

CHILDREN'S COURT RULES AND FORMS

1986 Recompilation

Article

ARTICLE 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS; ALL PROCEEDINGS

Rule

10-101. Scope and title.

A. **Scope.** These rules govern the procedure in the children's courts of New Mexico in all matters involving children alleged to be delinquent, in need of supervision, abused or neglected, as defined in the Children's Code.

B. **Construction.** These rules are intended to provide for the just determination of children's court proceedings. They shall be construed to secure simplicity in procedure, fairness in administration, elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay and to assure the recognition and enforcement of constitutional and other rights.

C. **Title.** These rules shall be known as "Children's Court Rules and Forms".

D. **Citation form.** These rules and forms shall be cited by set and rule or form numbers, as in SCRA 1986, Rule 10-_____ and SCRA 1986, Form 10-_____.

[As amended, effective January 1, 1987.]

Although there are various statutory provisions authorizing the supreme court to adopt rules of procedure in civil and criminal cases, including rules for the children's court, the rulemaking power of the supreme court is a constitutional power which inherently belongs to the judicial branch of government under the doctrine of separation of powers. See *State v. Roy*, 40 N.M. 397, 60 P.2d 646 (1936); *State v. Arnold*, 51 N.M. 311, 183 P.2d 845 (1947).

The rulemaking power traditionally extends only to proceedings conducted by the judicial branch of government. Thus the supreme court in the original rules of procedure governing the children's court did not establish procedures to be followed by the human services department (formerly the health and social services department) regarding alleged neglected children until formal court action had begun. The standing committee on criminal proceedings in the district court recommended to the supreme court that

expanded neglect rules be adopted to assure uniformity in proceedings and eliminate any confusion caused by the absence of a clearly defined procedure. The supreme court accepted the recommendation and as of November 1, 1978, Children's Code provisions governing neglect prior to the onset of formal court action are modified by these rules. Effective February 1, 1982, these rules apply to abused children cases.

The supreme court did not believe this was a departure from its traditional view of its rulemaking procedure since Section 32-1-4 NMSA 1978 authorizes the supreme court to adopt rules of procedure in children's court proceedings and the Children's Code itself envisions that the human services department will be primarily responsible in neglect and abuse situations. See Section 32-1-15 NMSA 1978.

A more complete discussion of the rulemaking power of the supreme court is found at the beginning of the commentaries to the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Rules 10-101 and 10-102 set forth the scope, purpose and construction of the Children's Court Rules of Procedure. The scope of the rules is specifically limited to children's court proceedings in which a child is alleged to be delinquent, in need of supervision, neglected or abused. Section 32-1-10 NMSA 1978 of the Children's Code gives the children's court exclusive original jurisdiction of a number of other proceedings. These rules are not intended to establish procedures for these other proceedings, nor do they apply to court proceedings involving juveniles who allegedly have violated municipal ordinances or Motor Vehicle Code provisions which are not governed by the Children's Code under Sections 32-1-30 and 32-1-48 NMSA 1978.

As of January 1, 1982 no judicial district had established a family court division under Section 32-1-4 NMSA 1978. If such a division were to be established, these rules would apply only to proceedings in which a child is alleged to be delinquent, in need of supervision, neglected or abused. Other proceedings over which a family court would have jurisdiction pursuant to Section 32-1-10 NMSA 1978 are not intended to be within the scope of these rules.

In terms of purpose and construction, it must be emphasized that the procedures set forth in these rules supersede many of the procedures set forth in the Children's Code in effect at the time of adoption of the original rules and the revised rules.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Children's Code definitions, see 32-1-3 NMSA 1978.

For establishment of children's court as division of district court (or additional family court division), see 32-1-4 NMSA 1978.

Effective dates. - Pursuant to an order of the supreme court dated September 24, 1986, the above rule is effective on or after January 1, 1987.

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

Rule 11 (now see Rule 10-111) limits inherent power of district judge. - Rule 11 (now see Rule 10-111) limits the inherent power of a district judge to appoint a special master in children's court. State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

Consequence of violating Rule 49(b) (now see Paragraph B of Rule 10-229) is dismissal. - Consistent with this rule, and giving effect to the mandatory aspect of the time requirements of Rule 49 (now see Rule 10-229), the consequence of violating Paragraph B of the latter rule is dismissal. State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 31, 595 P.2d 1221 (Ct. App. 1979).

Law reviews. - For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 42 Am. Jur. 2d Infants §§ 16, 17; 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 4, 8, 13 to 15.

Marriage as affecting jurisdiction of juvenile court over delinquent or dependent, 14 A.L.R.2d 336.

Homicide by juvenile as within jurisdiction of juvenile court, 48 A.L.R.2d 663.

Court's power to punish for contempt a child within the age group subject to jurisdiction of juvenile court, 77 A.L.R.2d 1004.

Right to jury trial in juvenile court delinquency proceedings, 100 A.L.R.2d 1241.

Right of bail in proceedings in juvenile courts, 53 A.L.R.3d 848.

Expungement of juvenile court records, 71 A.L.R.3d 753.

Extradition of juveniles, 73 A.L.R.3d 700.

Parent's involuntary confinement, or failure to care for child as result thereof, as evincing neglect, unfitness or the like in dependency or divestiture proceeding, 79 A.L.R.3d 417.

21 C.J.S. Courts §§ 124 to 134; 43 C.J.S. Infants §§ 7, 98.

10-102. Definitions.

As used in these rules:

A. "abused child" means an abused child as defined by the Children's Code;

- B. "adult" means an individual who is eighteen (18) years of age or older;
- C. "child" means an individual who is less than eighteen (18) years old;
- D. "child in need of supervision" means a child in need of supervision as defined in the Children's Code;
- E. "children's court attorney" means any attorney authorized by statute or court rule to represent the state under the Children's Code;
- F. "clerk", when used without further qualification, means the clerk of the children's court division of the district court;
- G. "court", when used without further qualification, means the children's court division of the district court, and includes a referee or special master appointed by the court;
- H. "custodian" means a person, other than a parent or guardian, who exercises physical control, care or custody of the child;
- I. "delinquent act" means a delinquent act as defined in the Children's Code;
- J. "delinquent child" means a child who has committed a delinquent act;
- K. "department" means the Human Services Department;
- L. "detention facility" means a place where a child may be detained under the Children's Code pending court hearing;
- M. "guardian" means the person having the duty and authority of guardianship under the Children's Code;
- N. "guardianship" means guardianship as defined by the Children's Code;
- O. "in the custody of the department", for purposes of neglect or abuse proceedings, means that the department or a person or agency designated by the department has actual physical control over the person of the child without the consent of the child's parents, guardian or custodian;
- P. "judge", when used without further qualification, means the judge of the children's court division of the district court;
- Q. "legal custody" means legal custody as defined in the Children's Code;
- R. "neglected child" means a neglected child as defined in the Children's Code;
- S. "parent" includes a natural or adoptive parent;

T. "person" means an individual or any other form of entity recognized by law;

U. "probable cause" means reasonable cause or reasonable grounds;

V. "protective supervision" means protective supervision as defined in the Children's Code;

W. "record" means:

(1) stenographic notes which must be transcribed when a "record" is required to be filed;

(2) a statement of facts and proceedings stipulated to by the parties for purposes of review; or

(3) any mechanical, electrical or other recording, including a videotape recording, when such method of mechanical, electrical or other recording has been approved by the court administrator;

X. "respondent" means:

(1) in a proceeding on a petition to revoke probation or alleging delinquency or need of supervision, the child who is alleged to have violated the terms or conditions of his probation or to be delinquent or in need of supervision; and

(2) in a proceeding on a petition alleging neglect or abuse, the parent, guardian or custodian who allegedly neglected or abused the child;

Y. "restitution" means restitution as defined in the Children's Code; and

Z. "statement" means:

(1) a written statement made by a person and signed or otherwise adopted or approved by such person;

(2) any mechanical, electrical or other recording, or a transcription thereof, which is a recital of an oral statement; and

(3) stenographic or written statements or notes which are in substance recitals of an oral statement.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

Rule 10-102 contains definitions of terms used in the rules. Certain terms defined in the Children's Code are redefined in the rules, either to limit the scope of the Code definition or to expand the Code definition to more adequately cover neglect matters. Additionally, terms are defined in the rules which are not defined in the Code itself.

Specifically, Paragraphs G and P of Rule 10-102 abbreviate the definitions of "court" and "judge" contained in Section 32-1-3C and D NMSA 1978 so that these terms better fit within the scope of the rules. The definition of "court" was expanded in 1982 to include referees and special masters to conform with Subsection C of Section 32-1-3 NMSA 1978. This does not mean that special masters may exercise all of the powers of an elected judge. See commentary to Rule 10-111.

Terms which are defined only in the rules are "clerk", "department", "in the custody of the department", "respondent", "probable cause" and "records".

In the 1978 revision of the rules, the terms "in the custody of the department" and "statement" were added to the definitions. The other 1978 revisions include placement of all defined terms in alphabetical order and substitution of the new designation, "human services department", for the former "health and social services department".

"Department" and "in the custody of the department" apply only to neglect proceedings. A definition of "in the custody of the department" was added to specify the circumstances in which the shorter time limits in Rules 10-114, 10-305, 10-307 and 10-308 apply. For the shorter time limits to apply, the child is not in his home and the parents, guardian or custodian of the child have not agreed to custody by the human services department. Thus, if the parents, guardian or custodian of an alleged neglected child consent to custody in the department or the child is actually in their custody, although under court supervision pursuant to Rule 10-303, then from that point on, the child is not "in the custody of the department" and the longer time limits for the filing of the petition, filing of motions (Rule 10-114), disposition upon acceptance of an admission or consent decree and commencement of the adjudicatory hearing will apply.

"Probable cause" is defined as reasonable cause or reasonable grounds. The definition is necessary since the Code uses the terms without definition and seemingly interchangeably. (See e.g., Sections 32-1-24 and 32-1-29 NMSA 1978.) However, there appears to be no distinction in the legal meaning of the terms. Probable cause is defined in terms of reasonable cause or reasonable grounds and vice versa. *State v. Selgado*, 78 N.M. 165, 429 P.2d 363 (1967); *Carroll v. United States*, 267 U.S. 132, 45 S. Ct. 280, 69 L. Ed. 543 (1925). "Probable cause" was adopted as the definitive phrase for three reasons: (1) to eliminate any confusion as to whether reasonable cause or reasonable grounds is a higher or lesser standard than probable cause; (2) to allow utilization of the established and growing body of case law defining probable cause in the adult criminal system; and (3) to avoid the confusion inherent in using several terms to express one concept.

The definition of "record" in Paragraph W of Rule 10-102 is taken from Rule 5-111 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

The definition of "statement" is taken from Paragraph F of Rule 5-501 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. It is used in Rules 10-213, 10-214 and 10-216 of these rules.

The following definitions are contained in the Children's Code:

Section 32-1-3 NMSA 1978

"abused child," Subsection M;

"adult," Subsection B;

"child," Subsection A;

"child in need of supervision," Subsection N;

"custodian," Subsection I;

"delinquent act," Subsection O;

"delinquent child," Subsection P;

"detention facility," Subsection R;

"guardian," Subsection G;

"guardianship," Subsection H;

"legal custody," Subsection J;

"neglected child," Subsection L;

"parent," Subsection F;

"person," Subsection K;

"protective supervision," Subsection Q; and

"restitution," Subsection S.

ANNOTATIONS

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 1, 2, 6, 23, 24.

43 C.J.S. Infants §§ 6, 98.

10-103. General rules of pleading.

A. Form. Every pleading shall contain a caption setting forth the name of the court, the title of the action, the file number and a designation as to the type of pleading.

B. Adoption by reference. Statements made in one part of a pleading may be adopted by reference in another part of the same pleading.

C. Name of respondent. In any pleading, the name of the respondent shall be stated, or, if his name is not known, he may be described by any name or description by which he can be identified with reasonable certainty, together with a statement that his name is not known.

D. Amendment of pleadings. No pleading shall be deemed invalid, nor shall the inquiry, hearing, judgment or other proceeding thereon be stayed, arrested or in any manner affected because of any defect, error, omission, imperfection or inconsistency therein which does not prejudice the substantial rights of the respondent on the merits. The court may at any time prior to an adjudication on the merits cause the pleadings to be amended to cure errors, defects, omissions, imperfections or variances if substantial rights of the respondent are not prejudiced. Upon ordering such an amendment of a petition or other pleading, the court shall grant a continuance to any party whose ability to present his case has been affected by the amendment.

E. Amendment of offense. At any time prior to commencement of the adjudicatory hearing and subject to the provisions of Rule 10-107, the court may allow the petition to be amended to charge the respondent with an additional or different offense. Upon allowing such an amendment and upon the request of the respondent, the court shall grant a continuance to allow further time for preparation.

F. Signing of pleadings. Every pleading of a party represented by an attorney shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in his individual name and his address shall be stated. A party who is not represented by an attorney shall sign his pleading and state his address. Except when otherwise specifically provided by rule, pleadings need not be verified or accompanied by affidavit. The signature of the attorney constitutes a certificate by him that he has read the pleading, that to the best of his knowledge,

information and belief there is good ground to support it and that it is not interposed for delay. If a pleading is not signed or is signed with the intent to defeat the purpose of this rule, it may be stricken as sham and false and the action may proceed as though the pleading had not been served. For a willful violation of this rule, an attorney may be subjected to appropriate disciplinary action. Similar action may be taken if scandalous or indecent matter is inserted in the pleading.

No substantive changes were made in this rule in 1978. The rule contains the basic guidelines for pleadings in children's court proceedings.

Paragraphs A and B are identical to Rule 5-202 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Forms adopted by the supreme court show the proper caption for the pleadings.

Paragraph C is substantially identical to Rule 5-202 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Paragraph D is patterned after Paragraph A of Rule 5-204 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and is designed to prevent challenges to pleadings based on technical defects, errors, omissions or variances. The court may allow the pleadings to be amended to cure such technical defects if the request is made prior to the conclusion of the adjudicatory hearing and if substantial rights of the respondent are not prejudiced. If such an amendment affects the ability of a party to present his case, a continuance must be granted.

Paragraph E is designed to allow the addition of a new or different offense to a petition if the motion to amend is made before the adjudicatory hearing begins and the amendment conforms to the requirements of Rule 10-107 for joinder of offenses. The respondent in such a case is entitled to a continuance if he requests it. A continuance at the request of the state is left to the discretion of the court.

Paragraph F is identical to Rule 5-206 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

It should be noted that in children's court proceedings, the term "offense" has an expanded meaning and includes not only violations of criminal statutes and ordinances, but also violations of those standards of conduct defined by the Children's Code in 32-1-3 NMSA 1978 as constituting "need of supervision" and "neglect."

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Rules of Professional Conduct, see Rule 16-101 et seq.

For Supreme Court Rules Governing Discipline, see Rule 17-101 et seq.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 40 to 42, 66.

10-104. Notice of hearings; service and filing of pleadings.

A. **When required.** Except as provided in Paragraph B of this rule or unless the court otherwise orders, every pleading, every order not entered in open court, every written motion unless it is one as to which a hearing ex parte is authorized and every written notice, demand and similar paper shall be served:

(1) on each party, except service on a child alleged to be a neglected or an abused child shall be made on the child's guardian ad litem; and

(2) on the parents, guardian or custodian of a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision. For purposes of this rule, service on a "parent" or "parents" means service on the parent having legal custody of the child, if the parents are separated or divorced. If the parents of the child reside together, service on one parent shall satisfy the requirements of this rule.

B. **Exceptions.** The provisions of this rule shall not apply to:

(1) service of summons and copy of the petition, Rule 10-105;

(2) notice of preliminary inquiry when the child is in detention, Rule 10-202;

(3) notice of detention, Rule 10-208;

(4) notice of detention hearing, Rule 10-211;

(5) service of an ex parte custody order, Rule 10-301;

(6) notice of custody, Rule 10-302; and

(7) notice of custody hearing, Rule 10-303.

C. **When made.** Notice of all formal hearings provided for in these rules and notice of hearings on written motions, other than those which may be heard ex parte, shall be served not later than five (5) days before the time specified for the hearing, unless a different period is fixed by these rules or by order of the court. An order allowing a different period for service of a notice of a formal hearing may for cause shown be made on ex parte application.

D. **How made.**

(1) If a party or a person entitled to service is represented by an attorney or guardian ad litem, service shall be made upon the attorney or guardian ad litem only, unless service upon such party or person is required by these rules or is ordered by the court. If the party or person is not represented by an attorney or guardian ad litem, service shall be made upon such party or person.

(2) Service shall be made either by delivering a copy to the attorney, guardian ad litem, party or person or mailing a copy to his last known address. "Delivery" shall mean: handing it to the attorney, guardian ad litem, party or person; leaving it at his office with his secretary or other person in charge, or if there is no one in charge, leaving it in a conspicuous place therein; if the office is closed or the party or person has no office, leaving it at his usual place of abode with some person of his family above fifteen (15) years of age, informing such person of the contents thereof; or leaving it in a mail depository authorized by the attorney to be served. "Mailing" shall include deposit in an outgoing mail container which is maintained in the usual and ordinary course of business of the serving attorney. Service by mail shall be deemed complete upon mailing.

E. Filing. All original papers, copies of which are required to be served, must be filed with the court either before service or immediately thereafter. Such filing shall be made with the clerk of the court, who shall endorse on the papers the day, month and year that they are filed. The judge of the court may permit papers to be filed with him, in which case he shall note upon them the filing date and transmit them to the office of the clerk.

F. Proof of service. Except as otherwise provided in these rules or by order of court, proof of service shall be made by the certificate of an attorney of record, or if made by any other person, by the affidavit of such person. Such certificate or affidavit shall be filed with the clerk or endorsed on the pleading, motion, notice or other paper required to be served. Failure to make proof of service does not affect the validity of the service.

G. Failure to serve. If the respondent in a neglect or abuse proceeding or a person entitled to service under Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph A of this rule cannot be found, the children's court attorney shall file a sworn affidavit to that effect. Upon the filing of said affidavit, no party shall be required to make service upon said person in accordance with this rule.

The rule establishes the basic procedure for the service of most notices and pleadings in a children's court proceeding. Notices and pleadings requiring special service are specifically excluded in Paragraph B of Rule 10-104. The procedure used to serve the notices and pleadings does not vary significantly from the procedures used in civil proceedings and adult criminal proceedings in the district courts. The persons upon whom the notices and pleadings are to be served does differ.

Certain provisions of Rules 10-104, 10-105 and 10-108 reflect the view of the original committee that the parents, guardian or custodian of a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision have a legitimate interest in children's court proceedings. The actions of the children's court may effectively limit or nullify the traditional right of the parents, guardian or custodian to the custody, supervision and control of their child. See *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 18 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1967).

The Children's Code subjects parents to specific liabilities. In delinquency cases, the parents may be made parties, may be ordered to submit to counseling or participate in a probation, treatment or institutional treatment program, and, if made a party and have not been determined to be indigent, shall be ordered to pay the reasonable costs of support, maintenance and treatment if the child is institutionalized. See Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978.

In abuse, neglect and need of supervision cases, the parents may be required to pay the reasonable costs of support and treatment if legal custody is vested in someone other than the parents. See Sections 32-1-41 and 32-1-47 NMSA 1978.

In delinquency, abuse, neglect or need of supervision proceedings, the parents may be ordered to pay the fees of the child's court-appointed attorney, the costs of medical and other examinations ordered by the court and court costs. See Section 32-1-41 NMSA 1978 and commentary to Rule 10-205.

Nevertheless, in delinquency proceedings, it is only the child, not the parents, guardian or custodian, who is the accused and whose actual liberty may be in question. Thus, the original committee felt that the interests of the parents, guardian or custodian of the accused child in the proceedings, although not raising them to the position of "parties" unless formal intervention is sought pursuant to Rule 10-108, do require that they be informed of the status of the proceedings.

Specifically, Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph A of Rule 10-104 requires service on each party. In delinquency proceedings, the parties are the state, the respondent and the parents of an alleged delinquent child if named pursuant to Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978. In abuse and neglect proceedings, the parties are the state, the respondent and the child allegedly abused or neglected. See Rule 10-108.

Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph A of Rule 10-104 requires service upon the parents, guardian or custodian of a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision. If the parents reside together, service of one copy of the notice or pleading suffices. If the parents do not reside together, only the parent, guardian or custodian having legal custody of the child is required to be served. The court may order that additional or different persons receive the copies of the notices and pleadings.

If a party or person required to be served is represented by an attorney or guardian ad litem, service is to be made upon his attorney or guardian ad litem under Paragraph D of Rule 10-104.

Written motions which may be heard ex parte are exempt from this rule. These motions include a stay pending appeal under Rule 10-118 and an ex parte custody order, Rule 10-301.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-104 enumerates the notices and pleadings which are not covered by Rule 10-104 and which are governed by the provisions of other rules. Except for the summons and copy of the petition and the ex parte custody order, the notices are not within the provisions of Rule 10-104 because the time limits involved in the relevant proceeding are such that the five-day notice requirement of Paragraph C of Rule 10-104 is inappropriate or unworkable.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-104 defining how service is made is substantially similar to Paragraph B of Rule 5-103 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The guardian ad litem receives service on behalf of the alleged abused or neglected child he represents. In terms of service, the role of the guardian ad litem is similar to that of the attorney for the respondent. See commentary to Rule 10-108 for discussion of the role of the guardian ad litem.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-104 relates to service by mailing. The "outgoing mail container" referred to in Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph D of Rule 10-104 denotes a container specifically designated and used solely for the purpose of receiving outgoing mail. The contents of the container should regularly and frequently be delivered to the United States postal service.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-104 on the filing of papers follows Paragraphs C and D of Rule 5-103 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Paragraph F of Rule 10-104 on proof of service follows Paragraph E of Rule 5-103 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The last sentence makes clear that failure to make proof of service is not a jurisdictional defect.

