IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

versus

S. DENEYOUA, C. DENEYOUA, D. DENEYOUA, M. HORESAY.

Reasons for Judgment

BEFORE:

Mr. Justice C. F. Tallis, held in the Main Courtroom, Federal Building, Yellowknife, N.W.T., on Monday, July 11, 1977 at 3:15 p.m.

Nethercut & Company Limited Official Reporters 44 York Street Toronto, Ontario M5J 1R4

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES
2	
3	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN
4	versus
5	S. DENEYOUA, C. DENEYOUA,
6	D. DENEYOUA, M. HORESAY.
7	Reasons For Judgment
8	
9	
10	BEFORE: Mr. Justice C. F. Tallis, held in the Main Courtroom, Federal Building,
11	Yellowknife, N.W.T., on Monday, July 11, 1977 at 3:15 p.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	B. Fontaine, Esq. Counsel for the Crown
17	P. Ayotte, Esq. Counsel for S. Deneyoua & M. Horesay
18	T. Richard, Esq. Counsel for D. D. Eneyoua &
19	C. Deneyoua.
20	
21	The sentiment of the se
22	Nethercut & Company Limited, Official Reporters,
23	44 York Street,
24	Toronto, Ontario. M5J 1R2

P. A. O'Neill.

Per:

THE COURT:

Yellowknife, N.W.T. July 11, 1977.

I propose forfirst

-

of all deliver judgment in the case of Christine

Deneyoua and I think the reasons for judgment will be

applicable to all cases. My reason for giving

judgment in a little more detail in at least one of

the cases is to lay down some guidelines for future

consideration. The appellant Christine Deneyoua was

charged with an offence in the following terms, that

she did on or about the 28th day of May A.D., 1977 at

or near Fort Simpson in the Northwest Territories while

bound by a probation order made by the Magistrate's

Court on the 24th of May, 1977 wilfully fail to

comply with such order, to wit, abstain totally from

the consumption or possession of alcohol contrary to

Section 666, S.S. (1) of the Criminal Code.

appeared without counsel and pleaded guilty and was sentenced by the presiding Justice of the Peace to a term of six months imprisonment. She has appealed the sentence imposed on her. Unfortunately the record in this appeal does not contain any evidence or information concerning the submissions that were placed before the presiding Justice of the Peace. Apparently a

pre-sentence report was not obtained.

In future I would like to
point out that in cases of this kind it is imperative
that all aspects of the matter of sentencing be fully
canvassed by the Court. As a matter of record the
Court should insist on a comprehensive pre-sentence
report in a case of this nature with full and complete
details of any proposals or programmes available for
a youthful offender such as the appellant. This will
involve a co-ordinated effort on the part of prosecuting
authorities and where the prosecution is represented
by a police officer I include a police officer in that
definition, the Department of Social Development,
Native Court workers and other agencies that are
involved in dealing with matters of this kind.

cases, and this is a classic example, evidence should be induced as to the availability of employment in the community, the possiblity of vocational training and the availability of upgrading courses before sentence is passed. It is of course desirable for the Court to know whether or not the individual such as this appellant is ready to avail herself of opportunities if such are available. It is often desirable for the Court to insist that counsel be made available to the accused. This is particularly



1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so where a substantial prison term is sought.

The appellant in this particular

case, as previously mentioned, was convicted of a criminal offence under Section 666, S.S. (1):

> "An accused who is bound by a probation order and who wilfully fails or refuses to comply with that order is guilty of an offense on summary conviction."

The maximum penalty that can be imposed for such an offence is set forth in Section 722, S.S. (1) of the Criminal Code which provides as follows:

> "Except where otherwise expressly provided by law everyone who is convicted of an offence punishable on summary conviction is liable to a fine of not more that \$500.00 and to imprisonment for six months or to both." The facts in this particular

The appellant was bound by case are not in dispute. the terms of a probation order made by Magistrate Slaven at Fort Simpson in the Northwest Territories on Toronto, Ontario



