let me try to understand, Mr.
- Marshall. When you were at the police barracks and
- you didn't succeed in reaching Mr. Warren, did you
- then arrange that they would call you when they came
- 21 back?
- 22 A That's the way -- it got left that way, My Lord,
- because they asked where I could be reached.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 25 A So I told them where I would be.
- 26 THE COURT:
- Thank you.
- 27 MR. MARTIN:

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

Just one moment please, My Lord.

- THE COURT: I notice it's about five minutes after
- the usual lunch break time, would this be a good time
- 3 to break?
- 4 MR. MARTIN: You're very indulgent, My Lord, yes,
- 5 thank you very much.
- 6 THE COURT: We'll break then and we'll come back
- 7 at 2 p.m.
- 8 (COURT ADJOURNS TO 2 P.M.)
- 9 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Martin?
- 10 MR. MARTIN: My Lord.
- 11 Q MR. MARTIN: Mr. Marshall, I have only very few
- questions remaining. Can I clarify one matter here,
- when you mentioned to us this morning that you were
- giving free legal advice to the striking members of
- the CASAW Union with regard to their obligations or
- lack of obligation of taking a polygraph examination;
- I heard you say that this morning?
- 18 A That came up in part of my testimony, yes.
- 19 Q Yes. And those polygraph examinations were an
- investigative aid into the homicide which occurred on
- 21 September the 18, 1992?
- 22 A That's what we understood.
- 23 Q They were not used before that time?
- 24 A I don't know, but I began to hear about them after
- 25 September the 18th.
- 26 Q Thank you very much. Sir, neither my friend nor I, as
- you know, are from here and I just -- could I ask you

- if you had a prisoner call you let us say on a
- Saturday morning and advise that he had just been
- arrested and in custody, where would you go here in
- 4 Yellowknife to see him?
- 5 A You would expect him to be at the detachment if he's
- just been arrested.
- 7 Q Okay. And do you understand the procedure is that on
- 8 weekend arrests or weekend arrivals from other
- 9 communities outlining Yellowknife, if they arrive on
- the weekend they go to the Yellowknife Detachment and
- stay there until Monday morning?
- 12 A That's often what will happen. I'm not fully familiar
- with the procedure, perhaps a prisoner could end up at
- Y.C.C., but I think normally they would stay until
- they are dealt with by a judge and then the judge --
- the judge's order would lead them to Y.C.C. if they're
- going to remain in custody.
- 18 Q The judge on the Monday morning court appearance you
- 19 mean?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q All right. And so it would be exceptional to find
- that person, an arrested prisoner on -- in custody on
- the weekend at Y.C.C. but you say it can happen?
- 24 A Well, it's my experience that they would be at Y.C.C.
- 25 Q Oh, I'm sorry?
- 26 A I'm not in a position -- at the detachment, I'm not in
- a position to speak authoritatively about the

- administrative procedure.
- 2 Q All right.
- 3 A Only what I've experienced.
- 4 Q And that's been your experience since '83 you've been
- 5 here you said?
- 6 A Yes, I have.
- 7 Q All right.
- THE COURT: You said at Y.C.C. there and then you
- said in the detachment, I'm not entirely clear which
- 10 you meant?
- 11 A The detachment, My Lord.
- 12 THE COURT: The detachment, thank you.
- 13 Q MR. MARTIN: And just a final point with regard to
- these weekend remands, they would be -- as you
- understand it these people would be seen by a J.P. and
- still held here on the weekend and then on Monday
- morning come to a judge and then go to Y.C.C.?
- 18 A If they're going -- if their detention is going to
- continue, they should be seen by a Justice of the
- 20 Peace promptly --
- 21 Q M'hm.
- 22 A -- after their arrival.
- 23 Q And that's been your experience that -- let's take a
- 24 Saturday morning arrest, somebody calls you on
- 25 Saturday at noon, it would be your experience that
- that person you would find him at R.C.M.P. cells and
- that person would be seen by a J.P. over the weekend

- 1 within the appropriate time?
- 2 He's supposed to be.
- Kept in cells, brought to a court, a Territorial Court on 3 Q
- Monday morning and, if appropriate, released or turned
- over to Y.C.C. at that time; is that a fair 5
- 6 description?
- 7 That's the way the procedure should operate in my
- 8 understanding, yes.
- Right. And has since about '83, since you came here?
- 10 I've been -- in my first few years I was here I was / **A**
- working as a legal counsel at the Territorial 11
- Government so I didn't do any criminal law, but from 12
- 13 1987 onwards that's been my understanding of how the
- 14 system is supposed to work.
- Are you primarily engaged in criminal law, sir? 15
- 16 No, actually my practice is primarily litigation but
- not, not exclusively criminal law. The last couple of 17
- 18 years criminal law has dominated by practice, but the
- civil side of my practice is what's flourishing at the 19
- 20 moment.
- 21 Thank you very much, I appreciate it.
- 22 Let me make one comment, you've repeatedly referred to
- free legal advice, I think you're clear in what I've 23
- 24 said it's not free.
- 25 THE COURT:
- No we understand that, Mr. Marshall.
- 26 MR. MARTIN:
- Yes. Thank you, sir.
- 27 THE COURT:
- Free to the individual but not free as

- far as you were concerned.
- 2 Yes.
- THE COURT: 3
- All right.
- And it went beyond advice, it was representation.
- MR. MARTIN:
- Thank you very much, sir.
- THE COURT:
- Yes. All right, Mr. Orris?
- MS. BOOTHROYD:
- Nothing arising, Your Honour.
- THE COURT:
- Or Ms. Boothroyd?

skill and ability.

- 9 MS. BOOTHROYD:
- Thank you, I have nothing arising.
- THE COURT: 10
- All right. Thank you, Mr. Marshall,

Certified correct to the best of my

- you may step down. 11
- Thank you, My Lord. 12
- (WITNESS STANDS DOWN) 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17

- 18
- 19
- 20

- 21
- Sandra Burns Court Reporter
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27