Paragraph G of Rule 10-104 contains a unique procedure if the person entitled to service cannot be located. Paragraph B of Rule 5-103 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts provides that in such cases the pleading shall be left with the clerk of the court and placed in the court file. Paragraph G of Rule 10-104 (and Rule 10-105) provide that if the parents, guardian or custodian of the accused child or the respondent in an abuse or neglect action cannot be found, the children's court attorney must file an affidavit to that effect with the court. Such a filing suspends the requirements of Rule 10-104. The notice requirements of the rule are not suspended if the person who cannot be found is the respondent in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding. If, after the affidavit is filed, the person returns and makes his

presence known, good faith and probably due process will require that such person receive all notices and pleadings filed subsequent to his return.

If an affidavit is filed in connection with the service of summons and petition under Paragraph F of Rule 10-105, that affidavit will fulfill the requirements of Paragraph G of Rule 10-104.

The only notice provision of the Code specifically superseded by Rule 10-104 is Section 32-1-29A(3) NMSA 1978 relating to transfer hearings.

The basic effect of Rule 10-104 on the Code is to put in notice time limits where none existed before, to establish a procedure for service of copies of notices and pleadings and to clarify who is to receive copies of notices and pleadings.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to summonses, and service thereof, in children's court, see 32-1-20 and 32-1-21 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 21, 43.

Authority of court, upon entering default judgment, to make orders for child custody or support which were not specifically requested in pleadings of prevailing party, 5 A.L.R.5th 863.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 99.

10-105. Summons.

A. **Issuance.** Upon the docketing of any petition, the court shall issue a summons directing the respondent that he will be required to appear before the court at the time and place stated in the notice of adjudicatory hearing.

B. **Form.** The summons shall be in a form approved by the supreme court.

C. **When served.** The summons and a copy of the petition shall be served upon issuance of the summons.

D. **Service on respondents.** The summons and copy of the petition shall be served on a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision in accordance with Rule 1-004 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts unless the court directs service by mail. In a neglect of child or abuse of child action, the summons and copy of the petition shall be served on the respondent as follows:

(1) if such person can be found within the state, the summons shall be served in accordance with Rule 1-004 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts unless the court directs service by mail; or

(2) if such person is within the state and cannot be found, but his address is known, the summons shall be served by certified mail; or

(3) if the children's court attorney files a sworn affidavit stating that such person resides or has gone out of the state, has concealed himself within the state, has avoided service of process upon him or cannot be located after due inquiry and search has been made or is in any manner situated so that process cannot be served upon him, then such person shall be served by publication. Service by publication shall be in accordance with Rule 1-004 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts provided that the notice of the pendency of the action shall be in the form approved by the supreme court.

E. Service on other parties. The summons and copy of the petition shall be served on the other parties to the action, except that service upon a child alleged to be neglected or abused shall be made upon such child's guardian ad litem. Service shall be by certified mail unless the court directs otherwise.

F. Service on other persons. An informational copy of the summons and petition shall be served on:

(1) the parents, guardian or custodian of a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision; and

(2) on the parents, guardian or custodian of a child alleged to be a neglected or an abused child if such person or persons are not respondents.

Service of an informational copy of summons and petition shall be by certified mail unless the court directs otherwise. For the purposes of this rule, service on a parent means service on both parents, unless parental rights have been terminated by court order, the parent is deceased or it is shown by sworn affidavit filed by the children's court attorney that the parent cannot be located after due inquiry and search have been made.

The rule governs the issuance of summons and service of the summons and a copy of the petition. The procedure set forth is unique to children's court proceedings in two ways. First, it differs from the summons format used in civil cases and criminal cases in the district courts in that the respondent is told he must appear before the court at the time specified in the notice of adjudicatory hearing (see approved summons form). The summons itself does not specify the time and place of appearance nor does it command the respondent to submit a written answer to the petition. No time is specified for appearance in the summons since one of the events that triggers the time limit for the

commencement of the adjudicatory hearing is the date the petition is served on the respondent (Rules 10-227 and 10-308). Accordingly, it is highly unlikely that the adjudicatory hearing will have been scheduled at the time the summons is issued.

Under Rule 10-104, the notice of adjudicatory hearing must be served at least five days before the date the hearing is set.

The second unusual aspect of the summons procedure is the requirement for service of informational copies. See Paragraph F. The summons itself directs only the respondent to appear to answer the allegations of the petition. The parents, guardian or custodian of a child alleged to be in need of supervision cannot be ordered to answer the allegations of the petition. The parents of an alleged delinquent child may be named as parties pursuant to Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978. In any event, as noted in the commentary to Rule 10-104, the original committee felt that the interests of the parents in the matter, even if they are not named as parties, required that they be informed of the proceedings. In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 18 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1967).

Rule 10-105 differs from Rule 10-104 in that Rule 10-105 requires that the summons and copy of the petition be served on both parents, if not living together, unless parental rights have been terminated by court order, a parent is deceased or the children's court attorney certifies that the parent cannot be located. Rule 10-104 requires that service of other pleadings and orders be made only on the parent with legal custody. The requirement of Paragraph F of Rule 10-105 is designed to assure that a parent not living in the family home is aware that his or her child has allegedly committed a delinquent act, is allegedly a child in need of supervision or is allegedly being abused or neglected by the other parent, guardian or custodian. In such situations, the absent parent, once aware of the problem, may come forward to offer assistance.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-105 requires that a summons be issued upon the docketing of a petition. Once served, the summons will provide the basis for issuance of a bench warrant if the respondent does not appear at the adjudicatory hearing (Rule 10-206).

Paragraph B of Rule 10-105 simply provides that the summons used will be in the form approved by the supreme court.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-105 requires that the summons and copy of the petition be served upon issuance of the summons. This requirement is intended to prevent unreasonable delays in service which might have the effect of lengthening the time limit for the commencement of the adjudicatory hearing. See Rules 10-226 and 10-308.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-105 requires personal service of the summons and petition on a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision, unless otherwise ordered by the court. The method of service is governed by Rule 1-004 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts. (See also Paragraph A of Rule 5-209 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.) Likewise, service on the respondent in a neglect case follows Rule 1-004 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District

Courts. Service by publication on the respondent in a neglect case is authorized under certain circumstances. A special publication form has been approved by the supreme court for this purpose.

Parties other than the respondent shall be served with summons pursuant to Paragraph E of Rule 10-105. Included in the category "other parties" are an alleged neglected or abused child and the parents, guardian or custodian of an accused child if, at the time of issuance of the summons, they have been allowed to intervene in the action pursuant to Rule 10-108 or if a parent has been named as a party pursuant to Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978.

The guardian ad litem of the alleged neglected or abused child is served in the same manner as an attorney for a party. The guardian ad litem must be appointed no later than at the time the neglect or abuse petition is filed. See Rules 10-108 and 10-305.

Rule 10-105 supersedes the procedural aspects of Sections 32-1-20, 32-1-21 and 32-1-37 NMSA 1978. It differs from Section 32-1-20 NMSA 1978 in several ways: (1) the summons is directed to the respondent; (2) the requirement that the child's spouse, if any, be served, is dropped; (3) issuance of a summons to a person does not necessarily make that person a party to the action (see Rule 10-108); and (4) the summons need not contain an advisement of rights. The provisions of Section 32-1-21 NMSA 1978, including the time limits, have been replaced by Rule 10-105. The provisional hearing procedure set forth in Section 32-1-37 NMSA 1978 when service is by publication has been superseded.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to summonses, and service thereof, in children's court, see 32-1-20 and 32-1-21 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 43.

Right of parent to notice and hearing before being deprived of custody of child, 76 A.L.R. 242.

10-106. Time.

A. **Computation.** In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by these rules, by the local rules of any children's court, by order of court or by any applicable statute, the day of the act, event or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included, unless otherwise provided by these rules. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday,

Sunday or legal holiday. For the purpose of this rule, a legal holiday shall include any day during which the offices of the clerk of the court are closed for any consecutive period of three (3) hours or more between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

B. Enlargement. When, by these rules or by a notice given thereunder or by order of court, an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the court for cause shown may, at any time in its discretion:

(1) with or without motion or notice, order the period enlarged if request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order; or

(2) upon motion made after the expiration of the specified period, permit the act to be done. The court may not extend the time for commencement of a detention hearing or a custody hearing unless the attorney for the respondent agrees in writing to an extension.

C. Additional time after service by mail. Whenever a party has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice or other paper upon him and the notice or paper is served upon him by mail, three (3) days shall be added to the prescribed period.

D. Time for motions. Whenever, by these rules, a party is required to "move" within a specified time or a motion is required to be "made" within a specified time, the motion shall be deemed to be made at the time it is filed or at the time it is served, whichever is earlier.

* * * * *

No substantive changes were made in this rule in 1978. The rule substantially follows Rule 5-104 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Under Paragraph A, except as noted below, the time limits contained in these rules are computed in exactly the same manner as time is computed under either the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts or the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts.

The exceptions to the general method of time computation are the time limits for giving notice of detention, Rule 10-208, and notice of custody, Rule 10-302. These notices are required to be given within 24 hours from the time the child was placed in detention or taken into custody, including Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays, even if the 24-hour period ends on one of these days.

Paragraph B on enlargement of time limits is comparable to Paragraph B of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The court may not extend the time for commencement of detention hearings or custody hearings unless the respondent's attorney agrees in writing to the extension. Under Rules 10-226 and 10-308, only the Supreme Court may extend the time for commencement of adjudicatory hearings.

Paragraph C on additional time after service by mail follows Paragraph D of Rule 5-104 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Paragraph D on time for motions is patterned after Paragraph F of Rule 5-103 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

ANNOTATIONS

Failure of state to move for enlargement of time to file petition. - Paragraph B of this rule does not indicate that, upon failure of the state to move for an enlargement of the time in which to file a petition, the children's court loses jurisdiction or that it requires the petition to be dismissed with prejudice. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 27, 43, 60.

10-107. Joinder; severance.

A. Joinder of allegations of offenses. Two or more allegations of offenses may be joined in a single petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision, with each such allegation stated in a separate count if such allegations, whether felonies, misdemeanors or violations of a standard of conduct, are of the same or similar character, even if not part of a single scheme or plan, or are based on the same conduct or on a series of acts either connected together or constituting parts of a single scheme or plan.

B. Joinder of respondents. Two or more respondents may be named in the same petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision when:

(1) each respondent is alleged to have committed each offense included; or

(2) the several offenses alleged:

(a) are part of a common scheme or plan; or

(b) are so closely connected in respect to time, place and occasion that it would be difficult to separate proof of one allegation from proof of others.

C. Joinder in neglect or abuse petition. Allegations that any adult has neglected or abused one or more children may be joined in a single petition. Two or more adults may be named respondents in a single petition alleging neglect or abuse of one or more children.

D. Relief from prejudicial joinder. If it appears that the respondent is prejudiced by the joinder of offenses or of parties, the court may, on motion, notice and hearing, order an

election or separate trials of offenses, grant a severance of proceedings or order whatever other relief justice requires.

The rule sets forth the bases for joining offenses and respondents in children's court proceedings and the basis and method of relief from prejudicial joinder.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-107 on joinder of allegations of offenses follows Rule 5-203 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The issue of whether mandatory joinder is required by the supreme court order issued in December, 1979, was raised by the committee in December, 1981. It was the consensus of the supreme court that its earlier order did not extend to juvenile proceedings. See commentaries to Rule 5-203 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Paragraph B of Rule 10-107 relating to joinder of respondents is patterned after Paragraph B of Rule 5-203 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. See also Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978 for permissive joinder of parents in delinquency proceedings and Rule 10-108 of these rules.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-107 governs joinder in neglect or abuse actions. A single petition may allege that a parent, guardian or custodian has neglected or abused more than one child or that both parents, guardians or custodians have neglected or abused one or more children. Of course, some connection between the alleged acts of neglect or abuse or the children involved is envisioned. Thus, Paragraph C of Rule 10-107 would permit joinder in a single petition of allegations that one parent neglected or abused only one of a couple's children and that the other parent neglected or abused another of their children. It would allow in a single petition allegations of separate acts each amounting to neglect or abuse.

Under Paragraph D of Rule 10-107, relief from joinder is available only to the respondent. The relief may be granted only upon motion and hearing, and the moving respondent must show prejudice.

Evidence against a joint respondent which violates the constitutional right of confrontation of the moving respondent is not admissible against the moving respondent. *Bruton v. United States*, 391 U.S. 123, 130-31, 88 S. Ct. 1620, 20 L. Ed. 2d 476 (1968). The *Bruton* holding has been held applicable to delinquency proceedings in at least one jurisdiction. *In re Appeal No. 977*, 22 Md. App. 511, 323 A.2d 663 (1974).

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to petition initiating proceedings under Children's Code, see 32-1-17 to 32-1-19 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-108. Parties.

A. **Need of supervision and delinquency proceedings.** In proceedings on petitions alleging delinquency or need of supervision, the parties to the action are the respondent, the state, the parents of a child alleged to be delinquent if named pursuant to Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978 and any party allowed to intervene pursuant to Paragraph D of this rule.

B. **Neglect or abuse proceedings.** In proceedings on petitions alleging neglect or abuse, the parties to the action are: the parents, guardian or custodian of the child alleged to have been neglected or abused; the state; and the child alleged to be neglected or to have been abused. The court shall appoint a guardian ad litem to represent the child alleged to be neglected or abused no later than the filing of the petition alleging neglect or abuse.

C. **Guardian ad litem.** A guardian ad litem shall be an attorney. No party or an employee or representative of a party to the proceedings shall be appointed to serve as a guardian ad litem.

D. **Intervention.** Upon motion, the court may permit intervention as follows:

(1) in delinquency or need of supervision proceedings, the parents, guardian or custodian of the respondent may be permitted to intervene; and

(2) in neglect or abuse proceedings, a parent, guardian or custodian who is not alleged to have neglected or abused the child may be permitted to intervene.

* * * * *

Rule 10-108 is essentially a definitional section. It was changed in 1978 to reflect the enactment of Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978 (formerly Section 13-14-44.1 NMSA 1953), allowing the parent of an alleged delinquent child to be named a party to the action.

Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-108, the parties in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings are the respondent - the accused child - the state, a parent of a child alleged to be delinquent if named pursuant to Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978, and, of course, anyone allowed to intervene under the rule. Depending on the stage of the proceeding, the state may be represented by either a juvenile probation officer or the children's court attorney. The children's court attorney must represent the state at adjudicatory hearings under Rules 10-227 and 10-308. Otherwise, his appearance at the various hearings is discretionary, although it would be unlikely that a probation officer would represent the state at release or transfer hearings.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-108 defines the parties in neglect and abuse cases. In addition to the accused and the state, the alleged neglected or abused child, represented by a guardian ad litem, is a party. The guardian ad litem must be appointed upon the filing of

the neglect or abuse petition (Rule 10-305), although nothing prohibits appointment prior to the filing. The role of the guardian ad litem has been well defined. His appointment:

. . . is a position of the highest trust and no attorney should ever blindly enter an appearance as guardian ad litem and allow a matter to proceed without a full and complete investigation into the facts and law so that his clients will be fairly and competently represented and their rights fully and adequately protected and preserved. *Bonds v. Joplin's Heirs*, 64 N.M. 342 at 345, 328 P.2d 597 (1958).

In the 1978 revisions to the rules, the role of the guardian ad litem continues even after final disposition (Rule 10-309).

The major difference between the role of the guardian ad litem in a neglect or abuse case and the role of the accused's attorney in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding is that in the former, the guardian ad litem does what he considers to be in the best interests of the child, while in the latter the attorney, although he may advise differently, follows the instructions of his client, even though he may not consider those instructions to be in the client's best interests. The guardian ad litem has much greater freedom.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-108 allows the parents, guardian or custodian of the respondent in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings to become a party by moving the court for permission to intervene in the proceeding. In neglect or abuse proceedings, the parent, guardian or custodian who is not alleged to have neglected or abused the child may be permitted to intervene. The motion envisioned by the committee would be similar to an application for permissive intervention under Rule 1-024 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts, with the court considering whether the intervention would unduly delay the proceedings or prejudice the rights of the respondent. For example, in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings, the risks of delay and confusion seem most acute in those situations in which the parents, guardian or custodian filed the original complaint against their child. In such circumstances, intervention by the parents, guardian or custodian may result in both the state and the parents, guardian or custodian prosecuting the child. Intervention would probably be most desirable in those situations where the accused child does not wish to contest the allegations of the petition, but his parents, guardian or custodian do.

In the event that the court considers it necessary to have the parents, guardian or custodian appear before the court and intervention has not been sought and the parents have not been named as parties under Section 32-1-47 NMSA 1978, their appearance may be compelled by subpoena under Rule 10-109. Compare *In re Downs*, 82 N.M. 319, 481 P.2d 107 (1971).

Rule 10-108 supersedes Subsections K and L of Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978 relating to appointment of guardians ad litem to the extent that the rule is in conflict with these

subsections. The court is left with the discretion to make such an appointment in other proceedings under the criteria set forth in the statute.

ANNOTATIONS

State's representative authorized to execute affidavit of disqualification of judge. -

The power and duty of the children's court attorney to represent the state necessarily includes the authority to execute an affidavit of disqualification of a judge when the disqualification is done on behalf of the state. *Smith v. Martinez*, 96 N.M. 440, 631 P.2d 1308 (1981).

Discretion of trial court in determining intervention. -

The trial court has a good deal of discretion in determining whether to allow intervention, and the decision of the trial court will not be reversed absent a showing of abuse of that discretion. In *re Termination of Parental Rights of Melvin B.*, 109 N.M. 18, 780 P.2d 1165 (Ct. App. 1989).

Applicability of 40-10-3H NMSA 1978. -

That the nonparent custodians of a child were "acting as parents" pursuant to 40-10-3H NMSA 1978 because they had physical custody of the child and claimed a right to custody, had no applicability in a neglect or abuse case so as to entitle the custodians to the protections afforded in a termination of parent rights case. In *re Agnes P.*, 110 N.M. 768, 800 P.2d 202 (Ct. App. 1990).

Rights of de facto custodians. -

Because the nonparent custodians of a child failed to establish any right to the child, other than their previous status as de facto custodians, the children's court could properly discontinue their involvement in a treatment plan, dismiss them from the neglect action, and direct that the child be freed for adoption by other qualified and suitable persons. While through their status they appeared to have assumed all the obligations of parents, an in loco parentis status did not entitle them to parental termination proceedings pursuant to 32-1-55 NMSA 1978. In *re Agnes P.*, 110 N.M. 768, 800 P.2d 202 (Ct. App. 1990).

10-109. Compelling attendance of witnesses.

The Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts shall apply to and govern the compelling of attendance of witnesses in children's court proceedings.

See Rule 1-045 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts.

ANNOTATIONS

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-110. Witness immunity.

A. **Issuance of order.** If a person has been or may be called to testify or to produce a record, document or other object in an official proceeding conducted under the authority of the court, the court in which the official proceeding is or may be held may, upon the written application of the children's court attorney, issue a written order requiring the person to testify or to produce the record, document or other object notwithstanding his privilege against self-incrimination.

B. **Application.** The court may grant the application and issue a written order pursuant to this rule if it finds:

(1) the testimony, or the record, document or other object may be necessary to the public interest; and

(2) the person has refused or is likely to refuse to testify or to produce the record, document or other object on the basis of his privilege against self-incrimination.

This rule is the same as Rule 5-116 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

10-111. Special masters and CASA.

A. **Appointment.** A special master or a court appointed special advocate ("CASA") may be appointed by a children's court judge pursuant to the provisions of this rule to assist in any children's court proceeding upon the showing that:

(1) the children's court judge is unable to expeditiously dispose of pending children's court cases; or

(2) some exceptional condition requires the appointment of a special master or CASA to assist the children's court judge.

B. **Qualifications.**

(1) Any person appointed to serve as a special master pursuant to this rule shall:

(a) have been licensed to practice law in the State of New Mexico for at least three (3) years; and

(b) shall be knowledgeable in the trial of children's court matters.

(2) CASA. Any volunteer appointed to serve as a CASA pursuant to this rule shall:

- (a) be of the age of majority;
- (b) have successfully passed screening requirements, including a written application, personal interview, reference checks, and criminal records checks;
- (c) have successfully completed a minimum of fifteen (15) hours initial training in accordance with the guidelines of the National CASA Association;
- (d) receive regular in-service training; and
- (e) remain under the supervision of the local CASA director.

C. Powers.

- (1) Unless the order otherwise specifies, the special master has the power to perform any of the functions of a children's court judge pursuant to the provisions of the Children's Court Rules except that the special master shall not preside at a jury trial, a dispositional hearing or a transfer hearing.
- (2) CASA. The CASA shall assist the children's court by investigating the facts of the situation and submitting a report to the court as to the findings with copies to the parties.

D. Duties.

- (1) The special master shall prepare a report including proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law on the matters submitted to to the special master by the order of appointment. The report shall be filed with the court and copies shall be served on all parties in accordance with the provisions of these rules.
- (2) Any volunteer appointed to serve as a CASA pursuant to this rule shall be assigned duties consistent with the best interest of the child, which include but are not limited to:
 - (a) review of records;
 - (b) interview of appropriate parties;
 - (c) monitoring of case progress;
 - (d) preparing reports based on the investigation conducted by the CASA, and including recommendations to the court; and
 - (e) conduct business while maintaining confidentiality of information obtained.
- (3) A CASA volunteer shall not engage in any ex parte communications with the judge assigned to any case on which the CASA volunteer is working.

