NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL Cite as: Eisner v. 909039 Ontario Ltd., 1993 NSCA 27

Clarke, C.J.N.S.; Hallett and Roscoe, JJ.A.

BETWEEN:

)	Randall	P.H. Balcome	
Appellant		for the Appellant		
))))	David Farra for the Re		
Respondent)))	Appeal Hea February		
))))	Judgment I February		
)))			
)		Appellant) David Farra) for the Appellant) David Farra for the Re) Appeal Hea February Judgment I	

THE COURT: Appeal dismissed with no order as to costs per oral reasons for judgment of Hallett, J.A.; Clarke, C.J.N.S. and Roscoe, J.A. concurring.

The reasons for judgment of the Court were delivered orally by:

HALLETT, J.A.

This is an appeal from an interlocutory order. The chambers judge found the appellant did not have an interest in the subject-matter of the lawsuit. We would not interfere with the exercise by the chambers judge of his discretion not to grant intervenor status to the appellant.

The appeal is dismissed. There will be no order for costs.

J.A.

Concurred in:

Clarke, C.J.N.S.

Roscoe, J.A.

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

BEIWEEN:		
DONALD EISNER	,	
- and - 809039 ONTARIO LIMITED, a body corporate	Appellant Respondent	REASONS FOR JUDGMENT BY HALLETT, J.A (orally)