NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

Cite as: McCormick Estate v. Landry, 1997 NSCA 150

Clarke, C.J.N.S.; Hart and Pugsley, JJ.A.

BETWEEN:

ESTATE OF RAYMOND J. McC	ORMICK	Harvey M. McPhee Nancy F. Barteaux for the Appellant
	Appellant)
- and -		
		Harold A. MacIsaac for the Respondents
BLAIR T. LANDRY and DEBRA ANNE (CHIASSON) LA	NDRY	·)
	Respondents	Appeal Heard: September 11, 1997
		Judgment Delivered: September 11, 1997)))
	Ś	

THE COURT: Appeal dismissed from the assessment of damages resulting from a motor vehicle accident, per oral reasons for judgment of Clarke, C.J.N.S.; Hart and Pugsley, JJ.A. concurring.

NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL

BETWEEN:

ESTATE OF RAYMOND J	J. McCORMICK)
- and -	Appellant)) REASONS) FOR) JUDGMENT
BLAIR T. LANDRY and DEBRA ANNE (CHIASSO	N) LANDRY) BY:))) CLARKE, C.J.N.S) (Orally)
	Respondents) (Ofally))))
))

The reasons for judgment of the Court were delivered orally by:

CLARKE, CJ.N.S.:

The respondent Debra Anne Landry was injured in a motor vehicle accident on June 9, 1993. The appellant admitted liability.

Following a trial, Justice Edwards assessed damages in his decision delivered January 27, 1997. The order resulting therefrom dated February 17, 1997 awarded the respondents a total of \$143,158.74 based on the following distribution.

General Damages
Past Loss of Income
Diminution of Future Income 60,000.00
Special Damages
Quantum Meruit
Costs 8,135.00
Interest on General Damages 3,902.25
Interest on Loss of Income
Interest on Special Damages
Disbursements
TOTAL\$143,158.74

The appellant appeals from each of the several awards made by the trial judge.

The appellant contends, as it states in its notice of appeal, that the trial judge "erred in law with respect to his decision on the amount of damages awarded to the Respondents ... and his decision is contrary to the law and not in conformity with the weight and preponderance of the evidence."

After reviewing and carefully studying the record and considering the submissions of all counsel, we have concluded that there was sufficient evidence before Justice Edwards to make the findings of fact, credibility and the resulting awards which he did. In the absence of substantial error which affected his assessment of the facts or his application of the law, it is not the function of this Court to intervene.

There are numerous authorities to this effect consistent with and more recent than the oft quoted **Stein v. The Ship "Kathy K"**, [1976] 2 S.C.R. 802, at pp. 806-8. Examples include **Toneguzzo-Norvell (Guardian ad litem of) v. Burnaby Hospital**, [1994] 1 S.C.R. 114, McLachlin, J. At p. 121; **Cole et al v. Cole Estate** (1994), 131 N.S.R. (2d) 296, Roscoe, J.A. at p. 300; **Dillon v. Kelly** (1996), 150 N.S.R. (2d) 102, Pugsley, J.A. at p. 111 para. 57.

Accordingly the appeal is dismissed. The respondents are awarded costs on appeal in one bill of \$2,500.00 plus their disbursements.

Clarke, C.J.N.S.

Concurred in:

Hart, J.A.

Pugsley, J.A.