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

May 24th, 1977. Within a few days after the order a breach was committed of the one term which required her to abstain completely from the use and possession of alcohol. There is no suggestion in the facts set forth that she was impaired or creating a disturbance at the time of her apprehension. The Liquor Ordinance of the Northwest Territories contains several provisions dealing with the unlawful consumption of liquor and I refer in this particular case to Section 67 (1) and Section 88 of that ordinance. In short Section 67 (1) makes it an offence for a person under age to consume or have liquor in his or her possession. Section 88 provides a penalty and that penalty is a maximum of seven days imprisonment and a fine of \$25.00. Those are the outer limits.

appellant is eighteen years of age. She resides in the Fort Simpson district in the Northwest Territories. She comes from a large family and at the present time it should be noted that she has grade six by way of education. At the time of this offence she was not employed. Since her incarceration which was effected June 1st, 1977 the Court does have the benefit of a report prepared by Mrs. Anne Enge, Chief Matron at the

. Enge has done

22 23



Toronto, Ontario

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a commendable job in dealing with these young people and in placing before the Court in a very succinct way her candid views. I quote from her report:

> "Christine is a shy and quiet girl and is eighteen years of age. She has never held a job in Simpson and is totally dependent on her parents for a living. She has been out of school for two years. She is presently employed at the Twin Pine Motor Inn and seems to be doing a fairly good job. She can have this job as long as she wishes. She is contemplating attending adult vocational training school in Fort Smith in September. This girl needs quidance, supervision and counselling for the next few years because her attitude for the future appears to be somewhat negative. Her behaviour here is excellent."

24

25

then at the conclusion of the report with reference

Terento, Ontario



to all of the girls she has this to say.

3

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Their future looks quite bleak but I don't believe that it is too late to help them in some form or other. They really should try to improve their education and get a trade of some kind or learn to hold down a steady job. The four girls have been very good here and all work well."

I have no doubt, and indeed I am prepared to take judicial notice of the fact that there is an extremely high rate of unemployment in the Fort Simpson district as in other districts. prospects of work for an untrained or an unskilled person of any age are very limited to say the least. I think that Mrs. Enge has clearly recognized this when she has emphasized the desirability of these accused improving their education and getting training of some type. I have no doubt that liquor has been a major problem in the appellant's background.

Learned counsel for the appellant frankly acknowledged this and Mr. Sibbeston who appeared as counsel initially dealt with the matter in very vivid



3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

terms. It should be noted that Mr. Sibbeston has personally lived in that community and spoke with some personal knowledge. The record of the appellant with respect to infractions arising under the Liquor Ordinance or related matters arising from the use of liquor is not good. While she may not feel that liquor is a problem there is no doubt in my mind that it has been a contributing factor to her problems and unless she is prepared to realize that then of course the future is quite bleak for her. When this matter first came on before me I expressed deep concern over the matter of sentencing in this case. From the record it appeared to me that the various aspects of the sentencing process had not been fully canvassed. Furthermore, the appellant was not represented by counsel. This is most unfortunate, because I am satisfied that many relevant factors were not brought to the attention of the Court below. Under the circumstances I gave detailed directions to counsel when this matter first came before me by way of appeal. I indicated that the Court required a detailed pre-sentence report and also a co-ordinated effort by various agencies and departments to bring forward a suitable or alternative plan or programme for the consideration of the Court. I have already

24



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

co-operation has been extended by many people in this connection. Counsel appearing on the case have gone out of their way to deal with this matter not only on a legal basis, but also canvassing all aspects of the case and this includes some of the social aspects that were raised before me.

I do hope that this approach will serve at least as a model or stepping stone for future cases of this kind. I have already mentioned the fact that Mrs. Enge has gone out of her way to do what she can. Mrs. Sear was good enough to appear here in Court and personally speak at length on matters affecting not only this appellant but the other three appellants who are before me. I think that this is a good example of the work that often goes unnoticed when it is performed by the Native Court Workers Association. It is, however, gratifying to know there are people who are prepared to assist their own kinfolk in trying to salvage something out of what could turn out to be broken lives in the future. I have alreadly remarked that counsel apparently have received co-operation from many other social workers and it is my hope that in due course even greater co-ordination will occur.