E. Exceptions to report. Any party may file exceptions to the master's proposed findings, conclusions, recommendations or proposed orders. Exceptions shall be in writing, filed with the clerk within five (5) days after service of the master's report and shall set forth:

- (1) those items to which exception is taken;
- (2) a short resume of all facts relevant to the issues presented for review with appropriate references to the pages of the record proper and pages or sequential time or counter numbers of the transcript. If reference is made to evidence the admissibility of which is in controversy, reference shall be to the place in the transcript of proceedings where the evidence was identified, offered and received or rejected;
- (3) a citation to any authority which may assist the children's court judge in reviewing the exceptions; and
- (4) a statement of the precise relief sought.

F. Review of the special master's report. After the time for filing exceptions has expired the children's court may:

- (1) adopt the report, modify it or reject it in whole or in part; or
- (2) receive evidence excluded by the special master to which exceptions have been taken.

G. Substitution of special masters. Upon application of any party, or upon the court's own motion, the children's court may at any time remove the special master.

H. Time limits. No time limit set forth in these rules shall be tolled or enlarged because of the appointment of a special master or a CASA.

[As amended, effective March 1, 1991; November 1, 1991.]

Rule 10-111 was added in 1978 to provide assistance to the children's court judge in large judicial districts by allowing a special master to exercise all powers of the court, other than power to preside at jury trials, transfer hearings and dispositional hearings. The 1981 legislature specifically provided for the use of special masters in other judicial districts and provided less restrictive qualifications than provided in this rule. The committee believes that the use of special masters is an inherent power of the judiciary; however, consistent with legislative intent, the court deleted the geographical limitations in Rule 10-111. The committee did not recommend any change in the qualifications for special masters. See Section 32-1-38.1 NMSA 1978 and the definition of "court" found as Subsection C of Section 32-1-3 NMSA 1978.

A major goal of the juvenile justice system is early and prompt judicial disposition of a case. Rule 10-111 is designed to allow supplementation of judicial resources whenever the children's court judge "is unable to expeditiously dispose of pending children's court cases" or some other "exceptional condition" requires the appointment. The supreme court need not approve the appointment of a special master in each individual case.

The power to appoint special masters is an inherent power of the judiciary. *McCann v. Maxwell*, 170 Ohio St. 282, 189 N.E.2d 143 (1963). See *North Carolina R.R. v. Swasey*, 90 U.S. 405, 23 L. Ed. 136 (1875). Typically, this power has been limited to unusual or complex cases. Thus, Rule 1-053 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Court provides:

A reference to a master shall be the exception and not the rule. In actions to be tried by a jury, a reference shall be made only when the issues are complicated; in actions to be tried without a jury, save in matters of account and of difficult computation of damages, a reference shall be made only upon a showing that some exceptional condition requires it.

The committee believed that the court's inability to "expeditiously dispose of pending children's court cases" was the type of exceptional condition which warranted appointment of a special master. This conclusion was based on two considerations: (1) the goal of prompt disposition, previously discussed and (2) the very short time limits established in the rules and the Children's Code.

The time limits in these rules are not to be tolled or enlarged if a special master is appointed.

Once it was determined that a special master was a necessary addition to the system, the committee endeavored to draft a rule which would meet the requirements of both the state and federal constitutions.

A special master in a juvenile case has been held to be a ministerial officer and not a judicial officer so long as the master's recommendations are not binding on the district judge. *In re Anderson*, 272 Md. 85, 321 A.2d 516 (Md. Ct. App. 1974). Under Rule 10-111F, the court is not bound by the findings and conclusions of the special master and may, in fact, receive evidence excluded by the special master if the claimed error is properly preserved. The court retains full power over the dispositional hearing pursuant to Paragraph C of Rule 10-111. The children's court judge always has responsibility for the final decision in the case.

Under Rule 10-111 a child is subject to a "single proceeding which begins with a master's hearing and culminates with an adjudication by the children's court judge". Thus there is no violation of the double jeopardy clause of the United States Constitution. *Swisher v. Brady*, 438 U.S. 204, 98 S. Ct. 2699, 57 L.Ed. 705 (1978).

This rule was amended in 1990 to authorize the appointment of special advocates to assist the children's court by investigating facts and making reports to the court. The 1991 amendments eliminated the authority of special advocates to enter into ex parte communications with the children's court judge. [As revised, effective November 1, 1991.]

ANNOTATIONS

The 1991 amendment, effective for cases filed in the children's court on and after March 1, 1991, in the catchline, added "and CASA"; in Paragraph A, substituted "A special master or a court appointed special advocate ('CASA')" for "With the prior approval of the New Mexico Supreme Court a special master" at the beginning and inserted "or CASA" in Subparagraph (2); in Paragraph B, added the designations in Subparagraph (1) and added Subparagraph (2); in Paragraph C, added the Subparagraph (1) designation and Subparagraph (2); in Paragraph D, substituted "Duties" for "Report" in the heading, added the Subparagraph (1) designation, substituting "submitted to the special master" for "submitted to him" and added Subparagraph (2); in Paragraph F, inserted "the special masters" in the heading; and, in Paragraph H, added "or a CASA" at the end.

The 1991 amendment, effective for cases filed in the children's courts on or after November 1, 1991, in Paragraph C, added "with copies to the parties" to the end of Subparagraph (2); in Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph D, deleted the heading "CASA" from the beginning and deleted former Sentence (f), relating to ex parte communications with judges by CASA volunteers; and added Subparagraph (3) of Paragraph D.

Court is not bound by commentaries. - The court of appeals is not bound by the interpretations of the commentaries to this rule. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

Rule limits the inherent power of a district judge to appoint a special master in children's court. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

Appointment without prior approval is improper. - Where prior approval of the supreme court for a party to act as a special master to a children's court is never sought, either immediately prior to a particular case, or at some time more remote in the past, such an appointment is improper. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

Effect of special master's recommendations. - As long as the special master's recommendations are not binding on the children's court judge, a special master is considered a ministerial, rather than a judicial officer, and is without powers of adjudication. Under Paragraph F of this rule, the children's court is not bound by the special master's findings and conclusions. Thus, there is no violation of the double jeopardy clause when the children's court judge remands to the special master prior to

entering its findings and conclusions. State v. Billy M., 106 N.M. 123, 739 P.2d 992 (Ct. App. 1987).

Where special master lacks authority to hear probation revocation petition, the court is without jurisdiction at the hearing on the petition. When the district judge disposes of the case more than 30 days after the petition is filed, the petition should be dismissed with prejudice. State v. Doe, 96 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

10-112. Peremptory challenge to a children's court judge; recusal; procedure for exercising; disability.

A. Definition of parties. As used in this rule, "party" shall mean a "party" as defined in Rule 10-108 of these rules.

B. Judicial discretion. Action by the court in connection with a detention or custody hearing or the appointment of counsel shall not preclude the disqualification of a judge.

C. Extent of excuse or challenge. Nothing in this rule shall preclude a judge from acting in a detention hearing. No party shall excuse more than one judge.

D. Procedure for excusing a children's court judge. The statutory right to excuse the judge before whom the proceeding is pending must be exercised by a party filing a peremptory election to excuse with the clerk within ten (10) days after the latter of:

(1) the first appearance of the respondent; or

(2) service by the clerk of notice of assignment or reassignment of the case to a judge.

E. Notice of reassignment; service of excusal. If the case is reassigned to a different judge, the clerk shall give notice of reassignment to all parties. Any party electing to excuse a judge shall serve notice of such election on the judge of record and all parties.

F. Recusal. No district judge shall sit in any action in which his impartiality may reasonably be questioned under the provisions of the Constitution of New Mexico or the Code of Judicial Conduct, and shall recuse himself in any such action. Upon receipt of notification of recusal from a district judge, the clerk of the court shall give written notice to each party.

G. Disability. If by reason of death, sickness or other disability, a judge before whom an action has been tried is unable to perform the duties to be performed by the court under these rules after a verdict is returned or a judgment entered as to whether the child is delinquent, in need of supervision, abused or neglected, then any other judge sitting in or assigned to the court in which the action was tried may perform those duties; but if such other judge is satisfied that he cannot perform those duties because he did not preside at the adjudicatory hearing or for any other reason, he may in his discretion order a new adjudicatory hearing.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1989.]

* * * * *

In 1989, Rule 10-112 was amended to adopt the peremptory disqualification procedures approved by the Supreme Court for civil cases. See Rule 1-088.1.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-112 applies to disqualifications pursuant to Section 38-3-9 NMSA 1978. In *Frazier v. Stanley*, 83 N.M. 719, 497 P.2d 230 (1972), the New Mexico Supreme Court held that the right to disqualify a judge pursuant to Section 38-3-9 NMSA 1978 (formerly Section 21-5-8 NMSA 1953) was applicable to the Juvenile Code, predecessor statute to the Children's Code. The court held that Juvenile Code proceedings were "either civil or criminal (and) (i)n either case, petitioner was a party to the action or proceeding and entitled to exercise the right of disqualification given her by Section 38-3-9, supra". 83 N.M. at 720.

Under Section 38-3-9 NMSA 1978, the party himself, not his attorney, must sign the affidavit of disqualification, and this procedure is continued under Rule 10-112. Rule 10-112, although following the procedures outlined in Section 38-3-9 NMSA 1978, does not follow the time limits for disqualification set forth in Section 38-3-10 NMSA 1978.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-112 is intended to make clear that the action of a judge at a detention or custody hearing or the action of the judge in appointing counsel is not considered an exercise of discretion in determining the validity of a later disqualification. See *Smith v. Martinez*, 96 N.M. 440, 631 P.2d 1308 (1968).

Paragraph C of Rule 10-112 follows Rule 5-106 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Rule 10-112 is not meant to restrict disqualifications pursuant to Art. VI, Sec. 18, of the New Mexico Constitution, nor to disqualifications pursuant to Sections 32-1-29 or 32-1-36 NMSA 1978. Section 32-1-36 NMSA 1978 allows disqualification upon objection by the child in certain situations involving consent decrees, and Section 32-1-29 NMSA 1978 permits disqualification upon objection of a party when the judge presides at a transfer hearing.

ANNOTATIONS

The 1989 amendment, effective on and after August 1, 1989, substituted present Paragraph A for former Paragraph A, relating to filing by party of affidavit that judge cannot preside with impartiality; redesignated former Paragraph C as present Paragraph G; and added present Paragraphs C through F.

State's representative authorized to execute affidavit of disqualification of judge. - The power and duty of the children's court attorney to represent the state necessarily includes the authority to execute an affidavit of disqualification of a judge when the

disqualification is done on behalf of the state. *Smith v. Martinez*, 96 N.M. 440, 631 P.2d 1308 (1981).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 6.

10-113. Attorneys; fees.

A. **Entry of appearance.** Whenever counsel undertakes to represent a party in any children's court action, he immediately shall file a written entry of appearance in the cause, unless he has been appointed by written order of the court. For the purpose of this rule, the filing of any pleading signed by counsel constitutes an entry of appearance.

B. **Continued representation.** An attorney who has entered an appearance or who has been appointed by the court shall continue such representation until relieved by the court.

C. **Failure to observe rules.** An attorney who willfully fails to observe the requirements of these rules, including prescribed time limitations, may be held in contempt of court and subject to disciplinary action.

D. **Signing of pleadings.** Every pleading of a party represented by an attorney shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in his individual name, whose address shall be stated. A party who is not represented by an attorney shall sign his pleading and state his address. Except when otherwise specifically provided by rule, pleadings need not be verified or accompanied by affidavit. The signature of an attorney constitutes a certificate by him that he has read the pleading; that to the best of his knowledge, information and belief there is good ground to support it; and that it is not interposed for delay.

E. **Fees.** The children's court may award expert witness fees, guardian ad litem fees and attorney fees in accordance with the schedule approved by the supreme court and in effect at the time that the expenditure is incurred.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

Rule 10-113 was formerly Rule 12. It was renumbered in 1978. See Rules 5-107 and 5-112 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. See also discussion of attorney discipline in commentary to Rule 10-204.

The requirement in Paragraph A of Rule 10-113 that counsel "immediately" file a written entry of appearance is designed to prevent the unnecessary appointment of an attorney for a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision when that respondent already has retained private counsel. Under Rule 10-204, appointment of counsel occurs automatically within five days of the filing of a petition or at the conclusion of the detention hearing unless counsel has entered an appearance on behalf of the respondent.

It should also be noted that the time limits for pretrial motions in Rule 10-114 and the time limit for a demand for jury trial in Rule 10-228 begin running from the time of appointment or entry of appearance.

Paragraph D was derived from Rule 1-011 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts. It eliminates any need for endorsements on petitions by the children's court attorney. See Section 32-1-17 NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Rules of Professional Conduct, see Rule 16-101 et seq.

For Supreme Court Rules Governing Discipline, see Rule 17-101 et seq.

Compiler's note. - Rule 10-204, referred to in the second sentence in the second paragraph of the committee commentary, was amended in 1982 and no longer deals with the appointment of an attorney.

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 38.

Lack of notice to contemnor at time of contemptuous conduct of possible criminal contempt sanctions as affecting prosecution for contempt in federal court, 76 A.L.R. Fed. 797.

10-114. Motions.

Except as otherwise provided by these rules, all preadjudicatory motions shall be filed:

A. within ten (10) days from the date the petition is filed or within ten (10) days from the appointment of counsel for the respondent or entry of appearance by counsel for the respondent, whichever is later, if the respondent is in detention or the alleged neglected or abused child is in the custody of the department; or

B. in all other cases, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed or within twenty (20) days from the appointment of counsel for the respondent or entry of appearance by counsel for the respondent, whichever is later.

The entry of appearance of new counsel shall not extend these time limits unless the court finds good cause.

Rule 10-114 was formerly Rule 13. It was renumbered in 1978.

The rule establishes time limits for filing of "pretrial" motions. The time limits begin to run from the later of two occurrences: (1) the filing of the petition or (2) the appointment of or entry of appearance by counsel for the respondent. The former occurrence was included to prevent the time limit from beginning to run in the event an attorney is appointed for the respondent during the preliminary inquiry before formal court action has begun.

In *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981), the court of appeals held that a motion to transfer pursuant to Rule 10-222 is not a preadjudicatory motion governed by Rule 10-114. Rules 10-112 and 10-222 were amended to be consistent with this decision of the court of appeals.

ANNOTATIONS

Rule encompasses same matters as Rules 18 and 33(e), N.M.R. Crim. P. (see now Rule 5-212 and Paragraph E of Rule 5-601). *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 143, 597 P.2d 1183 (Ct. App. 1979) (specially concurring opinion).

Rule does not apply to transfer motions. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 263, 639 P.2d 72 (Ct. App. 1981), cert. denied, 98 N.M. 50, 644 P.2d 1039, cert. denied, 457 U.S. 1136, 102 S. Ct. 2965, 73 L. Ed. 2d 1354 (1982).

Rule does not apply to the filing of motions to transfer because: (1) preadjudicating motions contemplate a subsequent hearing on the merits of a petition, while a transfer motion is filed with the expectation that there will be no adjudication in the children's court; (2) the fact that Rule 10-222 requires a transfer motion to be made prior to the adjudicating hearing does not make a preadjudicating motion for purposes of this rule; and (3) neither Rule 10-222 nor 32-1-30 NMSA 1978 provide a time limit for filing motions to transfer. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981) (decided prior to 1982 amendment of Rule 10-222).

Therefore, transfer motion must be filed within "reasonable" time. - This rule is not applicable to a motion to transfer a child to the district court to be prosecuted as an adult; therefore, reasonableness is the test when there is an issue concerning the timeliness of the filing of a motion to transfer. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981) (decided prior to 1982 amendment of Rule 10-222).

Failure to file motion waives hearing, but not objection. - In a delinquency proceeding, a preadjudicatory motion must be filed within the time allowed if the

respondent wants a hearing on the motion before commencement of trial, but this rule does not provide that objections not raised before the adjudicatory hearing are deemed waived. State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 143, 597 P.2d 1183 (Ct. App. 1979).

Failure to make motion constitutes waiver. - All preadjudicatory motions except those alleging lack of jurisdiction and failure to charge an offense must be made within the time limits prescribed in this rule; failure to do so shall constitute a waiver by defendant thereof. State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 143, 597 P.2d 1183 (Ct. App. 1979), cert. denied, 457 U.S. 1136, 102 S. Ct. 2965, 73 L. Ed. 2d 1354 (1982) (specially concurring on motion for rehearing).

Discretion of court. - Granting of motion for continuance was within sound discretion of trial court, and his action would not be disturbed on review absent showing of abuse of discretion. Doe v. State, 88 N.M. 347, 540 P.2d 827 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, 88 N.M. 318, 540 P.2d 248 (1975).

Law reviews. - For article, "Survey of New Mexico Law, 1979-80: Criminal Law and Procedure," see 11 N.M.L. Rev. 85 (1981).

10-115. Rules of evidence.

Except as otherwise provided by these rules, the New Mexico Rules of Evidence shall govern all proceedings in the children's court.

* * * * *

Rule 10-115 was formerly Rule 14. It was renumbered in 1978.

Rule 10-115 carries forth the provision of Rule of Evidence 11-1101 that the Rules of Evidence apply to all the courts of the state.

Rule of Evidence 11-1101 makes the rules inapplicable to sentencing proceedings, issuance of arrest warrants and search warrants, granting or revoking probation and proceedings with respect to release on bail or otherwise. By analogy, these exceptions apply to the issuance of arrest and search warrants under Rule 10-206, to detention hearings under Rule 10-211 (a proceeding with respect to release on bail or otherwise), to dispositional hearings under Rules 10-229 and 10-309 (sentencing proceedings) and to reviews of dispositional judgments under Rule 10-311.

Within specific children's court rules, the Rules of Evidence are specifically made inapplicable to the determination of a factual basis for an admission or consent decree, Rules 10-224 and 10-307; to ex parte custody proceedings, Rule 10-301; custody hearings, Rule 10-303; and the release hearing, Rule 10-212, under the general policy of Rule 11-1101 of the Rules of Evidence.

The only other provisions of the Children's Court Rules which deal with evidentiary matters are Rules 10-224 and 10-307 relating to the inadmissibility of consent decree discussions in other proceedings.

The Children's Code itself contains two evidentiary provisions which are apparently in conflict with one another and at least partially in conflict with the Rules of Evidence. The New Mexico Supreme Court applies the same constitutional standards for waiver of constitutional rights to children and adults. *State v. Henry*, 78 N.M. 573, 434 P.2d 692 (1967). See also Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978. Children may waive their constitutional rights. See *Neller v. State*, 79 N.M. 528, 445 P.2d 949 (1968) and *Bouldin v. Cox*, 76 N.M. 93, 412 P.2d 392 (1966) (waivers of counsel); *Lopez v. United States*, 399 F.2d 865 (9th Cir. 1968) and *West v. United States*, 399 F.2d 467 (5th Cir. 1968) (waiver of Miranda rights).

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Rules of Evidence, see Rule 11-101 et seq.

Expert witness. - A state police narcotics agent who had conducted 200 to 300 similar tests, 80 of which had been used in various cases, preliminary hearings and children's cases not involving felonies, was sufficiently expert to qualify for purposes of delinquency petitions involving marijuana offense which would have been a misdemeanor if committed by an adult. *Doe v. State*, 88 N.M. 347, 540 P.2d 827 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, 88 N.M. 318, 540 P.2d 248 (1975).

Manifestation of belief in truth of statement. - A children's court judge could properly hold that a child manifested a belief in the truth of statements, made by two sons of the owner of a pickup, that he was trying to rip a CB radio out of the same, where the child admitted that he was caught running and more or less admitted that he was in the pickup. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 92, 570 P.2d 923 (Ct. App. 1977).

Statements made without advice of counsel. - A child's statements manifesting the truth of the accusers' claims, but made to the police after being taken into custody without the benefit of the advice of counsel, were inadmissible under 32-1-27 NMSA 1978. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 92, 570 P.2d 923 (Ct. App. 1977).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 49 to 54, 67, 68.

Applicability of rules of evidence, 43 A.L.R.2d 1128.

Use of judgment in prior juvenile court proceeding to impeach credibility of witness, 63 A.L.R.3d 1112.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 47.

10-116. Clerical mistakes.

Clerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors in the record arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time and after such notice, if any, as the court orders.

No changes were made in this rule (formerly Rule 17) in 1978.

See Rule 5-113 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

10-117. Harmless error; failure to comply with time limits.

Error or defect in any ruling, order, act or omission by the court or by any of the parties including failure to comply with time limits is not grounds for granting a new hearing or for setting aside a verdict, for vacating, modifying or otherwise disturbing a judgment or order, or for dismissing an action, unless refusal to take any such action appears to the court inconsistent with substantial justice or unless these rules expressly provide otherwise.

Rule 10-117 was formerly Rule 18. It was renumbered in 1978.

See Rule 1-061 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts and Rule 5-113 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Rule 11-103 of the Rules of Evidence governs harmless error in the admission or exclusion of evidence.

Rule 10-117 was amended in 1981 to clarify that failure to comply with time limits is not grounds for dismissal of an action unless expressly provided otherwise by the rules. Rules 10-226, 10-229 and 10-308 provide for dismissal with prejudice for failure to comply with the time limits for adjudicatory and dispositional hearings.

