AUG 28 1993

FILED

CV 03864

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

ROYAL OAK MINES INC.

- and -

CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF SMELTER AND ALLIED WORKERS

AMOS SIMON

Transcript of the Sentence delivered by The Honourable Mr. Justice M.M. de Weerdt, sitting at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on April 28, A.D., 1993.

## APPEARANCES:

MR. L. ROSE:

Counsel for the Crown

MS. V. SCHULER, Q.C.:

Counsel for the Applicant

MR. A. MARSHALL:

Counsel for the Respondent

IN THE SUPREMS COURT OF THE LORTHWEST PERMITORIES

And the light that the land of the land of

PERSONAL PROPERTY AND PROPERTY

新华班子第一个100%

remanding the desirable fallwared by The Monourable

materia evido real Tengrico

THE DISTRIBUTE OF THE BUILDING

dashingesi add tud fernusia

OFFICIAL COURT REPORT

THE COURT: As counsel have pointed out to me, the Court's manner of disposing of such cases in the past has been to fix a sentence and suspend it for a period under conditions so that the sentence would not have to be served if the conditions were complied with.

That would have been the obvious way to treat the matters before the Court were it not for the fact that Mr. Simon was before the Court on an earlier occasion. He was held to be in criminal contempt on that occasion and was dealt with in that way on that occasion. To his credit, I'm told and I believe that he lived up to the conditions that were imposed on that occasion.

As his counsel has pointed out, this is not a case where any violence occurred. He has apparently recently been convicted of a criminal offence involving some violence on the picket line back last May. There's no suggestion of any behaviour of that kind here, and I therefore merely note that in passing, and I do not think that I will allow it to influence my decision on sentence here.

What concerns me most is the fact that he has already been before the Court and found guilty of a criminal contempt by publicly defying the Court in breaching the injunction order. That, apparently, occurred back in June of 1992 and, as I say, it appears that he lived up to the conditions that were

imposed by the Court on his being found guilty of that offence.

As counsel know, and as I have mentioned, I have given serious thought to imposing a substantial monetary penalty here in the belief that those who may be encouraging, inciting, and instructing, directing, assisting, or hoping to benefit from Mr. Simon's activities might be prevailed upon to pay rather than see him made the victim of their schemes. However, I grant what Mr. Marshall has said to be correct that I do not have sufficient before me to take that approach although what I am saying may be carried back to others so that they will appreciate that the Court's blindfold is not completely covering every inch of its face.

I'm going to give you an opportunity, if you wish, Mr. Simon to speak to the Court on your own behalf.

Is there anything that you wish to say before the Court reaches its disposition in this case?

MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Simon doesn't have anything he

wishes to say at this time, My Lord. He's content to what has already been submitted.

23 THE COURT: Very good then.

On the first of the charges which concern the events of April 6, 1993, the Court has found you guilty of criminal contempt for being in breach of the injunction order as charged; and for that offence, it

imposes a sentence of 10 days which will be suspended for a period of three months subject to the same conditions as applied in the order of this Court made on December 30, 1992.

On the second count, the Court has found you guilty of criminal contempt of Court as charged, and the sentence the Court is that you shall serve a further 10 days consecutive to the first but suspended for a period of a further three months; that is to say, perhaps it would be better to suspend it simply for six months on the same terms and conditions as before.

In reaching this disposition, I have not ignored what Mr. Marshall has said about your preference, Mr. Simon, and I can well appreciate that you might prefer not to be subjected to the terms of the suspension but on weighing that carefully, I want you to look on this as perhaps your last chance because should you incur a term of imprisonment, I can assure you that on a third occasion, the Court -- and this might not be myself, it could be any of our forty odd Judges sitting here -- may very well consider that a condign punishment is necessary. I leave you with that in mind, and I trust that you give it some thought.

I take it there is nothing else that I can do other than dispose of exhibits if that should be necessary.

1 MS. SCHULER: My Lord, there is "C" in the Notice of
2 Motion, the one incident at the muck crossing. I'm
sorry, perhaps I misunderstood. Is the first sentence
4 that you indicated for "A" and
5 THE COURT: For "A" and "B" together. I see those
6 as two sides of the same coin. The second one is for
7 event "C".
8 MS. SCHULER: All right, thank you.
9 THE COURT: Well, then I thank counsel. I may say
I have been somewhat more lenient than I might have
been, but Mr. Marshall has conducted the proceedings
in a way to minimize the costs to the public and,
undoubtedly, he has done that on your instructions and
14 therefore I have given you credit for that.
15 MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, My Lord.
15 MR. MARSHALL: Thank you, My Lord.  16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.
resident and at the property of the control of the
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord. 17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17  18 PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17
16 MS. SCHULER: Thank you, My Lord.  17  18  PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED  19  20  21  22  Certified Pursuant to Practice Direction #20 dated December 28, 1987.  23  24  Lois Hewitt,

SPERCIAL COURT REPORT