In recent times an administrator

24

d to the Court. Mr. Paul Schaurte and



. 15

for those of you who do not know him I happen to know that he has a very distinguished record as an R.C.M.P. officer when he was stationed very near an Indian reservation at Rosthern, Sasketchewan. His ability to work with the people and communicate with them was such that when he was transferred they held a civic function for him on the reserve. I mention that because I know that he will undoubtedly be happy to assist in any future approaches of this nature.

I feel that if this type of approach is taken in future cases the saving to the public in both monetary and non-monetary terms will be substantial.

review in some detail the situation concerning the sentence imposed in this case because it does point out a rather anomalous situation. If the appellant had been charged with a substantive offence under Section 88 of the Liquor Ordinance the maximum penalty would have been seven days imprisonment plus a \$25.00 fine.

However, the same unlawful act when proceeded with in this case results in a prison term of six months. I have reviewed the

ontending and I am satisfied from those



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

authorities that the sentence imposed in this case cannot stand. This is an offence committed by the appellant under the Criminal Code, but the history of this accused's own troubles centres around basically in infractions of the Liquor Ordinance. In the case of a youthful offender custodial sentences are generally to be avoided but when they are imposed they should be as short as possible. This principle of sentencing was fully discussed by Mr. Justice Sinclair in Regina versus Beacon and Modley, 31 Canadian Criminal Cases, Second Series at page 56. Similarly other Courts have dealt with the situation involving youthful offenders and I refer particularly to the words of Chief Justice Culligan in the Queen versus Morrissette et al 12 Criminal Reports, New Series, page 392, at page 395 and I quote:

best be protected by the

imposition of sentences that

punish the offender for the

offence committed that may

deter him and others from

committing such an offence,

that may assist in his reforma-

tion and rehabilitation.

"In my view the public can

21

22

23

24



"the offender is one for whom reformation is beyond question then the public can be protected only by depriving him of his feedom. In the case of other offenders, particularly young offenders, the principal element for consideration consonant with the maintenance of public confidence and the effective enforcement of the criminal law should be the offender's reformation and rehabilitation. This view finds support in the report of the Canadian Committee on Corrections which states at page eighteen..."

and there the learned Chief Justice quoted as follows:

"The Comittee sees the overall end of the Criminal Process as the protection of society and believes that this is best achieved by an attempt to rehabilitate offenders and that

22

19

20

21

23

24



24

25

"society is given long term protection at least expense in human values and material resources. The Committee believes that traditional punsihment has been overstressed as a means of crime prevention, yet it does not deny the necessity for punishment as a sanction and accepts that in some cases the person may be so dangerous as to justify his segregation from the community for the periods up to the whole of his life." That jugment has been accepted

in many jurisdictions as being a classic treatise on
the philosophy of sentencing. I fully recognize that
the appellant has been involved in a number of
infractions with the Liquor Ordinance and related matters.
However, in this particular case I believe that if
appropriate steps are taken there is a reasonable hope
of reform and rehabilitation. The appellant's conduct
and activities in recent weeks when under supervision
at the Yellowknife Correctional Centre would indicate

S pelle and



Toronto, Ontario

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that she has already moved along this road. continued assistance, direction and support there is a good possibility that she will continue along this road. The interests of society and the appellant will be much better served if this approach is taken.

There is in my view no future for the appellant or society if she persists in leading a life of crime. Society will end up paying for her upkeep in a penal institution and she will spend most of her days there.

Under the circumstances I feel that this Court should also concern itself with the fact that the appellant will have a further criminal conviction if the sentence stands in its present form. This is a vital consideration and one only has to look at the position that the Court took in a recent case in Montreal where three senior police officers were charged and pleaded guilty to an offence much more serious than this case. In that particular instance an eloquent plea was obviously made for an absolute discharge and in that case it is significant that the accused persons saw fit to retain leading and highly experienced counsel at the lower Court level. I am not prepared to look as leniently on the situation here, but I do feel that in the light of the programmes and positions put forward

following order.

today the ends of justice can be served if I make the



21 22

The appeal from sentence is allowed and in view of the time already served in jail I am going to grant the appellant a conditional discharge on the statutory conditions prescribed in the Criminal Code, that is that she is to keep the peace and be of good behaviour and will appear before this Court when required to do so and in addition to those statutory conditions I impose the following additional provisions and I wonder if you would have your client stand up please.