In *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978), the New Mexico Court of Appeals dismissed a petition with prejudice which had not been filed within the time prescribed by Section 32-1-14 NMSA 1978. The court dismissed the petition with prejudice, basing its decision on the statute. Section 32-1-14 NMSA 1978 was amended in 1981 to delete both the time limit and dismissal with prejudice requirement provisions.

Rules 10-226 and 10-308 provide time limits for adjudicatory hearings and Rule 10-229 provides time limits for dispositional hearings. These rules specifically require dismissal with prejudice if the time limit is not met. Since the decision in *State v. Doe*, supra, and

apparently based on that holding, the court of appeals has dismissed petitions for failure to comply with time limits for dispositional and probation revocation hearings.

State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 31, 595 P.2d 1221 (Ct. App. 1979), held that a violation of the time requirements of Rule 10-229 requires dismissal. The delay of a child's arrival at the youth diagnostic center, followed by the withdrawal of the child's original attorney and a request for continuance of the hearing by a second attorney, resulting in the hearing being held more than seventy-five days following the completion of the adjudicatory hearing, does not affect the requirement of dismissal. State v. Doe, 94 N.M. 282, 609 P.2d 729 (Ct. App. 1980).

State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979), held that failure of the court to commence the hearing to revoke probation within the time prescribed by the rules requires that the revocation petition be dismissed with prejudice.

State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 748, 605 P.2d 256 (Ct. App. 1980), held that the hearing on a petition to extend custody pursuant to 32-1-38 NMSA 1978 must be held within 30 days after the date of termination of the prior custody or the date the respondent is arrested after his failure to appear, whichever shall last occur. The time limits of Rule 10-226 are applicable to petitions to extend custody. Failure to hold the hearing on the petition to extend custody within 30 days of the date of the applicable occurrence stated above requires dismissal with prejudice of the petition to extend custody.

ANNOTATIONS

Fundamental error. - Fundamental error will only be heard to prevent a plain miscarriage of justice where someone has been deprived of rights essential to a defense, or to protect those whose innocence appears indisputable or is open to such question that it would shock the conscience to permit the conviction to stand. Doe v. State, 88 N.M. 347, 540 P.2d 827 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, 88 N.M. 318, 540 P.2d 248 (1975).

Prejudicial error needed for reversal. - In children's court cases, no less than in adult cases, error must be prejudicial to be reversible. State v. Doe, 103 N.M. 233, 704 P.2d 1109 (Ct. App. 1985).

Improper admission of evidence not reversible error absent reliance. - The erroneous admission of evidence is not reversible error in a nonjury proceeding unless it appears that the court must have relied upon such evidence in reaching its decision; court's remarks at conclusion of child's transfer hearing showed that court did not rely on any of possibly inadmissible testimony based on contents of probation file, but rather on probation officer's personal knowledge of activities involving the child. In re Doe, 89 N.M. 700, 556 P.2d 1176 (Ct. App. 1976).

10-118. Stay pending appeal; application in the court of appeals.

A party appealing a judgment of the children's court may request that the judgment be stayed by filing and serving an application for stay in the manner provided by the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

[As amended, effective July 1, 1988.]

This rule was revised in two ways in 1978: (1) the time in which an answer is to be filed in response to an application for stay was expanded from three days to seven days (see Rule 10-106 for computation of time limits), and (2) the role of the children's court judge in the appellate stay procedure was deleted. Requirements regarding reports of the evidence submitted in the children's court are now fulfilled by certificate of counsel.

This rule sets forth the general procedure for staying a judgment of the children's court. Both the attorney general and the children's court attorney must be served with the application. Although the attorney general represents the state on appeal, the trial attorney may be involved in opposing the application. To give effect to 32-1-39B NMSA 1978, the rule requires the application to present alternatives for placement of the child pending the appeal.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to appeals from children's court, and stay from judgment pending appeal, see 32-1-39 NMSA 1978.

The 1988 amendment, effective July 1, 1988, deleted the Paragraph A designation, substituted "in the manner provided by the Rules of Appellate Procedure" for the former provisions regarding filing and serving in the courts of appeals at the end of the rule, and deleted former Paragraphs B to E, regarding contents of the application, response to the application, stay pending disposition of the application, and disposition of the application.

ARTICLE 2 DELINQUENCY AND NEED OF SUPERVISION PROCEEDINGS

Rule

10-201. Preliminary inquiry; time limits.

A. **Time limits.** Probation services shall conduct a preliminary inquiry upon receipt of a report that a child is delinquent or is in need of supervision. The preliminary inquiry shall be completed:

(1) within thirty (30) days from the date of the first notice to the child and his parents, guardian or custodian of the initial conference in the inquiry if the child is not in detention; or

(2) within two (2) days from the date of detention if the child is in detention.

B. Purpose of preliminary inquiry. The purpose of the preliminary inquiry is to determine whether the best interests of the child and the public require that a petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision be filed. During the preliminary inquiry, the matter may be referred to other appropriate agencies and conferences may be conducted.

C. Neglect or abuse complaints. Probation services shall refer any complaint alleging that a child is neglected or abused to the department.

* * * * *

Minor wording changes were made in Rule 10-201 in 1978.

The preliminary inquiry is the first step in the juvenile justice system. The purpose of the preliminary inquiry is not to determine guilt or innocence, nor is it intended that juvenile probation officers do the investigatory work traditionally performed by law enforcement officers. Rather, the essential inquiry is whether it is in the best interests of the child and the public that formal court action be taken against the child accused of having committed a delinquent act or of being in need of supervision.

The process has two values: it gives the officer insight into the possible need for filing a petition, and it allows some guidance for the parents and child that may obviate the need to file. If successful, a period of informal adjustment results in a "disposition" in that a petition is not filed and formal court action does not occur.

Council of Judges, National Council on Crime and Delinquency, Model Rules for Juvenile Courts, 13-14 (1969).

Juvenile probation officers are given a good deal of discretion under the Children's Code to determine whether or not to authorize the filing of a petition. (Note that under Rule 10-204 the children's court attorney may file the petition even if not authorized by juvenile probation. However, before the petition may be filed, juvenile probation must complete a preliminary inquiry.) It is not necessary that the child "confess" to the act alleged for the case to be disposed of informally. However, the juvenile probation officer must make some judgment as to whether the act complained of does constitute a delinquent act or that the child is in such need of supervision that it is necessary to invoke formal court action.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-201 sets forth the time limits for completion of the preliminary inquiry. The time limit depends on whether or not the child is in detention. If the child is

not in detention, the time limit begins to run from the date the inquiry begins, that is, the date of the first notice to the child and his parents, guardian or custodian of the initial conference in the inquiry. It is not necessary that an actual conference be held in order for a valid preliminary inquiry to exist. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 232, 572 P.2d 960 (Ct. App. 1977). Likewise, the rule does not purport to establish the content of the conference. See *State v. Doe*, supra. If the child is in detention, the time limit runs from the date of detention. For computation of these time limits, see Rule 10-106.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-201 sets forth the purpose of the preliminary inquiry, and allows referral to other agencies.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-201 requires that neglect and abuse complaints received by juvenile probation be referred to the Human Services Department. The department then performs an investigation pursuant to Section 32-1-14 NMSA 1978.

Rule 10-201 supersedes the provisions of Sections 32-1-14 and 32-1-26A(1) NMSA 1978 relating to delinquency and need of supervision proceedings.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to preliminary inquiry by probation services, see 32-1-14 NMSA 1978.

Determination whether to file delinquency petition deemed social, not legal. - The "best interests" determination as to the filing of a delinquency petition is a social determination, not a legal determination. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

And involves exercise of discretion. - A best interest determination, whether by probation services, the children's court attorney or both, involves the exercise of discretion. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

There can be a valid preliminary inquiry without a conference, and, therefore, without an initial conference involving the child, the parents and probation services. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 232, 572 P.2d 960 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, 91 N.M. 249, 572 P.2d 1257 (1977).

Child asserting inadequate inquiry had burden of coming forward with evidence. - Where a child asserted that no preliminary inquiry was held because at the meeting prior to the detention hearing there was no inquiry as to whether the best interests of the child and the public required that a petition be filed, it was up to the child as movant to come forward with evidence tending to establish the inadequacy. *State v. Doe*, 92 N.M. 198, 585 P.2d 342 (Ct. App. 1978).

Delinquency petition based on alleged burglary not insufficient. - A best interests determination that a delinquency petition be filed, based on the fact that the child

allegedly committed a burglary, is not insufficient as a matter of law. State v. Doe, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 8 et seq.

Jurisdiction or power of juvenile court to order parent of juvenile to make restitution for juvenile's offense, 66 A.L.R.4th 985.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 5 et seq.; 67A C.J.S. Parent and Child §§ 73 to 83, 85, 87 to 89.

10-202. Notice of preliminary inquiry.

Before the preliminary inquiry is begun, probation services shall inform the child and his parents, guardian or custodian:

A. of the time, place and nature of the acts which resulted in the allegations that the child is delinquent or in need of supervision;

B. of the time and place of the initial conference in the preliminary inquiry and of the purpose of the inquiry;

C. that the child has a right to have an attorney present during any conferences between him and probation services during the inquiry and that if the child wants an attorney but cannot afford one, the public defender will represent the child; and

D. that if the parents, guardian or custodian can afford an attorney to represent their child, they will be ordered to reimburse the state for public defender representation.

One of the notice requirements originally contained in Rule 10-202 was deleted in 1978. Probation services is no longer required to inform the child and his parents, guardian or custodian "that any communications made to probation services by the child during the preliminary inquiry are privileged." The privilege between the accused child and juvenile probation officers remains in effect under Rule 11-509 of the Rules of Evidence. The committee in 1978 decided that a general advisory statement by a layperson that the privilege exists would likely be misleading, considering the complexities of Rule 11-509. The committee believed that it should be the responsibility of the child's attorney to explain the privilege, if appropriate.

Rule of Evidence 11-509 was written specifically for Children's Code proceedings. It makes communications between the respondent and the juvenile probation officer during the preliminary inquiry confidential. It is designed to fulfill three functions:

(1) to provide the respondent protection similar to that afforded an adult criminal defendant and that provided all parties in civil litigation pursuant to Rules 11-408 and 11-410 of the Rules of Evidence. Because of phraseology and conceptual differences, it was questionable whether these rules of evidence would apply to Children's Code cases;

(2) to promote judicial economy by fostering an atmosphere during subjudicial processing that is conducive to informal disposition of complaints. Informal disposition requires open communication and if the probation officer can be a witness against the respondent, the child is going to be reluctant to discuss the acts alleged with the probation officer;

(3) to comply with the spirit of the Children's Code by de-emphasizing the adversary nature of the proceedings during the respondent's initial contact with the juvenile justice system. Without a privilege, the preliminary inquiry must begin with disclosure of the right against self-incrimination. The process immediately becomes accusatory and adversary in nature; the counseling functions of the juvenile probation officer are inhibited.

Rule 10-202 replaces Section 32-1-14B NMSA 1978 which requires that at the commencement of the preliminary inquiry the "parties" be advised of their basic rights under Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978.

Rule 10-202 specifies the contents of the notice which must be given the child and his parents, guardian or custodian prior to the beginning of the preliminary inquiry.

The provisions of the rule apply whether or not the accused child is in detention. The notice goes to both the child and his parents, guardian or custodian. The notice need not be in writing, although the forms for children's court proceedings approved by the supreme court do contain a written notice of preliminary inquiry. Written notice generally will not be feasible when the child is in detention since the inquiry must be completed within two days of the date the child was placed in detention. If written notice is used, it may be mailed.

The time limits contained in Rule 10-104 do not apply to a notice of preliminary inquiry. Nevertheless, probation services is expected to use good faith and provide adequate notice.

Since the notice is directed at both the child and his parents, guardian or custodian, the rule provides that the parents, guardian or custodian be advised of their responsibility for attorney's fees in the event they can afford an attorney for the child, but do not retain

one for the child and the court appoints counsel for the child. The authority for this assessment of attorney's fees is contained in Section 32-1-41B NMSA 1978.

It should be noted that there may be different offenses charged on the petition from those on the notice of preliminary inquiry. These different charges would be the result of the children's court attorney's evidentiary analysis of the police reports or booking slip.

ANNOTATIONS

Technical violation of notice requirement does not require dismissal. - Where a child does not claim prejudice by a lack of notice of the purpose of a preliminary hearing but only that notice was not given, the contention is based on a technicality which exalts form over substance; the child not having been harmed by the technical violation, the lack of notice of the purpose of the preliminary inquiry does not require dismissal of the petition filed pursuant to 32-1-17 NMSA 1978. *State v. John Doe*, 92 N.M. 198, 585 P.2d 342 (Ct. App. 1978).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-203. Authorization of petition; request for attorney.

A. Authorization of petition. At the conclusion of the preliminary inquiry, probation services may authorize the filing of a petition upon a finding that informal adjustment is not in the best interests of the child and the public. If the children's court attorney determines that no petition should be filed, probation services shall inform the child.

B. Request for attorney. If, during the course of a preliminary inquiry, the child who is the subject of the inquiry requests an attorney and he cannot afford an attorney, probation services shall petition the court for appointment of counsel on his behalf.

* * * * *

Rule 10-203 was formerly Rule 22. It was renumbered in 1978. It completes the rules governing the informal stage of juvenile proceedings.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-203 should be read in conjunction with Rule 10-204 and the commentary thereto. Even if probation services authorizes the filing of a petition, the children's court attorney may decide not to file the petition. Likewise, even if probation services does not authorize the filing of a petition, it is possible that the children's court attorney may wish to pursue the matter. However, it is expected that the children's court attorney will use this authority only in unusual cases.

Paragraph B authorizes probation services to file a motion with the court for appointment of counsel for the child prior to the filing of a petition if the child requests an attorney. Rule 10-205 provides for notice to the public defender by the court.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-203 replaces Section 32-1-14C NMSA 1978. Paragraph B has no statutory counterpart.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to no written notice of preliminary inquiry required, see Rule 10-104.

As to authorization to file petition, see 32-1-17 NMSA 1978.

Determination whether to file delinquency petition deemed social, not legal. - The "best interests" determination as to the filing of a delinquency petition is a social determination, not a legal determination. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

And involves exercise of discretion. - The best interest determination, whether by probation services, the children's court attorney or both, involves the exercise of discretion. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Although determination by children's court attorney subject to judicial review. - The best interests determination of the children's court attorney is subject to judicial review by the children's court and by the New Mexico Court of Appeals. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

"Finding" held sufficient. - A petition signed by the children's court attorney stating that probation services has determined that the best interest of the child and the public require that a petition be filed complies with 32-1-17 NMSA 1978 and is sufficient to satisfy the requirement of a "finding" in paragraph A. *State v. Doe*, 92 N.M. 198, 585 P.2d 342 (Ct. App. 1978).

Delinquency petition based on alleged burglary not insufficient. - A best interests determination that a delinquency petition be filed, based on the fact that the child allegedly committed a burglary, is not insufficient as a matter of law. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - Right of juvenile court defendant to be represented during court proceedings by parent, 11 A.L.R.4th 719.

10-204. Filing of petition.

A. **Approval of petition.** Prior to the filing of a petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision, probation services shall complete a preliminary inquiry. The petition shall be signed by the children's court attorney.

B. Form and contents. Petitions shall be in a form approved by the supreme court. The petition shall set forth:

- (1) the facts necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of the court;
- (2) the criminal statute, other law or ordinance, if any, alleged to have been violated;
- (3) the name, birthdate and residence address of the respondent;
- (4) the names and residence addresses of the parents, guardian or custodian of the respondent and if no parent, guardian or custodian resides or can be found within the state, the name of any known adult relative residing within the state or residing nearest to the court;
- (5) whether the respondent is in detention, and if so, the place of detention and the time he was placed in detention; and
- (6) if any of the matters required to be set forth by this rule are not known, a statement of those matters and the fact that they are not known.

C. Time limits. Petitions shall be filed:

- (1) within thirty (30) days from the date the preliminary inquiry was concluded if the child is not in detention; or
- (2) within two (2) days from the date of detention if the child is in detention.

* * * * *

Rule 10-204 was formerly Rule 23. It was renumbered in 1978.

The rule sets forth the procedure for initiating formal court action in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding.

Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-204 the filing of a petition is a two-step process: (1) probation services conducts a preliminary inquiry and either authorizes or refuses to authorize the filing of a petition; and (2) the children's court attorney reviews the matter to determine if there are legally sufficient grounds to proceed to court with the case. The children's court attorney makes the final determination whether or not to prosecute the child. He may do so even if probation services has not authorized a petition. He may refuse to do so even if probation services has authorized the filing of the petition. However, probation services must have completed a preliminary inquiry before the petition can be filed. The original committee believed that the children's court attorney is responsible for prosecuting the case, and he should make the ultimate decision whether or not to proceed.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-204 sets forth the form and contents of the petition. Forms for petitions have been approved by the supreme court.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-204 establishes time limits for filing of the petition. The time limit for filing of a petition when the child is in detention is also the time limit for completion of the preliminary inquiry. For computation of the time limits see Rule 10-106.

Rule 10-204 covers the subject matter dealt with in Sections 32-1-14D, 32-1-17, 32-1-18, 32-1-19 and 32-1-26A(1) NMSA 1978. The most significant changes are in the procedure for filing a petition and in the time limits for filing a petition when the child is not in detention.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-204 supersedes conflicting provisions contained in Sections 32-1-17 and 32-1-18 NMSA 1978. Initiating formal court action is a procedural matter.

The requirement that the children's court attorney consult with probation services is believed to be directory and not mandatory. See *State ex rel. Attorney General v. Reese*, 78 N.M. 241, 430 P.2d 399 (1967). Rule 10-113 of these rules makes the endorsement on each petition that the filing of the petition is in the best interest of the child and the public unnecessary. See also art. 20, § 1 of the New Mexico Constitution.

In no case may anyone but the children's court attorney file a petition. (See Rule 10-305 for the filing of neglect petitions.) Probation officers are not allowed to sign any petitions, including petitions to revoke probation. (See Rule 10-232.) Of course, a parent, guardian, a representative of an agency licensed or authorized to provide care or supervision of children, etc., may make a complaint to probation services or the human services department.

In *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978), the court held that a petition not filed within the mandatory time period must be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the language of former Section 32-1-14D NMSA 1978. Under Rule 10-117, the only jurisdictional time limits are those contained in Rules 10-226 and 10-308 regarding the commencement of adjudicatory hearings on delinquency, need of supervision and abuse and neglect petitions and Rule 10-229 regarding the commencement of dispositional hearings. This is consistent with the general policy followed in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Enforcement of the other provisions of the rules, including time limits, is through Rule 10-113 which allows the court to impose sanctions on an attorney who willfully violates the rules. This is also consistent with the policy of the Rules of Criminal Procedure and similar provisions in other rules adopted by the supreme court. For example, in *State v. Lucero*, 87 N.M. 369, 533 P.2d 758 (1975), the supreme court directed the court of appeals to hear an appeal on its merits with leave to "impose such sanctions as it deems appropriate" on an attorney for violation of the appellate rules. See also Rule 5-702 and commentary thereto of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Although Section 32-1-3P NMSA 1978 was amended to delete the requirement that a delinquent child be in need of care or rehabilitation, Section 32-1-31E NMSA 1978 requires the court to find that a delinquent child is in need of care and rehabilitation and if the court does not so find, the petition shall be dismissed and the child released. "Need of care and rehabilitation" is still a requirement for delinquency.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to signing of petition, see 32-1-18 NMSA 1978.

As to form and content of petition, see 32-1-19 NMSA 1978.

As to time limit for filing of petition when child is detained, see 32-1-26 NMSA 1978.

Determination whether to file delinquency petition deemed social, not legal. - The "best interests" determination as to the filing of a delinquency petition is a social determination, not a legal determination. State v. Doe, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

And involves exercise of discretion. - A best interest determination, whether by probation services, the children's court attorney or both, involves the exercise of discretion. State v. Doe, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Although determination by children's court attorney subject to judicial review. - The best interests determination of the children's court attorney is subject to judicial review by the children's court and by the New Mexico Court of Appeals. State v. Doe, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Children's court attorney authorized to execute affidavit of disqualification of judge. - The power and duty of the children's court attorney to represent the state necessarily includes the authority to execute an affidavit of disqualification of a judge when the disqualification is done on behalf of the state. Smith v. Martinez, 96 N.M. 440, 631 P.2d 1308 (1981).

Rule does not apply to a petition to revoke probation; such petitions are governed by Rule 10-232. State v. Doe, 91 N.M. 364, 574 P.2d 288 (Ct. App. 1978).

Subparagraph C(1) is mandatory. State v. Doe, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978).

And controls over conflicting statute. - There was a conflict between Subparagraph C(1) and 32-1-14D NMSA 1978 (prior to 1981) as to the time period the petition must be filed. As to this time period, the court rule is controlling. State v. Doe, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978).

However, it does not provide for the dismissal of a petition for a failure to file the petition within the 30-day time period. State v. Doe, 91 N.M. 393, 574 P.2d 1021 (Ct. App. 1978).

Dismissal of petition inappropriate where procedural violation tangential to remedy. - The normal remedy for a violation of the children's court time limits, dismissal of the petition, would be inappropriate where the procedural violation is only tangentially related to the asserted remedy. State v. Doe, 94 N.M. 446, 612 P.2d 238 (Ct. App. 1980).

Delinquency petition based on alleged burglary not insufficient. - A best interests determination that a delinquency petition be filed, based on the fact that the child allegedly committed a burglary, is not insufficient as a matter of law. State v. Doe, 97 N.M. 792, 643 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1982).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

10-205. Appointment of counsel; payment of fees.

