## **NOVA SCOTIA COURT OF APPEAL**

Citation: R. v. Gallie, 2015 NSCA 50

**Date:** 20150520 **Docket:** CAC 432264

Registry: Halifax

**Between:** 

Gordon Arthur Gallie

**Appellant** 

v.

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

Restriction on Publication: Pursuant to s. 486 of the Criminal Code of Canada

**Judge:** The Honourable Justice Joel E. Fichaud

**Appeal Heard:** April 1, 2015, in Halifax, Nova Scotia

**Subject:** Criminal law – improper cross-examination – character

evidence – prior consistent statements – jury charges –

protection of property defence

**Summary:** The jury found Mr. Gallie guilty of sexual offences involving

two girls, aged nine and ten. The verdict turned on credibility. Mr. Gallie submitted that the jury's assessment of credibility was compromised for several reasons that constituted his

grounds of appeal.

**Issues:** Did the judge's charge sufficiently blunt the effect of the

Crown's improper cross-examination of Mr. Gallie? Was a limiting instruction required for character evidence or prior consistent statements? Did the judge's slips in his charge

affect the jury's appreciation of the Crown's burden of proof? Did the judge wrongly refuse to put the defence of property to the jury?

**Result:** 

The Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal. The judge's charge alleviated the impact of the improper question on cross-examination. No limiting instruction was required for character evidence or prior consistent statements. The judge's slips of the tongue in his charge were remedied by repeated and clear instructions elsewhere in the charge. The judge correctly determined that the proposed defence of property had no air of reality.

This information sheet does not form part of the court's judgment. Quotes must be from the judgment, not this cover sheet. The full court judgment consists of 27 pages.