The additional conditions that

I impose are that you shall report to and be under the supervision of Ms. Betty Menicoche or any person designated by her and in particular you shall obey all directions given by her and without restricting the generality of the foregoing all directions with respect to a curfew, handling of monies earned, place of residence and reporting to Ms. Betty Menicoche or her designee. You shall make reasonable efforts to seek and maintain employment or to continue in an educational or training programme. You shall immediately advise

Ms. Betty Menicoche or her designee of any change of address or residence or employment or in your educational.



or training programme.

You shall remain within the Northwest Territories unless you are given written permission by Ms. Menicoche or her designee to leave the Territories. The order is to be in force for one year from this date.

Are you prepared to abide by those conditions?

THE ACCUSED C. DENEYOUA: Yes.

THE COURT: Without any

reservation at all? All right, you may sit down. Now,

I wonder if you would have the other three stand up.

I will now deal with the appeals of Dora Deneyoua,

Shirley Deneyoua and Maraley Horesay and without repeating what I have said, for the reasons that I have already delivered in the case of Christine Deneyoua I am allowing the appeal from sentence in each case and in doing that

I grant each of the appellents a conditional discharge on the statutory conditions prescribed in the probation order as set forth in Section 663 (2) of the Criminal Code and also the following conditions and I am going to read them even though this is repetitious.

each one of you. You shall report to and be under the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

designated by her and in particular you shall obey all lawful directions given by her and without restricting the generality of the foregoing all directions with respect to a curfew, handling of monies earned, place of residence and reporting to Ms. Betty Menicoche or her designee. You shall make reasonable efforts to seek and maintain employment or to continue in an educational or training programme. You shall imediately advise Ms. Betty Menicoche or her designee of any change of address or residence or employment or in your educational or training programme. You shall remain within the Northwest Territories unless you are given written permission by Ms. Menicoche or her designee to leave the Territories. This order is to remain in force from a period of one year from this date. Now, are you prepared to abide by those terms of that probation order?

THE ACCUSED S. DENEYOUA: Yes.

THE ACCUSED C. DENEYOUA: Yes.

THE ACCUSED M. HORESAY: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, would

Christine stand up too. Now, in due course a copy of the probation order will be read to you or you will be required to read it and a copy of it will be given to you. You must understand that if you willfully fail

18

19

-/

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or refuse to comply with the probation order and you ought to know this after the session you have been through, you will be brought before this Court and sentenced for a breach of the probation order and you must also understand that if you commit any offence in addition to being prosecuted as I mentioned above or if you break the terms of the order you can be brought back before this Court and I can make changes or additions to the conditions presibed in it and I can even extend the order for an additional period. Now, I want the four of you to understand that this has not been any laughing matter as far as I am concerned.

18

You have come here today as you did the other day asking/the Court to have mercy on you. If you choose to defy this Court as you did the Court below in disobeying the orders then you will have nobody to blame butyourselves when you are paraded in front of me in the prisoner's dock and I will not be thinking in terms of leniency for you and I will not be thinking about very much whether or not you would like to go back to Fort Simpson. I have given a lot of weight to your wishes here today, probably more than I should have if I had been rigid, but I want you to understand, if you go back to Fort Simpson and you are immediately getting into trouble you will not see Fort



1 /Simpson for a long long time after that. Do you 2 understand that? 3 THE ACCUSED C. DENEYOUA: 4 THE COURT: Do you? 5 THE ACCUSED. S. DENEYOUA: Yes. 6 THE ACCUSED D. DENEYOUA: Yes. 7 THE ACCUSED M. HORESAY: Yes. 8 THE COURT: All of you understand it. Is there anything further, gentlemen? 9 10 MR. AYOTTE: My Lord, I just 11 wondered, as far as the appellant Shirley Deneyoua is concerned, there were two conditional discharges, there 12 13 were two appeals? 14 THE COURT: That judgment will 15 apply to both of those cases, but I think one, if you 16 would draw the probation order so it recites them both 17 it would save you a duplication of draftsmanship. If 18 I am not here that probation order can be signed in 19 front of a clerk or deputy clerk of the Court, because 20 as you know I am committed to going to Hay River. 21 --- Adjourned.

22

23

24