A. **Appointment.** Within five (5) days from the date the petition is filed, or at the conclusion of the detention hearing, whichever occurs first, unless counsel has entered an appearance on behalf of the respondent, the court shall advise the public defender that the child is not represented by counsel and the public defender shall provide a defense for the child.

B. **Notice to parents.** If the public defender is asked to represent the child, the public defender shall serve on the parents, guardian or custodian a written notice on a form approved by the supreme court that if they can afford an attorney to represent the child, they will be ordered to reimburse the state for public defender representation. The notice shall be accompanied by an affidavit of indigency approved by the supreme court and shall advise the parents, guardian or custodian that if they do not complete the affidavit and return it to the public defender within the prescribed time, they may be charged for all legal representation of the child. The notice shall also advise the parents, guardian or custodian of the duty of the public defender to assist the parents, guardian or custodian in any indigency determination proceeding.

C. **Hearing on indigency.** Within thirty (30) days after receipt of notice from the public defender pursuant to Paragraph B of this rule, the parents, guardian or custodian shall complete and return to the public defender the affidavit of indigency or shall make satisfactory arrangements for payment for legal services performed for the child. The public defender shall assist any parent, guardian or custodian in any hearing before the court to determine the indigency of the parents, guardian or custodian.

D. Court order. Unless an affidavit of indigency has been returned to the public defender or satisfactory arrangements have been made for the payment of legal services performed by the public defender, the court at the conclusion of the proceedings against a respondent shall hold a hearing to determine the financial ability of the parents, guardian or custodian to reimburse the state for the costs of representation by the public defender. Unless the parent, guardian or custodian is found to be indigent, the court shall order the parents, guardian or custodian to pay a reasonable fee considering the financial ability of the parents, guardian or custodian to pay. Upon entry of the court order, the public defender shall bill the parents, guardian or custodian for the costs of representation.

Prior to the 1982 amendments, the Children's Code provided for the appointment of counsel to represent any child who cannot afford counsel and for the reimbursement of the state if the parents, guardian or custodian can afford to pay the costs of representation. Subsection B of Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978 requires the public defender to represent a child determined indigent.

The 1981 session of the legislature did not amend several other sections of the Code which provide for the appointment of counsel by the court. See Subsection H of Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978 (appointment of counsel by the court in delinquency and need of supervision cases); Subsection J of Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978 (appointment of counsel by the court in neglect and abuse cases); and Section 32-1-41 NMSA 1978 (appointment of counsel is a charge upon the funds of the court). Since counsel appointed by the court must be paid out of court funds, it is presumed that in most cases the public defender will be requested to represent the child. Rule 10-205 was drafted to implement the 1981 requirement that the public defender represent the child.

The committee was of the opinion that the provisions of the Indigent Defense Act apply to a determination of who is a needy parent, guardian or custodian under the Children's Code. See Sections 31-16-1 through 31-16-10 NMSA 1978.

The committee did not believe that it was necessary to advise the child at each stage of the proceedings of the child's right to counsel in that the public defender has a duty to represent the child under the Children's Code and presumably will be present at each stage of the proceedings.

10-206. Warrants.

A. Arrest warrants. Warrants for the arrest of a child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision may be issued by the children's court or the district court. The issuance, execution and return of the warrant for arrest shall be in accordance with the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The warrant for arrest shall be in the form approved by the supreme court.

B. Bench warrants. If any person who has agreed in writing to appear in court at a specified time and place or who is ordered by the court to appear at a specified time and place fails to appear at such specified time and place in person or by counsel when permitted by these rules, the court may issue a warrant for the person's arrest.

C. Search warrants. Search warrants may be issued by the children's court or the district court. The issuance, execution and return of the search warrant shall be in accordance with the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The search warrant shall be in the form approved by the supreme court.

Rule 10-206 was formerly Rule 24. It was renumbered in 1978.

The rule governs the use of arrest, search and bench warrants in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings.

Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-206, arrest warrants are specifically authorized for both children alleged to be delinquent and those in need of supervision. The manner of obtaining, executing and returning the warrant does not differ materially from that used in adult criminal proceedings and is governed by Paragraph C of Rule 5-208 and by Rule 5-210 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. However, in children's court proceedings, only the district court or children's court is authorized to issue the warrants.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-206 on bench warrants applies not only to respondents but to any other person who has agreed in writing to appear in court at a specified time and place or who is ordered by the court to appear at a specified time and place. Thus a bench warrant may be issued for the arrest of a parent, guardian, custodian or witness who disobeys a subpoena.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-206 technically allows the use of search warrants in both delinquency and need of supervision proceedings. The original committee felt that it would be an unusual case in which a search warrant would be justified in a need of supervision proceeding. Accordingly, the search warrant form approved by the supreme court is designed for use in cases involving allegations of delinquency. The issuance, execution and return of the search warrant is governed by Rule 5-211 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Rule 10-206 does not apply to neglect or abuse proceedings. The original committee decided that neglect proceedings generally lack sufficient similarity to criminal cases for warrants to be appropriate.

Rule 10-206 supersedes the provisions of Section 32-1-22A NMSA 1978 when such arrests would require warrants if the person to be arrested were an adult. Nothing in the rule is designed to limit the authority of a law enforcement officer to make a warrantless

arrest in those situations when such an arrest would be valid if the person arrested were an adult.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to when taking child into custody is authorized, see 32-1-22 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-207. Children in custody.

A. **Release.** Within twenty-four (24) hours, a child in custody who is alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision shall be:

- (1) released to his parents, guardian or custodian;
- (2) released upon his written promise to appear before the court when directed to do so;
- (3) taken to probation services or to a place of detention designated by the court; or
- (4) taken to a medical facility if it appears that the child is suffering from a serious physical or mental condition or illness which requires either prompt treatment or prompt diagnosis.

B. **Advisement of rights.** When in custody, the child shall be advised that he has a right to:

- (1) remain silent and that any statement made by him may be used against him;
- (2) call his parents, guardian or custodian;
- (3) have his parents, guardian or custodian or an attorney present during any questioning; and
- (4) call an attorney and if he cannot afford an attorney, he will be represented by the public defender.

* * * * *

Rule 10-207 was formerly Rule 25. It was renumbered in 1978.

The provisions for release provided in Rule 10-207 are essentially those contained in Section 32-1-23 NMSA 1978. The only significant difference is that release may be made upon the written promise of the child to appear before the court when directed to

do so, rather than the written promise of the parents, guardian or custodian that the child will appear before the court when directed to do so. The rule is not meant to allow the issuance of a bench warrant to the child or his parents, guardian or custodian for failure to appear before probation services as part of the preliminary inquiry. Under Section 32-1-14B NMSA 1978, participation in the preliminary inquiry is voluntary.

Even if the child is released, the matter may be referred to probation services for further action. If the child is taken to a medical facility, the child also may be referred to probation services for determination of the appropriateness of detention prior to the detention hearing.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-207 is directed to advisement of rights by law enforcement officers. Subparagraphs (1), (2) and (4) of Paragraph B essentially restate *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436, 86 S. Ct. 1602, 16 L. Ed. 2d 694 (1966). Subparagraph (3) is a right granted the child in Section 32-1-27 NMSA 1978. See the commentary to Rule 10-208 for a full discussion of the distinction between the use of the terms "in custody" and "detention".

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to release or delivery of child from custody, see 32-1-23 NMSA 1978.

For criteria for detention of children, see 32-1-24 NMSA 1978.

For basic rights of child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision, see 32-1-27 NMSA 1978.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-23 NMSA 1978, referred to in the second paragraph of the committee commentary, was amended in 1981 to allow for the child to be released upon his written promise to appear.

Delinquency proceedings "criminal". - Juvenile proceedings to determine delinquency, which may lead to commitment to state institution, must be regarded as "criminal" for purposes of privilege against self-incrimination. *Peyton v. Nord*, 78 N.M. 717, 437 P.2d 716 (1968).

Inadmissible confessions. - Use of confession obtained from minor, when one obtained from adult under similar circumstances would not be admissible, would be grossly unfair and could not be justified on any theory. *State v. Ortega*, 77 N.M. 7, 419 P.2d 219 (1966).

Statements made without advice of counsel. - A child's statements manifesting truth of accusers' claims, but made to police after being taken into custody without benefit of advice of counsel, were inadmissible under 32-1-27 NMSA 1978. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 92, 570 P.2d 923 (Ct. App. 1977).

Confessions not tainted. - Where juveniles were advised of rights guaranteed in criminal proceedings, and there was no suggestion that they thought they were confessing as juveniles or to improve their position with police or juvenile authorities, fact that defendants were technically in custody of juvenile court, although not yet delivered to juvenile authorities, during taking of confessions by interrogating officers, did not taint confessions to such an extent as to make them involuntary or to make their use "fundamentally unfair". State v. Ortega, 77 N.M. 7, 419 P.2d 219 (1966).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "Children's Waiver of Miranda Rights and the Supreme Court's Decisions in Parham, Bellotti, and Fare," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 379 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 29 to 31, 35, 55.

10-208. Placing child in detention.

A. Referral to probation services. Upon delivery of a child who may be held in custody to probation services or to a place of detention, a probation officer shall interview the child and, if possible, his parents, guardian or custodian to determine if continued detention is necessary under the criteria set forth in Rule 10-209 of these rules or the provisions of the Children's Code.

B. Notice of detention. If the probation officer determines that continued detention is necessary, the person in charge of the place of detention shall advise the child's parents, guardian or custodian as soon as practicable but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the child was delivered to probation services or to a place of detention, including Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays:

- (1) the child has been placed in detention;
- (2) the reason the child has been placed in detention;
- (3) the place where the child is detained and the visiting hours there;
- (4) if no petition is filed, the child will be released;
- (5) if a petition is filed or has been filed, a detention hearing will be held to determine whether continued detention is necessary; and
- (6) the child has a right to an attorney and, if his parents, custodian or guardian do not obtain an attorney for him, the public defender will represent the child.

* * * * *

Rule 10-208 establishes the procedure for placing a child in detention when he is not released pursuant to Rule 10-207.

In the rules, the terms "custody" and "detention" have distinct meanings. "Detention" is never used in reference to alleged neglected or abused children; such children are "in custody". Children alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision are "detained" or "placed in detention" upon their delivery to a place of detention or to probation services. The only time children alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision are "in custody" is when they are under the supervision of a law enforcement officer or agency. The period of custody cannot exceed 24 hours under Section 32-1-23E NMSA 1978.

Within that 24 hours, the law enforcement officer must either release the child, deliver him to a medical facility or deliver him to a place of detention or to probation services. Whether or not delivery to a medical facility constitutes "detention" will depend on the circumstances of the case.

Delivery to probation services or to a place of detention does not constitute placing the child in detention. Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-208, probation services must determine whether continued detention is appropriate under the provisions of Sections 32-1-8 or 32-1-22 NMSA 1978 or the criteria set forth in Rule 10-209. The emphasis is on release.

If the child is detained, and a petition is or has been filed, the need for detention is reviewed by the court at a detention hearing under Rule 10-211. If no petition is filed within the time limits, the child must be released.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-208 specifies the provisions of the notice which must be given the parents, guardian or custodian if the child is continued in detention. The notice need not be in writing, and the person in charge of the place of detention gives the notice, not the person (i.e., the law enforcement officer) who originally took the child into custody. The notice must be given within 24 hours of the time the child is formally placed in detention, including Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays. As in most of the other notice provisions, the person responsible for the notice is expected to make a good faith effort to locate the parents, guardian or custodian of the child. The notice need only go to one parent. If the parents, guardian or custodian cannot be located within the initial 24-hour period, the effort to give them notice should continue. See *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 18 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1967). A written notice of detention form has been provided and approved by the supreme court for this purpose.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Children's Code provisions relating to detention of children, see 32-1-22 to 32-1-26 NMSA 1978.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-23E NMSA 1978, referred to in the last sentence in the second paragraph of the committee commentary, was amended in 1981 and no longer requires a 24-hour limitation on the period of custody.

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 39 Am. Jur. 2d Habeas Corpus § 93; 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 35.

10-209. Criteria for detention.

A child shall not be placed in detention prior to the court's disposition unless probable cause exists to believe that:

- A. if not detained, the child will commit injury to the persons or property of others, cause injury to himself or be subject to injury by others;
- B. the child has no parent, guardian, custodian or other person able to provide adequate supervision and care for him; or
- C. the custody or detention is authorized by the provisions of the Children's Code.

A child may be detained only when authorized by Sections 32-1-8 or 32-1-22 NMSA 1978 or by the provisions of these rules.

Rule 10-209 sets forth three criteria for detention which are in addition to the statutory criteria. In addition to the other criteria set forth in Rule 10-209, the child may be detained if probable cause exists to believe that if not detained the child will "be subject to injury by others." This language is contained in Section 32-1-24A(1) NMSA 1978. The other criteria are also taken from Section 32-1-24 NMSA 1978.

The criteria for placing children who are alleged to be neglected or abused in the custody of the Human Services Department is contained in Rule 10-303.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For criteria for detention of child, see 32-1-24 NMSA 1978.

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-210. Explanation of rights at first appearance.

At his first appearance before the court in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding, the respondent and the parent, guardian or custodian of the respondent shall be informed by the court:

- A. of the allegations against him;
- B. of the right, if any, to a release hearing and the right, if any, to trial by jury;
- C. of the right of the child to an attorney and that if he cannot afford an attorney, the public defender will represent the child;
- D. of the right to remain silent and that any statement made by him may be used against him; and
- E. of the possible consequences if the allegations of the petition are found to be true.

* * * * *

Rule 10-210 was split into two parts in 1978: Rule 27 (now see Rule 10-210) governing advisement of rights in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings and Rule 55 (now see Rule 10-304) governing advisement of rights in neglect and abuse proceedings.

Rule 10-210 is patterned after Rule 5-301 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. It relates to advisement of rights once a petition has been filed and the respondent is before the court; it does not apply to advisement of rights by probation services at the commencement of a preliminary inquiry (see Rule 10-202) or to advisement of rights when a child is first placed in detention or in the custody of the human services department (see Rules 10-208 and 10-302).

Two provisions of Rule 10-210 require special comment. The advisement of the right to an attorney is made to the respondent; if the respondent cannot afford counsel, the public defender will represent the child. The parents, guardian or custodian of a child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision cannot waive this right for the child, nor is appointment of an attorney for their child dependent on their financial status. (See Rules 10-202, 10-205 and 10-208 on advising the parents, guardian or custodian that they may be assessed attorney's fees under Section 32-1-27B or Section 32-1-41B NMSA 1978.) Under Rule 10-205, a respondent not in detention should already have an attorney by the time he first appears before the court. The detained respondent's first

appearance before the court will be the detention hearing which, under Rule 10-205, may be the point at which counsel is appointed for the respondent in detention. For a discussion of waiver of the right to counsel by the child, see *Bouldin v. Cox*, 76 N.M. 93, 412 P.2d 392 (1966).

Secondly, the requirement that the respondent be advised of the possible consequences if the allegations of the petition are found to be true does not mean that every possible disposition must be reviewed. Rather, the intent of the original committee was that the respondent be advised of the most serious consequences if the allegations of the petition are proved. For example: on a delinquency petition, advisement that the child might be kept at the boys' school at Springer or the girls' welfare home until twenty-one years of age; and on a need of supervision petition, advisement that the child might be placed on probation for a period of up to two years and/or committed for diagnostic evaluation for a period of up to sixty days.

Rule 10-210 supersedes a number of Children's Code provisions. Specifically, Sections 32-1-26, 32-1-27 and 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 requiring that there be advisement of rights at each appearance before the court, that all "parties" or "persons" before the court be advised of their rights and that these parties or persons be advised of their basic rights under the Children's Code and any other rights existing under other laws are replaced by the provisions of Rule 10-210.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For basic rights of child alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision, as set forth in Children's Code, see 32-1-27 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts § 38.

Right of juvenile court defendant to be represented during court proceedings by parent, 11 A.L.R.4th 719.

10-211. Detention hearing; conditions of release.

A. **Time limits.** When the respondent is in detention, a detention hearing shall be held within one (1) day:

(1) from the date the petition was filed if the respondent was in detention at the time of the filing; or

(2) from the date the respondent was placed in detention if the respondent was placed in detention after the petition was filed.

B. Conditions of release. The court shall review the need for detention pursuant to Rule 10-209. If none of the criteria for detention exist, the court shall release the respondent on his written promise to appear before the court at a stated time and place or impose the first of the following conditions of release which will reasonably assure the appearance of the respondent at the adjudicatory hearing or, if no single condition gives that assurance, any combination of the following conditions:

(1) place the respondent in the custody of a designated person or organization agreeing to supervise him;

(2) place restrictions on the travel, association or place of abode of the respondent during the period of release;

(3) impose any other condition deemed reasonably necessary to assure appearance as required, including a condition requiring that the respondent return to detention as required.

C. Notice. The parents, guardian or custodian of the child shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place of the hearing.

D. Referees. The provisions of this rule may be carried out by a referee appointed by the judge.

* * * * *

This rule was not substantively revised in 1978.

The rule governs the procedure at the first formal court appearance for a child in detention who is alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision.

Paragraph A requires that the detention hearing be held within one day after a petition is filed or within one day of the date the respondent was placed in detention if a petition had previously been filed. The latter requirement is designed to cover those situations in which the petition has already been filed and a later determination is made to arrest the child. To compute the time limits see Rule 10-106.

Paragraph B specifies that the purpose of the detention hearing is to review the necessity for detention under the criteria established in Rule 10-209 and that it is not to determine probable cause. The rule does not provide for release on bail. Bail does not appear to be constitutionally required in juvenile cases if adequate substitutes for bail are provided. See *Williams v. Sanders*, 80 N.M. 619, 459 P.2d 145 (1969).

Paragraph C requires that reasonable notice of the hearing be given the parents, guardian or custodian of the child. The person giving the notice is expected to use good faith in providing notice to the parents, guardian or custodian of the child as soon as it appears that a petition will be filed and a date and time for the detention hearing is ascertained. The notice may be written or oral, although, because of the time restrictions, it would normally be oral or left in writing at the residence of the parents, guardian or custodian. Only one parent need be notified.

Paragraph D is designed to help judges, particularly in rural areas, meet the time limits for detention hearings.

Two other points should be noted. First, the Rules of Evidence do not apply to the detention hearing, Rule of Evidence 11-1101; also see the commentary to Rule 10-115. Secondly, since the detention hearing will be a detained child's first appearance before the court, the provisions of Rule 10-210 on advisement of rights will be applicable to the detention hearing.

This rule is derived from 32-1-26 NMSA 1978. The time limit for the detention hearing is unchanged from the statutory period, although Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph A is a new provision. The rule supersedes Subsections C, D, F, H and I of 32-1-26 NMSA 1978 and Subsection A of the statute to the extent it sets time limits.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to detention hearing, see 32-1-26 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-212. Release hearing; probable cause determination.

A. Demand; time limits. A respondent denied release from detention may demand a release hearing. The hearing shall be held within five (5) days after the demand for the hearing is filed with the clerk of the court. If the hearing is not held within the prescribed time, the respondent shall be released.

B. Conduct of hearing. The court shall take evidence on whether or not there is probable cause to believe that the factual allegations of the petition are true. Subpoenas may be issued for any witness required by the children's court attorney or the respondent. The witnesses shall be examined in the respondent's presence and may be cross-examined. A record may be made of the hearing upon request.

C. Conclusion of hearing. If it appears to the court that there is no probable cause to support the factual allegations of the petition, the petition shall be dismissed and the respondent released. If the court finds that there is probable cause to support the

allegations of the petition, the court shall review the necessity for continued detention pending the adjudicatory hearing.

D. **Evidence.** The Rules of Evidence shall not apply to release hearings.

* * * * *

Rule 10-212 was substantially revised in 1978. The time limit for commencement of the hearing was reduced from ten days to five days after demand is filed. A record is no longer mandatory, but is available upon request. The Rules of Evidence are made inapplicable to the hearing, consistent with the general policy of Rule of Evidence 11-1101 that the rules do not apply to probable cause determinations. Thus, hearsay would be admissible at the release hearing.

The release hearing instituted by Rule 10-212 is designed to provide a child denied release from detention with a probable cause hearing patterned after a preliminary examination under Rule 5-302 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The release hearing was added to the juvenile justice system for two reasons: first, such a hearing may be constitutionally mandated under *Gernstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103, 95 S. Ct. 103, 43 L. Ed. 2d 54 (1975); and second, the expansion of time limits for the commencement of adjudicatory hearings for detained children made additional safeguards advisable to prevent a child from being detained for several weeks on the basis of unsupported or frivolous allegations.

Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-212, only a respondent denied release from detention is entitled to a release hearing, and the respondent must make the demand. The hearing must be held within five days after the demand is filed with the clerk.

The chief inquiry at the release hearing is whether or not there is probable cause to believe that the factual allegations of the petition are true. The procedure for the hearing is similar to that for a preliminary examination. See Rule 5-302 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Pursuant to Paragraph C of Rule 10-212, if probable cause is not found, the petition must be dismissed. If probable cause is found, the hearing then moves into a second phase to determine whether continued detention under the criteria set forth in Rule 10-209 is appropriate pending the adjudicatory hearing. See Rule 5-302 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

ANNOTATIONS

Failure to hold hearing within time limits requires release of child. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 748, 605 P.2d 256 (Ct. App. 1980).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 44 to 48.

Power of juvenile court to require children to testify, 151 A.L.R. 1229.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 96.

10-213. Disclosure by the state.

A. Information subject to disclosure. Unless a shorter period of time is ordered by the court, within ten (10) days after the date of filing of a petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision, subject to Paragraph E of this rule, the state shall disclose or make available to the respondent:

(1) any statement made by the respondent, or co-respondent, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the children's court attorney;

(2) the respondent's prior record or delinquent acts or other children's court or probation record, if any, as is then available to the state;

(3) any books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places, or copies or portions thereof, which are within the possession, custody or control of the state, and which are material to the preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the state as evidence at the adjudicatory hearing, or were obtained from or belong to the respondent;

(4) any results or reports of physical or mental examinations, and of scientific tests or experiments, made in connection with the particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known to the children's court attorney;

(5) a written list of the names and addresses of all witnesses which the children's court attorney intends to call at the adjudicatory hearing, together with any statement made by the witness and any record of prior convictions of any such witness which is within the knowledge of the children's court attorney; and

(6) any material evidence favorable to the respondent which the state is required to produce under the due process clause of the United States Constitution.

B. Examining, photographing or copying evidence. The respondent may examine, photograph or copy any material disclosed pursuant to Paragraph A of this rule.

C. Depositions. The state may move the court to perpetuate the testimony of any such witness by taking his deposition pursuant to Rule 10-216.

D. Certificate. The children's court attorney shall file with the clerk of the court at least ten (10) days prior to the adjudicatory hearing a certificate stating that all information required to be produced pursuant to Paragraph A of this rule has been produced, except as specified. The certificate shall contain an acknowledgment of the continuing duty to disclose additional information. If information specifically excepted from the certificate is furnished by the children's court attorney to the respondent after the filing of the certificate, a supplemental certificate shall be filed with the court setting forth the material furnished. A copy of the certificate and any supplemental certificate shall be served on the respondent.

E. Information not subject to disclosure. The children's court attorney shall not be required to disclose any material required to be disclosed by this rule if:

- (1) the disclosure will expose a confidential informer; or
- (2) there is substantial risk to some person of physical harm, intimidation, bribery, economic reprisals or unnecessary annoyance or embarrassment resulting from such disclosure, which outweighs any usefulness of the disclosure to defense counsel.

F. Failure to comply. If the state fails to comply with any of the provisions of this rule, the court may enter an order pursuant to Rule 10-215 or hold the children's court attorney in contempt or take other disciplinary action pursuant to Rule 10-113 of these rules.

Rule 10-213 was revised in 1982 to be consistent with the revised discovery rule for criminal cases in the district courts. The information discoverable under Rule 10-213 is the same that the state must disclose in adult cases pursuant to Rule 5-501 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Appropriate language changes have been made in this rule to reflect the children's court terminology.

See the commentary to Rule 5-501 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts for the derivation of this rule.

The definition of "statement" is found in Rule 10-102.

10-214. Disclosure of evidence and witnesses by the respondent.

A. Information subject to disclosure. Unless a shorter period of time is ordered by the court, within thirty (30) days after the date of the filing of a petition or not less than ten (10) days before the adjudicatory hearing, whichever date occurs earlier, the respondent in a petition alleging delinquency or need of supervision shall disclose or make available to the state:

(1) books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, or copies or portions thereof, which are within the possession, custody or control of the respondent, and which the respondent intends to introduce in evidence at the adjudicatory hearing;

(2) any results or reports of physical or mental examinations and of scientific tests or experiments made in connection with the particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession or control of the respondent, which the respondent intends to introduce in evidence at the adjudicatory hearing or which were prepared by a witness whom the respondent intends to call at the adjudicatory hearing if the results or reports relate to his testimony; and

(3) a list of the names and addresses of the witnesses the respondent intends to call at the adjudicatory hearing, together with any statement made by the witness.

B. Examining, photographing or copying evidence. The state may examine, photograph or copy any material disclosed pursuant to Paragraph A of this rule.

C. Information not subject to disclosure. Except as to scientific or medical reports, this rule does not authorize the discovery or inspection:

(1) of reports, memoranda, or other internal defense documents made by the respondent, his attorneys or agents, in connection with the investigation or defense of the case; or

(2) of statements made by the respondent to his agents or attorneys.

D. Certificate. The respondent shall file with the clerk of the court at least ten (10) days prior to the adjudicatory hearing a certificate stating that all information required to be produced pursuant to Paragraph A of this rule has been produced, except as specified. The certificate shall contain an acknowledgment of the continuing duty to disclose additional information. If information specifically excepted from the certificate is furnished by the respondent after the filing of the certificate, a supplemental certificate shall be filed with the court setting forth the material furnished. A copy of the certificate and any supplemental certificate shall be served on the state.

If the respondent fails to comply with any of the provisions of this rule, the court may enter an order pursuant to Rule 10-215 or hold the respondent or the defense counsel in contempt or take other disciplinary action pursuant to Rule 10-113 of these rules.

* * * * *

Rule 10-214 was amended in 1982 to be consistent with Rule 5-502 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. See commentary to Rule 5-502 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts for the derivation of this rule. It governs discovery by the state in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings.

See Rule 10-102 for the definition of "statement" as used in this rule.

10-215. Continuing duty to disclose; failure to comply.

A. Duty to disclose. If, subsequent to compliance with a request or order for discovery pursuant to Rule 10-213 or 10-214 of these rules, and prior to or during the adjudicatory hearing, a party discovers additional material or witnesses which he would have been under a duty to produce or disclose at the time of such previous compliance if it were then known to the party, he shall promptly give written notice to the other party of the existence of the additional material or witnesses.

B. Failure to comply. If at any time during the course of the proceedings it is brought to the attention of the court that a party has failed to comply with this rule or with an order issued pursuant to this rule, the court may order such party to permit the discovery or inspection of materials not previously disclosed, grant a continuance, or prohibit the party from calling a witness not disclosed, or from introducing in evidence the material not disclosed, or it may enter such other order as it deems appropriate under the circumstances, including, but not limited to, holding an attorney in contempt of court pursuant to Rule 10-113 of these rules.

See Rule 5-505 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and commentary to Rule 5-505 of those rules. This rule was added in 1978.

This rule was amended in 1982 to be consistent with Rule 5-505 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

10-216. Depositions.

A. When allowed. Upon motion, and after notice to opposing counsel, at any time after the filing of a petition alleging delinquency, the children's court may order the taking of the deposition of any person other than the respondent upon a showing that his testimony may be material and relevant to the offense charged, that it is necessary to take his deposition to prevent injustice, and either:

- (1) the person will not cooperate in giving a statement to the moving party; or
- (2) the person may be unable to attend the adjudicatory hearing or any other hearing.

B. Scope of discovery. Unless otherwise limited by order of the court, parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the offense charged or the defense of the respondent, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. It is not ground for objection that the information sought will be inadmissible at the

adjudicatory hearing if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

C. Time and place of deposition. Unless otherwise stipulated to by the parties, any deposition allowed under this rule shall be taken at such time and place as ordered by the court.

D. Persons before whom depositions may be taken.

(1) Within the United States or within a territory or insular possession subject to the dominion of the United States, depositions shall be taken before an officer authorized to administer oaths by the laws of the United States or of the place where the examination is held, or before a person appointed by the court in which the action is pending. A person so appointed has power to administer oaths and take testimony.

(2) In a foreign country, depositions may be taken:

(a) on notice before a person authorized to administer oaths in the place in which the examination is held, either by the law thereof or by the law of the United States;

(b) before a person commissioned by the court, and a person so commissioned shall have the power by virtue of his commission to administer any necessary oath and take testimony; or

(c) pursuant to a letter rogatory.

A commission or a letter rogatory shall be issued on application and notice and on terms that are just and appropriate. It is not requisite to the issuance of a commission or a letter rogatory that the taking of the deposition in any other manner is impracticable or inconvenient; and both a commission and a letter rogatory may be issued in proper cases. A notice or commission may designate the person before whom the deposition is to be taken either by name or descriptive title. A letter rogatory may be addressed "To the Appropriate Authority in (here name the country)." Evidence obtained in response to a letter rogatory need not be excluded merely for the reason that it is not a verbatim transcript or that the testimony was not taken under oath or for any similar departure from the requirements for depositions taken within the United States under these rules.

(3) No deposition shall be taken before a person who is a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or is a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or is interested in the action.

E. Notice of examination: general requirements; nonstenographic recording.

(1) A party desiring to take the deposition of any person upon oral examination shall give notice in writing to every other party to the action. The notice shall state the time

and place set for taking the deposition and the name and address of each person to be examined.

(2) The court may for cause shown enlarge or shorten the time previously set for taking the deposition.

(3) The court may upon motion order that the testimony at a deposition be recorded by other than stenographic means including by videotape, in which event the order shall designate the manner of recording, preserving and filing the deposition, and may include other provisions to assure that the recorded testimony will be accurate and trustworthy. If the order is made, a party may nevertheless arrange to have a stenographic transcription made at his own expense.

F. Record of examination. The officer before whom the deposition is to be taken shall put the witness on oath and shall personally, or by someone acting under his direction and in his presence, record the testimony of the witness.

G. Depositions of corporations, partnerships and governmental agencies. A party may in his notice name as the deponent a public or private corporation or a partnership or association or governmental agency and designate with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested. The organization so named shall designate one or more officers, directors or managing agents or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which he will testify. The persons so designated shall testify as to matters known or reasonably available to the organization. This paragraph does not preclude taking a deposition by any other procedure authorized in these rules.

H. Examination and cross-examination; objections. Examination and cross-examination of witnesses may proceed as permitted at the adjudicatory hearing under the provisions of Rule 11-611 of the New Mexico Rules of Evidence. All objections made at time of the examination to the qualifications of the officer taking the deposition, the manner of taking it, the evidence presented or the conduct of any party, and any other objection to the proceedings, shall be noted by the officer upon the deposition. Evidence objected to shall be taken subject to the objections. In lieu of participating in the oral examination, parties served with notice of taking a deposition may transmit written interrogatories to the officer taking the deposition who shall propound them to the witness and record the answers verbatim.

I. Motion to terminate or limit examination. At any time during the taking of the deposition, on motion of a party or of the deponent and upon a showing that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in such manner as unreasonably to annoy, embarrass or oppress the deponent or party, the court in which the action is pending, or the court in the district where the deposition is being taken, may order the officer conducting the examination to cease forthwith from taking the deposition or may limit the scope and manner of the taking of the deposition pursuant to Rule 10-218. If the order made terminates the examination, it shall be resumed thereafter only upon the

order of the court in which the action is pending. Upon demand of the objecting party or deponent, the taking of the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion for an order.

J. Submission to witness; changes; signing. When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for examination and shall be read to or by him, unless such examination and reading are waived by the witness and by the parties. Any changes in form or substance which the witness desires to make shall be entered upon the deposition by the officer with a statement of the reasons given by the witness for making them. The deposition shall then be signed by the witness, unless the parties by stipulation waive the signing or the witness is ill, cannot be found or refuses to sign. If the deposition is not signed by the witness, the officer shall sign it and state on the record the fact of the waiver, the illness or absence of the witness, or the fact of the refusal by the witness to sign together with the reason, if any, given therefor; and the deposition may then be used as fully as though signed, unless on a motion to suppress the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

K. Certification and filing by officer; copies; notice of filing.

(1) The officer shall certify on the deposition that the witness was duly sworn by him and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. He shall then securely seal the deposition in an envelope endorsed with the title of the action and marked "Deposition of (here insert name of witness)" and shall promptly file it with the court in which the action is pending or send it by registered or certified mail to the clerk thereof for filing.

(2) Upon payment of reasonable charges therefor, the officer shall furnish a copy of the deposition to any party or to the deponent.

(3) The party taking the deposition shall give prompt notice of its filing to all other parties.

L. Stipulations regarding discovery procedure. Unless the court orders otherwise, the parties may by written stipulation:

(1) provide that depositions may be taken before any person, at any time or place, upon any notice, and in any manner and when so taken may be used like other depositions;
or

(2) provide for other methods of discovery.

M. Attendance. A resident of the state may be required to attend an examination only in the county wherein he resides, or is employed, or regularly transacts his business, in person. A person who refuses to obey a subpoena served upon him may be adjudged

in contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. The deposition of any witness confined in prison shall be taken where the witness is confined.

N. Use of depositions. At the adjudicatory hearing, or at any other hearing, any part or all of a deposition may be used as evidence if:

(1) the witness is unavailable, as unavailability is defined in Paragraph A of Rule 11-804 of the Rules of Evidence;

(2) the witness gives testimony at the adjudicatory or any other hearing inconsistent with his deposition; or

(3) it is otherwise admissible under the Rules of Evidence.

If only part of a deposition is offered in evidence by a party, any adverse party may require him to offer any other part or parts relevant to the part offered, and any party may introduce any other parts, subject to the Rules of Evidence.

O. Objections to admissibility. Subject to the provisions of Subparagraph (3) of Paragraph Q, objection may be made at the adjudicatory hearing or any other hearing to receiving in evidence any deposition or part thereof for any reason which would require the exclusion of the evidence if the witness were then present and testifying.

P. Effect of taking or using depositions. A party does not make a person his own witness for any purpose by taking his deposition. The introduction in evidence of the deposition or any part thereof for any purpose other than that of contradicting or impeaching the deponent makes the deponent the witness of the party introducing the deposition. At the adjudicatory hearing or any other hearing any party may rebut any relevant evidence contained in a deposition whether introduced by him or by any other party.

Q. Effect of errors and irregularities in depositions.

(1) All errors and irregularities in the notice for taking a deposition are waived unless written objection is promptly served upon the party giving the notice.

(2) Objection to taking a deposition because of disqualification of the officer before whom it is to be taken is waived unless made before the taking of the deposition begins or as soon thereafter as the disqualification becomes known or could be discovered with reasonable diligence.

(3) (a) Objections to the competency of a witness or admissibility of evidence are not waived by failure to make them before or during the taking of the deposition, unless the ground of the objection is one which might have been obviated or removed if presented at that time.

(b) Errors and irregularities occurring at the oral examination in the manner of taking the deposition, in the form of the questions or answers, in the oath or affirmation, or in the conduct of parties, and errors of any kind which might be obviated, removed or cured if promptly presented, are waived unless seasonable objection thereto is made at the taking of the deposition.

(c) Objections to the form of written interrogatories submitted pursuant to this rule are waived unless served in writing upon the party propounding them within three (3) days after service of the interrogatories.

(4) Errors and irregularities in the manner in which the testimony is transcribed or the deposition is prepared, signed, certified, sealed, endorsed, transmitted, filed or otherwise dealt with by the officer under this rule are waived unless a motion to suppress the deposition or some part thereof is made with reasonable promptness after such defect is, or with due diligence might have been, ascertained.

R. **Contempt.** If a witness refuses to be sworn or refuses to answer any question after being directed to do so by the court in the county in which the deposition is being taken, the refusal may be considered a contempt of that court.

See Rule 5-503 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and commentary to that rule. Depositions may not be taken in need of supervision proceedings.

10-217. Videotaped depositions; testimony of certain minors who are victims of sexual offenses.

A. **Videotaped depositions.** Upon motion, and after notice to opposing counsel, at any time after the filing of a petition in children's court alleging criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact on a child under thirteen (13) years of age, the children's court may order the taking of a videotaped deposition of the victim, upon a showing that the child may be unable to testify without suffering unreasonable and unnecessary mental or emotional harm. The children's court judge must attend any deposition taken pursuant to this paragraph and shall provide such protection of the child as the judge deems necessary.

B. **Use of videotaped depositions.** At the adjudicatory hearing of a respondent charged with criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact on a child under thirteen (13) years of age, any part or all of the videotaped deposition of a child under thirteen (13) years of age taken pursuant to Paragraph A of this rule, may be shown to the children's court judge or the jury and admitted as evidence as an additional exception to the hearsay rule of the Rules of Evidence if:

- (1) the child is unable to testify before the court without suffering unreasonable and unnecessary mental or emotional harm;
- (2) the deposition was presided over by a children's court judge and the respondent was present and was represented by counsel or waived counsel; and
- (3) the respondent was given an adequate opportunity to cross-examine the child, subject to such protection of the child as the judge deems necessary.

C. **Other uses.** In addition to the use of a videotaped deposition as permitted by Paragraph B of this rule, a videotaped deposition may be used for any of the reasons set forth in Paragraph N of Rule 10-216.

* * * * *

Rule 10-217 is almost identical to Rule 5-504 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. See the commentary to that rule for a discussion of the history of that rule.

10-218. Depositions; protective orders.

A. **Motion.** Upon motion by a party or by a person to be examined pursuant to Rule 10-216 and for good cause shown, the children's court in which the action is pending, or the children's court in the district where the deposition is to be taken, may make any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, undue burden, or from the risk of physical harm, intimidation, bribery or economic reprisals. The order may include one or more of the following restrictions:

- (1) the deposition requested not be taken;
- (2) the deposition requested be deferred;
- (3) the deposition may be had only on specified terms and conditions including a designation of time or place;
- (4) certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of the discovery be limited to certain matters;
- (5) the deposition be conducted with no one present except persons designated by the court;
- (6) a deposition after being sealed be opened only by order of the children's court;
- (7) a trade secret or other confidential research, development or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only in a designated way; or

(8) the parties simultaneously file specified documents or information enclosed in sealed envelopes to be opened as directed by the children's court.

B. Showing of good cause. Upon motion, the children's court may permit the showing of good cause required under Paragraph A of this rule to be in the form of a written statement for inspection by the court in camera, if the court concludes from the statement that there is a substantial need for the in camera showing. If the children's court does not permit the in camera showing, the written statement shall be returned to the movant upon request. If no such request is made, or if the court enters an order granting the relief sought, the entire text of the statement shall be sealed and preserved in the records of the court to be made available to the appellate court having jurisdiction in the event of an appeal.

C. Denial. If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the children's court may, on such terms and conditions as are just, order that any person provide or permit discovery.

See Rule 5-507 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and commentary to Rule 10-213. This rule was added in 1978.

10-219. Notice of alibi.

A. Notice. In delinquency proceedings, upon the written request of the children's court attorney, specifying as particularly as is known to the children's court attorney, the place, date and time of the commission of the delinquent act charged, a respondent child who intends to offer evidence of an alibi in his defense shall, not less than ten (10) days before the adjudicatory hearing or such other time as the children's court may direct, serve upon such children's court attorney a notice in writing of his intention to claim such alibi. Such notice shall contain specific information as to the place at which the respondent child claims to have been at the time of the alleged offense and, as particularly as known to respondent or his attorney, the names and addresses of the witnesses by whom he proposes to establish such alibi. Not less than five (5) days after receipt of respondent's witness list or at such other time as the children's court may direct, the children's court attorney shall serve upon the respondent the names and addresses, as particularly as known to the children's court attorney, of the witnesses the state proposes to offer in rebuttal to discredit the respondent's alibi at the adjudicatory hearing.

B. Continuing duty to give notice. Both the respondent and the children's court attorney shall be under a continuing duty to promptly disclose the names and addresses of additional witnesses which come to the attention of either party subsequent to filing their respective witness lists as provided in this rule.

C. Failure to give notice. If a respondent fails to serve a copy of such notice as herein required, the children's court may exclude evidence offered by such defendant for the purpose of proving an alibi, except the testimony of the respondent himself. If such notice is given by a respondent, the children's court may exclude the testimony of any witness offered by the respondent for the purpose of proving an alibi if the name and address of such witness was known to respondent or his attorney but was not stated in such notice. If the children's court attorney fails to file a list of witnesses and serve a copy thereof on the respondent as provided in this rule, the children's court may exclude evidence offered by the state to contradict the respondent's alibi evidence. If such notice is given by the children's court attorney, the children's court may exclude the testimony of any witnesses offered by the children's court attorney for the purpose of contradicting the defense of alibi if the name and address of such witness is known to the children's court attorney but was not stated in such notice. For good cause shown the children's court may waive the requirements of this rule.

D. Notice inadmissible. The fact that a notice of alibi was given or anything contained in such notice shall not be admissible as evidence in the adjudicatory hearing.

See Rule 5-508 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and commentary to Rule 10-213. This rule applies only to delinquency proceedings since a need of supervision charge typically involves a course of conduct rather than a specific act. This rule was added in 1978.

10-220. Insanity at time of commission of delinquent act; notice of incapacity to form specific intent.

A. Notice of insanity as a defense. In delinquency proceedings, notice of the defense of insanity of the respondent child at the time of the commission of the delinquent act must be given within ten (10) days after service of the petition or within ten (10) days after an attorney is appointed or enters his appearance on behalf of the respondent child, whichever is later, unless upon good cause shown the court waives the time requirement of this rule.

B. Mental examination. Upon motion and upon good cause shown the children's court judge shall order a mental examination of the respondent child before making any determination of competency.

C. Determination of issue of insanity. When the defense of insanity at the time of the commission of the delinquent act is raised, the issue shall be determined in nonjury trials by the court and in jury trials by a special verdict of the jury. When the determination is made and the respondent child is discharged on the ground of insanity, a judgment dismissing the petition with prejudice shall be entered, and any proceedings for commitment of the respondent child because of any mental disorder or developmental disability shall be pursuant to law.

D. Statement made during psychiatric examination. A statement made by a person during a psychiatric examination or treatment subsequent to the commission of the alleged delinquent act shall not be admissible in evidence in any proceeding on any issue other than that of respondent's sanity or competency.

E. Notice of incapacity to form specific intent. If the respondent child intends to call an expert witness on the issue of whether he was incapable of forming the specific intent required as an element of an alleged delinquent act, notice of such intention shall be given in the same manner and time as notice of insanity as a defense.

[As amended, effective January 1, 1987.]

* * * * *

This rule, which was added in 1978, sets forth the procedure to be used in delinquency proceedings if either the defense of insanity or incapacity to form specific intent is raised. The procedure is similar to that in Rule 5-602 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. However, the time for filing the notice of insanity or incapacity to form specific intent is tied to service of the petition on the respondent or entry of appearance by counsel, rather than arraignment as provided in Rule 5-602.

Paragraph B is similar to Paragraph C of Rule 5-602 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Reference to court payment in cases of indigency is deleted. Pursuant to 32-1-32B NMSA 1978, the court may order examination by a psychiatrist or psychologist of any child before the court prior to the adjudicatory hearing if there are indications that the child is mentally ill or mentally retarded. The statutory provision is not limited to the issue of competency. Section 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 further allows the court at any stage of the proceeding to transfer legal custody of the child for a period of up to 30 days for evaluation if the child appears to be mentally ill or mentally retarded. If at any time in the proceedings, the evidence indicates that the child is mentally retarded or mentally ill, the court may proceed pursuant to 32-1-35 NMSA 1978. Initiation of commitment proceedings is discretionary with the court. *State v. John Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978).

Paragraph C follows Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph A of Rule 5-602 and Paragraph D of Rule 5-602; and Paragraph D follows Paragraph E of Rule 5-602.

Section 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 deals generally with the alternatives available when any child appears before the court and shows signs of mental illness or mental retardation. This rule does not modify the general provisions of 32-1-35 NMSA 1978; rather, this rule provides a structure in delinquency proceedings in which the issue of insanity or incapacity to form specific intent can be raised as a legal defense to a specific charge. If the issue of mental illness or mental retardation arises in need of supervision cases, reference should be made to 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 and to Rule 10-221. In neglect cases, 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 governs.

ANNOTATIONS

Effective dates. - Pursuant to an order of the supreme court dated September 24, 1986, the above rule is effective on or after January 1, 1987.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-35 NMSA 1978, referred to in the second and last paragraphs in the committee commentary, was extensively amended in 1981 and no longer deals with all of the subject matter discussed in the commentary.

10-221. Determination of competency to stand trial; lack of capacity.

A. **How raised.** The issue of respondent's competency to stand trial in delinquency or child in need of supervision proceedings may be raised by motion, or upon the court's own motion, at any stage of the proceedings.

B. **Mental examination.** Upon motion and upon good cause shown the children's court judge shall order a mental examination of the respondent child before making any determination of competency.

C. **Determination.** The issue of competency shall be determined by the children's court judge, unless the judge finds there is evidence which raises a reasonable doubt as to the respondent child's competency to stand trial.

(1) If a reasonable doubt is raised prior to the adjudicatory hearing, the children's court, without a jury, may determine the issue of competency; or, in its discretion, may submit the issue to a jury, other than the jury sitting at the adjudicatory hearing.

(2) If the issue of competency is raised during the adjudicatory hearing, the children's court judge in nonjury cases shall determine the issue; in jury cases, the jury shall be instructed upon the issue. If, however, the respondent child has been previously found to be competent to stand trial in the proceedings, the issue of competency shall be redetermined in accordance with this rule only if the children's court judge finds that there is evidence not previously submitted which raises a reasonable doubt as to the respondent child's competency to participate in the proceedings.

D. **Proceedings on finding of incompetency.** If a respondent child is found incompetent to stand trial:

(1) further proceedings on the petition shall be stayed until the respondent becomes competent to participate in the proceedings;

(2) where appropriate, the children's court judge may order treatment to enable the respondent to attain competency to stand trial; and

(3) the children's court judge may review and amend the conditions of release pursuant to Rules 10-209 and 10-211 of these rules.

E. **Mistrial.** If the finding of incompetency is made during the adjudicatory hearing, the children's court judge shall declare a mistrial.

[As amended, effective January 1, 1987.]

See Paragraph B of Rule 5-602 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. This rule applies to both delinquency and need of supervision proceedings. See commentary to Rule 10-220 for a discussion of the interrelationship of Rule 10-220 and this rule and the provisions of 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 relating generally to the mental illness or mental retardation of children appearing before the court.

Paragraph B is similar to Paragraph C of Rule 5-602 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. Reference to court payment in cases of indigency is deleted. Pursuant to 32-1-32B NMSA 1978, the court may order examination by a psychiatrist or psychologist of any child before the court prior to the adjudicatory hearing if there are indications that the child is mentally ill or mentally retarded. The statutory provision is not limited to the issue of competency. Section 32-1-35 NMSA 1978 further allows the court at any stage of the proceeding to transfer legal custody of the child for a period of up to 30 days for evaluation if the child appears to be mentally ill or mentally retarded. If at any time in the proceedings, the evidence indicates that the child is mentally retarded or mentally ill, the court may proceed pursuant to 32-1-35 NMSA 1978. Initiation of commitment proceedings is discretionary with the court. *State v. John Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978).

ANNOTATIONS

Effective dates. - Pursuant to an order of the supreme court dated September 24, 1986, the above rule is effective on or after January 1, 1987.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-35 NMSA 1978, referred to in the committee commentary, was extensively amended in 1981 and no longer deals with all of the subject matter discussed in the commentary.

Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph C of this rule controls over 32-1-35B NMSA 1978, providing for the dismissal of a delinquency petition without prejudice when a child is committed as a mentally disordered child. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 189, 637 P.2d 1244 (Ct. App. 1981).

10-222. Transfer hearing; general procedure.

A. **Initiating proceeding.** To transfer jurisdiction of a respondent named in a petition alleging delinquency from the children's court to the district court, the children's court attorney shall file a motion for transfer setting forth sufficient facts to invoke the jurisdiction of the district court. A motion to transfer jurisdiction may be filed at any time prior to the adjudicatory hearing on the petition. The motion shall be heard prior to the adjudicatory hearing on the petition.

B. **Counsel.** Upon filing of the motion for transfer, the respondent shall be represented by the public defender if he is not represented by an attorney.

C. **Nature of hearing.** The court shall take evidence on whether or not there is probable cause to believe that the respondent committed the acts alleged in the motion for transfer and shall take such other evidence as may be required by law to determine if transfer is appropriate.

D. **Conduct of hearing.** The hearing shall be without a jury. Subpoenas shall be issued for any witness required by the children's court attorney or the respondent. The witnesses shall be examined in the respondent's presence and may be cross-examined. A record shall be made of the hearing. Upon request and if ordered by the court, the record shall be transcribed and filed with the clerk of the district court if the respondent is transferred to the district court or with the clerk of the children's court if the respondent is not transferred to the district court. At the start of the hearing, the court shall advise the respondent and his parents, guardian or custodian, if present, of the following:

(1) the offense charged;

(2) the purpose of the transfer hearing; and

(3) the penalty provided by law for the offense charged if the respondent is transferred to the district court.

E. **Bail.** If transfer is ordered and the offense is a bailable offense, the court shall prescribe conditions of release pursuant to Rule 5-401 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Rule 10-222 was formerly Rule 30. It was renumbered in 1978.

Rule 10-222 establishes procedures for the hearing to determine whether a child should be transferred to district court to be tried as an adult. Rule 10-223 prescribes time limits for holding a transfer hearing.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-222 requires that the children's court attorney initiate proceedings with a motion for transfer prior to the adjudicatory hearing on the petition.

See *Breed v. Jones*, 421 U.S. 519, 99 S. Ct. 1779, 44 L. Ed. 2d 346 (1975) and *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981). The committee believes that the term "adjudicatory hearing" is synonymous to the use of the term "trial" for purposes of double jeopardy. See *State v. Rhodes*, 76 N.M. 177, 413 P.2d 214 (1966).

A motion to transfer is not a preadjudicatory motion and therefore the time limits of Rule 10-114 for filing preadjudicatory motions do not apply to Rule 10-222. *State v. Doe*, *supra*.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-222 again emphasizes that the child has a right to be represented by counsel. That question was left undecided in *Neller v. State*, 79 N.M. 528, 445 P.2d 949 (1968), but is guaranteed by Section 32-1-27H NMSA 1978. However, under *Neller*, the right may be waived if not timely raised. See also *State v. Salazar*, 79 N.M. 592, 446 P.2d 644 (1968) and *State v. Gallegos*, 82 N.M. 618, 485 P.2d 374 (Ct. App. 1971).

Under Paragraph B of Rule 10-222, the hearing is both a probable cause determination and a determination of whether the criteria for transfer set forth in Sections 32-1-29 and 32-1-30 NMSA 1978 exist.

The specific provisions of Paragraph D of Rule 10-222 relating to the conduct of the hearing are taken from the preliminary examination procedures contained in Rule 5-302 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

If transfer is ordered, the transfer hearing, although substantially similar to a preliminary examination under Rule 5-302 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, is not a substitute for the defendant's constitutional right to a preliminary examination if transferred to the district courts. The committee specifically rejected a proposal to that effect as constitutionally impermissible and as unwise in view of the varying considerations present at the two hearings. A child transferred to district court to be tried as an adult is entitled to all the rights afforded an adult defendant. *Williams v. Sanders*, 80 N.M. 619, 459 P.2d 145 (1969); *Neller v. State*, *supra*.

Paragraph E of Rule 10-222 is designed to allow immediate setting of conditions of release by the judge presiding at the transfer hearing if the child is transferred to the district court.

An order transferring the child is immediately appealable. In the Matter of *Doe II*, 86 N.M. 37, 519 P.2d 133 (Ct. App. 1974).

The statutory bases for Rule 10-222 are Sections 32-1-29 and 32-1-30 NMSA 1978. The statutory provisions relating to the basis for transfer, age for transfer, etc., are substantive and therefore beyond the scope of the supreme court's rulemaking authority. The provisions relating to notice, specifically Sections 32-1-29A(3) and 32-1-30A(3), are procedural and thus are superseded by Rule 10-104 of these rules.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Children's Code provisions relating to transfer to criminal court, see 32-1-29, 32-1-30 NMSA 1978.

Rule 10-114 does not apply to filing of motions to transfer because: (1) preadjudicating motions contemplate a subsequent hearing on the merits of a petition, while a transfer motion is filed with the expectation that there will be no adjudication in the children's court; (2) the fact that this rule requires a transfer motion to be made prior to the adjudicating hearing does not make a preadjudicating motion for purposes of Rule 10-114; and (3) neither this rule nor 32-1-30 NMSA 1978 provide a time limit for filing motions to transfer. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981) (decided prior to 1982 amendment).

Therefore, transfer motion must be filed within "reasonable" time. - Rule is not applicable to a motion to transfer a child to the district court to be prosecuted as an adult; therefore, reasonableness is the test when there is an issue concerning the timeliness of the filing of a motion to transfer. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981) (decided prior to 1982 amendment).

And where child's counsel notified, transfer motion not dismissed. - Where a detention order put the child's counsel on notice that a motion to transfer the child to the district court for prosecution as an adult would be forthcoming, and where the defense counsel did not claim a lack of adequate preparation time, surprise or prejudice, or request a continuance, the court did not abuse its discretion by refusing to dismiss the transfer motion. *State v. Doe*, 96 N.M. 515, 632 P.2d 750 (Ct. App. 1981).

"Findings," before transfer, as to child's amenability to treatment not required. - "Findings," before a child is transferred, as to amenability of a child to treatment or rehabilitation are not a statutory requirement, nor are they a requirement under this rule. If findings are made, their function is to show that consideration was given. *State v. Doe*, 97 N.M. 598, 642 P.2d 201 (Ct. App. 1982).

Denial of a transfer motion under either 32-1-29 or 32-1-30 NMSA 1978 is not final; it simply leaves the case in the children's court for further proceedings. *State v. Doe*, 99 N.M. 460, 659 P.2d 912 (Ct. App. 1983).

And court empowered to reconsider denial. - The children's court has the inherent power to reconsider, by reason of its nonfinal nature, an order denying a motion to transfer. *State v. Doe*, 99 N.M. 460, 659 P.2d 912 (Ct. App. 1983).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - Possibility of rehabilitation as affecting whether juvenile offender should be tried as adult, 22 A.L.R.4th 1162.

10-223. Transfer hearing; time limits.

A. Respondent in detention. If the respondent is in detention, the transfer hearing shall be commenced within thirty (30) days from whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date the motion for transfer is filed;
- (2) if the proceedings have been stayed on a finding of incompetency to participate in the transfer hearing, the date an order is filed finding the defendant competent to participate in a transfer hearing; or
- (3) if the respondent fails to appear at any time required by the court, the date the respondent is taken into custody after the failure to appear.

B. Respondent not in detention. If the respondent is not in detention, the transfer hearing shall be commenced within ninety (90) days from whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date the motion for transfer is filed;
- (2) if the proceedings have been stayed on a finding of incompetency to participate in the transfer hearing, the date an order is filed finding the defendant competent to participate in a transfer hearing; or
- (3) if the respondent fails to appear at any time required by the court, the date the respondent is taken into custody after the failure to appear.

C. Failure to appear. If the respondent fails to appear as required, and the respondent is not in custody, the children's court may order the respondent taken into custody.

D. Extension of time. The time for commencement of a transfer hearing may be extended only by the supreme court, a justice thereof or a judge designated by the supreme court, for good cause shown. The party seeking an extension of time shall file with the clerk of the supreme court a verified petition for extension concisely stating the facts petitioner deems to constitute good cause for an extension of time to commence the transfer hearing. The petition shall be filed within the applicable time limits prescribed by this rule, except that it may be filed within ten (10) days after the expiration of the applicable time limits if it is based on exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the state or children's court which justify the failure to file the petition within the applicable time limit. A party seeking an extension of time shall forthwith serve a copy thereof on opposing counsel. Hearings on such petitions will be held in Santa Fe, or such other place as may be designated by the supreme court, on five (5) days notice to the parties. If the supreme court finds that there is good cause for

the granting of an extension beyond the applicable time limit, it shall fix the time limit within which the transfer hearing must be commenced.

E. Effect of noncompliance with time limits. If the transfer hearing on any petition is not begun within the times specified in Paragraph A or B of this rule or within the period of any extension granted as provided in this rule, the petition shall be dismissed with prejudice.

This rule was drafted to eliminate the problem of the lack of time limits for holding transfer hearings. In *State v. Doe*, 94 N.M. 446, 612 P.2d 238 (Ct. App. 1980), the New Mexico Court of Appeals reversed an order transferring a child under Rule 10-222 because the delay of 94 days after the motion was filed was unreasonable in that it went beyond the time limits prescribed for holding adjudicatory hearings. This rule supersedes *State v. Doe*, supra, insofar as it allows in certain cases a transfer hearing to be held after the time limits of Rule 10-226.

The time limits of this rule are mandatory and may only be extended by the New Mexico Supreme Court.

ANNOTATIONS

Hearing need not be completed in 30 days. - No words in this rule mandate that the hearing be both commenced and completed within 30 days. The requirement is met even if the hearing is not completed within that time period. *State v. Taylor*, 107 N.M. 66, 752 P.2d 781 (1988).

Expedited schedule not applied to unrelated charges. - When a juvenile was taken into detention on a charge of aggravated assault, the state was not required to provide a transfer hearing on an unrelated murder charge within the 30-day limit set forth in this rule for respondents in detention. The fact that a juvenile is in detention in one case does not ordinarily affect the time schedule of another different case alleging delinquency. *In re Dominick Q.*, N.M. , 826 P.2d 574 (Ct. App. 1992).

10-224. Admissions and consent decrees.

A. Admissions. The respondent may make an admission by:

- (1) admitting sufficient facts to permit a finding that the allegations of the petition are true; or
- (2) declaring his intention not to contest the allegations in the petition.

B. Consent decrees. A consent decree is an order of the court, after an admission has been made, that suspends the proceedings on the petition and places the child under

supervision for a period not to exceed six (6) months under terms and conditions negotiated and agreed to by the respondent and the children's court attorney.

C. Inquiry of respondent. The court shall not accept an admission or approve a consent decree without first, by addressing the respondent personally in open court, determining that:

(1) he understands the charges against him;

(2) he understands the dispositions authorized by the Children's Code for the offense;

(3) he understands that he has the right to deny the allegations in the petition and have a trial on the allegations;

(4) he understands that if he makes an admission or agrees to the entry of the consent decree, he is waiving the right to a trial; and

(5) the admission or provisions of the consent decree are voluntary and not the result of force or threats or of promises other than any consent decree agreement reached.

D. Basis for admission or consent decree. The court shall not enter a judgment upon an admission or shall not approve a consent decree without making such inquiry as shall satisfy it that there is a factual basis for the admission and consent decree.

E. Disposition on admission by respondent. After acceptance of an admission made by the respondent, unless made for the purpose of a consent decree, the court shall proceed to make any disposition permitted by law as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

F. Disposition on acceptance of consent decree. If the court accepts a consent decree, the court shall approve the disposition provided for in the consent decree or another disposition more favorable to the respondent than that provided for in the consent decree. If the court rejects the consent decree, the decree shall be null and void.

G. Inadmissibility of discussions. Evidence of an admission or agreement to a consent decree, later withdrawn, or of statements made in connection therewith are not admissible in any proceeding against the respondent.

H. Time limits. If the respondent is in detention, the court shall accept or reject the admission or consent decree within five (5) days after the admission is made or within five (5) days after a consent decree has been submitted to the court for its approval.

I. Rules of Evidence. The Rules of Evidence do not apply to inquiries made to determine whether there is a factual basis for an admission or a consent decree.

* * * * *

Rule 10-224 was substantially revised in 1978 to clarify the admission and consent decree procedure.

The rule now defines two types of admissions: the traditional "guilty" plea and a nolo contendere plea. The child must enter one of these two pleas in order to utilize the consent decree procedure. However, the making of an admission in itself does not entitle a child to enter a consent decree.

Consent decrees are still negotiated settlements, approved by the court, under which the child is placed on probation for a period of up to six months, with the possibility of extension for another six months or revocation of the consent decree. See commentary to Rule 10-225 for a discussion of the extension and revocation provisions.

In the event a consent decree has not been negotiated, a child who makes an admission is subject to the discretion of the court in terms of disposition, limited only by the provisions of Section 32-1-38 NMSA 1978. (See Paragraph E of Rule 10-224.) The court is not bound to accept an admission. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978).

Prior to the 1978 revision, a consent decree required that the child "admit sufficient facts to invoke the jurisdiction of the court." In practice, this requirement proved too vague to be workable. Section 32-1-36 NMSA 1978, which deals with consent decrees, does not govern consent decrees in abuse or neglect cases. Consent decrees, like stipulated judgments in civil cases, are procedural matters, governed by court rules.

The statute does provide for reinstatement of the original petition if the child does not fulfill the terms of the consent decree or if a new delinquency or need of supervision petition is filed against the child during the period the consent decree is in effect. See Section 32-1-36D NMSA 1978.

Before entry of the consent decree, the child is fully advised that he will have no rights to an adjudicatory hearing if he enters the consent decree, Paragraph C of Rule 10-224. The original petition is not "reinstated"; rather, the consent decree is revoked. See Rule 10-225.

Prior to approval of a consent decree or acceptance of a formal admission of the allegations of a petition by the court, a petition must have been filed. (See definition of "respondent" in Rule 10-102.) This requirement does not prohibit probation services and the child from agreeing to an informal supervision or informal probation prior to the filing of a petition. However, once the jurisdiction of the court is invoked, only a court order may resolve the case.

Paragraph F of Rule 10-224 defines the dispositional limits of a consent decree. A consent decree cannot be used to place the respondent in an institution or a department

of corrections facility, unless the decree is revoked and such placement is appropriate for the original offense. (See Rule 10-225.) The initial term of the consent decree is six months. While probation services may actually negotiate the terms of the decree, the rule requires that the children's court attorney agree to the terms of the consent decree. The original committee believed that once the jurisdiction of the court had been invoked by filing of a petition, the children's court attorney was charged with responsibility for the case and his agreement was essential. To provide otherwise would allow probation services to remove cases from the authority of the children's court attorney.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-224 follows the determination required in Rule 5-303 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts and Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The determination is required in cases involving either a consent decree or an admission. The original committee believed that such an inquiry is constitutionally mandated. *In re Appeal No. 544*, 25 Md. App. 26, 332 A.2d 680 (1975); *Santobello v. New York*, 404 U.S. 257, 92 S. Ct. 495, 30 L. Ed. 2d 427 (1971); *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238, 89 S. Ct. 1709, 23 L. Ed. 2d 274 (1969).

Paragraph D of Rule 10-224 requires that there be a factual basis for the admission or consent decree agreement.

Paragraph F of Rule 10-224 prohibits the court from accepting the admissions contained in a consent decree, and then imposing a more stringent disposition than that negotiated. If the court accepts the consent decree, it must do so on the terms negotiated or on terms more favorable to the respondent. It is entirely within the discretion of the court whether or not to accept a consent decree agreement. Nothing prohibits the court from rejecting the offered decree prior to conducting the hearing required by Paragraph F of Rule 10-224. However, the decree cannot be accepted until after the determination required by Paragraph C is made.

Paragraph G of Rule 10-224 follows the general policy in civil cases and adult criminal cases in the district courts that negotiations leading toward settlements should be encouraged and thus negotiation discussions should not be admissible if the negotiation efforts fail.

Paragraph H of Rule 10-224 is designed to assure a prompt final disposition for detained children when an admission is made or agreement reached on a consent decree. For computation of the time limit, see Rule 10-106.

Paragraph I of Rule 10-224 was added in 1978.

Rules 10-224 and 10-225 are procedural rules and supersede any conflicting provisions of Section 32-1-36 NMSA 1978. They are designed to clarify the procedure to be used when the respondent in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding admits the factual allegations of the petition. The rule does not envision the use of any "notice of intent to admit the allegations of the petition" (Section 32-1-32 NMSA 1978) and to the

extent this "notice" is called for in the Children's Code, it is superseded by the provisions of Rule 10-224.

In addition to the changes made in the "reinstatement" procedure previously discussed, consent decree procedure also varies from the statute in that supervision may be in the home of another person and the children's court attorney must approve the consent decree.

Subsection F of Section 32-1-36 NMSA 1978, establishing a basis for disqualification of a judge in consent decree situations, is not affected by Rule 10-224 since it deals with a substantive right.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to consent decrees, see 32-1-36 NMSA 1978.

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

Motion for consent decree held admission. - Where a motion for a consent decree signed by the child and his attorney states that the child does not object to the entrance of a consent decree, that statement declares the child's intention not to contest the allegations in the petition and thus is an admission under this rule. *State v. Doe*, 92 N.M. 354, 588 P.2d 555 (Ct. App. 1978).

Court may properly call for information in deciding whether to accept or reject a consent decree or provide for a more favorable disposition of the child, as predisposition reports are relevant in deciding an appropriate disposition of the case and calling for information on the child's background is consistent with the legislative purpose in 32-1-2B NMSA 1978 of providing a "program of supervision, care and rehabilitation." *State v. Doe*, 92 N.M. 354, 588 P.2d 555 (Ct. App. 1978).

Court has discretionary power to accept or refuse admission by a child, and so it was not an abuse of discretion to refuse to accept an admission when the consequence of such an acceptance would foreclose transfer specifically authorized by 32-1-30 NMSA 1978. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978) (decided before 1978 amendment).

Court may protect transfer option. - Although 32-1-27 NMSA 1978 and Paragraph A of this rule authorize admissions by a child, they do not state that the court must accept the admission and are not to be interpreted as requiring the court to accept the admission; such an interpretation would place the child in a position to prevent a transfer because acceptance of the admission moves the proceedings into the adjudicatory stage, thereby preventing a transfer, and the clear intent of the statutes and rules is that a transfer may be accomplished, if transfer requirements are met, without regard to the wishes of the child. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978) (decided before 1978 amendment).

Defendant does not have absolute right under federal constitution to have his guilty plea accepted. State v. Doe, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "Defending the Criminal Alien in New Mexico: Tactics and Strategy to Avoid Deportation," see 9 N.M.L. Rev. 45 (1978-79).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

10-225. Extension, revocation or termination of consent decree.

A. **Extension.** The children's court attorney may move the court for an order extending the original consent decree for a period not to exceed six (6) months from the expiration of the original decree. The motion for extension shall be filed prior to the expiration of the original decree. If the respondent objects to the extension, the court shall hold a hearing to determine if the extension is in the best interests of the respondent and the public.

B. **Revocation of consent decree.** If, prior to the expiration of the consent decree, the respondent allegedly fails to fulfill the terms of the decree, the children's court attorney may file a petition to revoke the consent decree. Proceedings on the petition shall be conducted in the same manner as proceedings on petitions to revoke probation. If the respondent is found to have violated the terms of the consent decree, the court may:

(1) extend the period of the consent decree; or

(2) make any other disposition which would have been appropriate in the original proceeding.

C. **Termination.** The original petition shall be dismissed with prejudice if the respondent completes the period under the consent decree and any extension thereof without a petition to revoke the decree being filed and the state shall not again proceed against the respondent for the same offense alleged in the original petition or for an offense based upon the same conduct alleged in the original petition.

Rule 10-225 was formerly Rule 33. It was renumbered in 1978. The rule governs three situations: extension of a consent decree, termination of a consent decree and violation of a consent decree.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-225 allows extension of a consent decree for a period not to exceed six months if the children's court attorney moves the court for an extension of

the decree prior to expiration of the original decree. The child must be given notice of the motion under Rule 10-104. A hearing must be held if the child objects to the motion. The original committee envisioned that such a hearing would be in the nature of a dispositional hearing. However, because the possible reasons for seeking the extension are varied (i.e. the child may have allegedly committed another delinquent act which did not warrant revoking the consent decree), the actual format of the hearing has been left open. It is not an ex parte hearing.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-225 governs revocation of the consent decree. Since a consent decree is essentially a negotiated probationary period, the original committee felt that the proceedings to revoke the consent decree should follow the procedure to revoke probation contained in Rule 10-232 of these rules. The petition is to be filed by the children's court attorney. (See commentary to Rule 10-224 for a discussion of the jurisdictional basis for the court's authority to order disposition appropriate in the original proceeding.) If the consent decree is revoked and the original petition alleged delinquency, the respondent could be committed to the boys' school at Springer or the girls' welfare home until twenty-one years of age. See Section 32-1-38 NMSA 1978.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-225 prohibits the state from proceeding against the respondent for the offense or conduct which gave rise to the consent decree if he completes the consent decree period without a petition to revoke being filed. Nothing in the rule precludes a civil action against the respondent for damages arising from his conduct.

Section 32-1-36C NMSA 1978 was amended in 1981 to be consistent with Paragraph A of Rule 10-225.

Section 32-1-36D NMSA 1978 was amended in 1981 to include the provisions of Paragraph B of Rule 10-225.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-225 supersedes Section 32-1-36E NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For procedure governing parole revocation, see Rule 10-232.

As to extension, revocation or termination of consent decrees, see 32-1-36 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

10-226. Adjudicatory hearing; time limits.

A. Respondent in detention. If the respondent is in detention, the adjudicatory hearing shall be commenced within thirty (30) days from whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date the petition is served on the respondent;
- (2) if the proceedings have been stayed on a finding of incompetency to stand trial, the date an order is filed finding the defendant competent to participate in an adjudicatory hearing;
- (3) if a mistrial is declared or a new adjudicatory hearing is ordered by the children's court, the date such order is filed;
- (4) in the event of an appeal, the date the mandate or order is filed in the children's court disposing of the appeal;
- (5) if the respondent fails to appear at any time set by the court, the date the respondent is taken into custody after the failure to appear; or
- (6) in the event a motion for transfer is filed by the children's court attorney, the date an order is filed denying the motion.

B. Respondent not in detention. If the respondent is not in detention or has been released from detention prior to the expiration of the time limits set forth in Paragraph A of this rule, the adjudicatory hearing shall be commenced within ninety (90) days from whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date the petition is served on the respondent;
- (2) if the proceedings have been stayed on a finding of incompetency to participate in the adjudicatory hearing, the date an order is filed finding the defendant competent to participate in an adjudicatory hearing;
- (3) if a mistrial is declared or a new adjudicatory hearing is ordered by the children's court, the date such order is filed;
- (4) in the event of an appeal, the date the mandate or order is filed in the children's court disposing of the appeal;
- (5) if the respondent fails to appear at any time set by the court, the date the respondent is taken into custody after the failure to appear; or
- (6) in the event a motion for transfer is filed by the children's court attorney, the date an order is filed denying the motion.

C. Failure to appear. If the respondent fails to appear as required, and the respondent is not in custody, the children's court may order the respondent taken into custody.

D. Extension of time. The time for commencement of an adjudicatory hearing may be extended only by the supreme court, a justice thereof, or a judge designated by the supreme court, for good cause shown. The party seeking an extension of time shall file with the clerk of the supreme court a verified petition for extension concisely stating the facts petitioner deems to constitute good cause for an extension of time to commence the adjudicatory hearing. The petition shall be filed within the applicable time limits prescribed by this rule, except that it may be filed within ten (10) days after the expiration of the applicable time limits if it is based on exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the state or children's court which justify the failure to file the petition within the applicable time limit. A party seeking an extension of time shall forthwith serve a copy thereof on opposing counsel. Within five (5) days after service of the motion, opposing counsel may file an objection to the extension setting forth the reasons for such objection. No hearing shall be held except upon order of the supreme court. If the supreme court finds that there is good cause for the granting of an extension beyond the applicable time limit, it shall fix the time limit within which the adjudicatory hearing must be commenced.

E. Effect of noncompliance with time limits. If the adjudicatory hearing on any petition is not begun within the times specified in Paragraph A or B of this rule or within the period of any extension granted as provided in this rule, the petition shall be dismissed with prejudice.

Rule 10-226 was revised in 1978.

The time limits for the commencement of the adjudicatory hearing depend upon whether or not the respondent is in detention. Prior to the 1978 revisions to this rule, the time limits were measured only by the date of service of the petition on the respondent. In conformity with similar changes in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, five other events were added from which the time limits are computed. The events are the same whether or not the respondent is in detention and are substantively similar to those added to Rule 5-604 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Paragraph C relating to failure to appear was added in 1978 and Paragraphs D and E concerning time extensions and failure to comply with the time limits were modified in accord with changes in Rule 5-604 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

See commentary to Rule 5-604 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts for a discussion of these changes.

The time limits in Rule 10-226 are jurisdictional. See commentary to Rule 10-204.

Rules 10-226 and 10-227 use the term "adjudicatory hearing" rather than the statutory "hearing on the petition" to describe what is the equivalent of a trial in the adult criminal system.

The statutory time limit runs from the date of filing of the petition. Obviously, the time limits do not apply if no adjudicatory hearing is required because an admission has been accepted or because a consent decree agreement has been approved.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-226 was amended in 1982 to clarify when adjudicatory hearings may be held when a child is released prior to the expiration of the time limits for commencement of hearings for children in detention.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to time limitations on adjudicatory hearings, see 32-1-28 to 32-1-28.2 NMSA 1978.

Parole revocation. - The children's court procedure for an original petition alleging delinquency applies to petitions for revocation of parole. *State v. Doe*, 90 N.M. 568, 566 P.2d 117 (Ct. App. 1977).

Calculation of time period. - The time period for holding a hearing becomes fixed by presence or absence of the detention of the child after the detention hearing. *State v. Doe*, 90 N.M. 568, 566 P.2d 117 (Ct. App. 1977).

Effect of subsequent detention. - A revocation of a child's release for a violation of the conditions thereof did not change the applicable time period for holding the hearing. *State v. Doe*, 90 N.M. 568, 566 P.2d 117 (Ct. App. 1977).

Tolling provisions limited to 30- or 90-day period respectively. - The tolling provided by Subparagraphs A(6) and B(6) of this rule is limited to a 30- or 90-day period, respectively. *State v. Doe*, 94 N.M. 446, 612 P.2d 238 (Ct. App. 1980).

When 30-day limitation begins to run. - The 30-day period for holding a hearing on a motion to extend custody begins to run after the later of: (1) the termination date of the prior custody, or (2) the date the child is arrested after his failure to appear. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 748, 605 P.2d 256 (Ct. App. 1980).

When 90-day period begins to run. - The time limit for commencing an adjudicatory hearing in a delinquency proceeding involving a child not held in custody begins to run when the summons and a copy of the petition are personally served on the child, and not when a copy is given to the child's attorney. *State v. Jody C.*, 113 N.M. 80, 823 P.2d 322 (Ct. App. 1991).

Good cause for continuance. - The absence of witnesses and the fact that the judge was occupied with a jury trial constituted good cause for continuances. State v. Doe, 90 N.M. 568, 566 P.2d 117 (Ct. App. 1977).

Where a special master lacks authority to hear a probation revocation petition, the court is without jurisdiction at the hearing on the petition. When the district judge disposes of the case more than 30 days after the petition is filed, the petition should be dismissed with prejudice. State v. Doe, 93 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

"Appeal". - The term "appeal" in Subparagraph A(4) includes a request for review over which the appellate court lacks jurisdiction. State v. Michael C., 106 N.M. 440, 744 P.2d 913 (Ct. App. 1987).

"Appeal", for purposes of Paragraph B(4), should be defined as a seeking of review by a higher court, including seeking supreme court review under a peremptory writ. State v. Felipe V., 105 N.M. 192, 730 P.2d 495 (Ct. App. 1986).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

10-227. Adjudicatory hearing; general procedure.

A. Conduct. Except as otherwise provided, adjudicatory hearings shall be conducted in the same manner as trials are conducted under the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

B. Children's court attorney. The children's court attorney shall represent the state at all adjudicatory hearings.

* * * * *

Rule 10-227 was formerly Rule 35. It was renumbered in 1978.

The rule establishes general procedures for both jury and nonjury adjudicatory hearings and, by reference, adopts the specific provisions of Rules 5-606 through 5-611 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. However, the procedure for demanding a jury trial and certain other aspects of jury trials established by the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts are not applicable to jury trials in the children's court under Rule 10-227. See commentary to Rule 10-228 of these rules.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-227, by requiring that adjudicatory hearings be conducted in the same manner as adult criminal trials, follows the recommendation of the national advisory commission on criminal justice standards and goals. The original committee

agreed with the NAC commentary that when "the juvenile contests the facts upon which court jurisdiction is sought, the procedure for resolving the dispute should not differ substantially from that used in adult cases." The adoption of the provisions of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts also brings the rules into compliance with the due process and fair hearing requirements of *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 18 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1967), and *In re Winship*, 397 U.S. 358, 90 S. Ct. 1068, 25 L. Ed. 2d 368 (1970).

Paragraph B of Rule 10-227 requiring the children's court attorney to represent the state in adjudicatory hearings specifically follows NAC Standard 14.4 that: "In all delinquency cases, a legal officer representing the State should be present in court to present evidence supporting the allegation of delinquency." The original committee decided that: (1) a juvenile probation officer is not an attorney and should not be serving in the role of an attorney; (2) that if the juvenile probation officer were allowed to be the "chief prosecutor," his ability to counsel and deal with the respondent on a more informal basis would be compromised; and (3) it is part of the duties of the children's court attorney to represent the state as prosecutor. See Rule 10-102 for the definition of "children's court attorney."

Subsection B of Section 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 provides that all hearings on petitions alleging delinquency shall be open to the public unless the court makes a finding of exceptional circumstances. Hearings on petitions alleging need of supervision are closed to the public.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to children's court attorney, see 32-1-5 NMSA 1978.

As to conduct of hearings, see 32-1-31 NMSA 1978.

For Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, see Rule 5-101 et seq.

Nature of proceedings. - Juvenile proceedings to determine "delinquency," which may lead to a commitment to a state institution, must be regarded as "criminal" for purposes of the privilege against self-incrimination. *Peyton v. Nord*, 78 N.M. 717, 437 P.2d 716 (1968).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - Applicability of double jeopardy to juvenile court proceedings, 5 A.L.R.4th 234.

10-228. Jury trial.

A. **Demand.** A demand for trial by jury in delinquency proceedings shall be made in writing to the court within ten (10) days from the date the petition is filed or within ten (10) days from the appointment of an attorney for the respondent or entry of

appearance by counsel for the respondent, whichever is later. If demand is not made as provided in this paragraph, trial by jury is deemed waived.

B. Peremptory challenges. In all trials by jury in the children's court, the state shall be entitled to three peremptory challenges and the defense, five. When two or more respondents are jointly tried, two additional challenges shall be allowed to the defense and one (1) to the state for each additional respondent.

* * * * *

Rule 10-228 was formerly Rule 36. It was renumbered in 1978. This rule was readopted after the decision in *State v. Doe*, 94 N.M. 637, 614 P.2d 1086 (Ct. App. 1980) to make it clear that the provisions of this rule and Section 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 govern the procedure for demanding a jury trial.

The rule contains special provisions relating to jury trials in the children's court.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-228 requires that the demand for jury trial be in writing and that it be filed within certain time limits.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-228 is patterned after Subparagraph (1)(b) of Paragraph D of Rule 5-606 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

Except as provided in Rule 10-228, jury trials are conducted in the same manner as trials are conducted under the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. See Rule 10-227.

See also, Section 32-1-31A NMSA 1978 and *Peyton v. Nord*, 78 N.M. 717, 437 P.2d 716 (1968).

Historical Background

Until the adoption of the first juvenile law in New Mexico in 1917 (Laws 1917, Chapter 4), New Mexico handled juvenile criminal offenders in the same manner as adult criminal offenders. From 1917 until 1968, New Mexico followed the general rule that, under the theory of *parens patriae*, juvenile proceedings were civil proceedings and therefore juveniles were not entitled to a right to a jury trial. See *In re Santillanes*, 47 N.M. 140, 152, 138 P.2d 503 (1943).

In 1968, the Supreme Court of New Mexico in *Peyton v. Nord*, 78 N.M. 717, 724, 437 P.2d 716 (1968) relied in part on *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 18 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1967) and held that a juvenile was entitled to a jury trial under the jury trial guaranties of Article 2, Section 14 of the New Mexico Constitution "as well as those of the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution . . ." In holding that a juvenile is entitled to a jury trial, the court reasoned that since juveniles were entitled to a jury trial as adult offenders at the time of the adoption of our state Constitution, under Article 2,

Section 12, they cannot be denied this right merely because of a "change in terminology or procedure."

It is almost universally decided that a jury trial is not required by either the state or federal constitutions in delinquency proceedings unless a jury trial is provided for by statute. 100 A.L.R. 2d 1241. The United States Supreme Court has held a juvenile proceeding is not a "criminal prosecution" under the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution and therefore juveniles are not entitled to a jury trial under the United States Constitution. *McKeiver v. Pennsylvania*, 403 U.S. 528, 95 S. Ct. 1976, 29 L. Ed. 2d 647 (1971).

In *State v. Doe*, 90 N.M. 776, 568 P.2d 612 (Ct. App. 1977) the court referred to *McKeiver v. Pennsylvania*, supra, to support its conclusion that a jury trial in juvenile proceedings is not constitutionally mandated by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and explains that the decision in *Peyton v. Nord*, supra, is applicable only to those situations where a felony is charged and not where the offense is a petty misdemeanor. The court held that Section 32-1-31A NMSA 1978 authorizes a jury trial only if the juvenile has committed a "district court offense." Rule 10-228 should not be construed as extending a right to trial by jury in cases where the delinquent act would have been a petty misdemeanor if committed by an adult.

The 1972 session of the legislature repealed the Juvenile Code of 1955 and enacted a new Children's Code, Section 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 of which provided that the child, parent, guardian, custodian or counsel in proceedings alleging delinquency may demand a jury trial. The New Mexico Supreme Court subsequently adopted Children's Court Rule 10-228 requiring that the demand for jury trial be made in writing within 10 days from the date the petition is filed or within 10 days from the appointment of an attorney, whichever is later.

In *State v. Doe*, 94 N.M. 637, 614 P.2d 1086 (Ct. App. 1980), the New Mexico Court of Appeals held that under *Peyton v. Nord*, supra, a juvenile has a right to a jury trial unless there is a waiver. Although there is dictum in *Peyton v. Nord*, supra, relating to waiver, the committee does not believe that case law in criminal cases relating to the issue of waiver was extended to juvenile proceedings by the *Peyton* decision. The supreme court by readopting Rule 10-228 concurs in this belief. If a jury is demanded and the child is entitled to a jury trial, the jury's function is limited to that of trier of the factual issue of whether or not the child committed the alleged delinquent acts. If no jury is demanded, the hearing will be by the court without a jury and all hearings on petitions other than those alleging delinquency will be without a jury. Jury trials will be conducted in accordance with rules promulgated under the provisions of Subsection C of Section 32-1-4 NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to jury trial on issue of alleged delinquent acts, see 32-1-31A NMSA 1978.

Right to trial by jury. - Since at the time of the adoption of the state constitution, a juvenile could not have been imprisoned without trial by jury, no change in terminology or procedure may be invoked whereby incarceration might now be accomplished in manner involving the denial of the right to a jury trial. Peyton v. Nord, 78 N.M. 717, 437 P.2d 716 (1968).

Waiver of jury trial. - Where a child has a right to a trial by jury, such right may be waived, but only by an express waiver. State v. Doe, 94 N.M. 637, 614 P.2d 1086 (Ct. App. 1980).

Jury trial may be waived, but waiver should be permitted only when the juvenile has been advised by counsel and it is amply clear that an understanding and intelligent decision has been made; if the juvenile, after considering the advantages and disadvantages and having been advised by counsel, waives trial by jury, he would enjoy the benefits generally felt to attach through trial to court. Peyton v. Nord, 78 N.M. 717, 437 P.2d 716 (1968).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 47, 64.

10-229. Dispositional hearing.

A. Access to reports. In dispositional hearings:

(1) copies of any social, diagnostic or other predisposition reports ordered by or submitted to the court shall be provided to the parties at least five (5) days before the hearing is scheduled; and

(2) counsel for the parties shall be permitted to subpoena and examine in court the person who prepared the report.

B. Time limits. When the respondent is in detention, the dispositional hearing shall begin within twenty (20) days from the date the adjudicatory hearing was concluded or an admission of the factual allegations of the petition was accepted by the court, except as provided herein. The court may order that the respondent be transferred to an appropriate facility of the department of corrections for a period of not more than sixty (60) days with respect to a child adjudicated as a child in need of supervision and for a period of not more than ninety (90) days with respect to a child adjudicated as a delinquent for purposes of diagnosis and education. If the respondent is so transferred, the dispositional hearing shall begin within twenty (20) days from the date the court receives the diagnostic report of the department. If the hearing is not begun within the times specified in this paragraph, the petition shall be dismissed with prejudice after notice and hearing if:

(1) the child has not agreed to the delay or has not been responsible for the failure to comply with the time limits; and

(2) the child has been prejudiced by the delay.

Rule 10-229 was formerly Rule 37. It was renumbered in 1978.

The rule establishes dispositional procedures for use after entry of a judgment that the respondent is a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision.

Paragraph A of Rule 10-229 reflects the original committee's concern that the dispositional hearing is often the crucial stage in children's court proceedings, particularly those involving children in need of supervision in which the facts have been stipulated. As noted in the report by the president's commission on law enforcement and administration of justice, *The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society* 86-87 (1967):

. . . children need advocates to speak for them and guard their interests, particularly when disposition decisions are made. It is the disposition stage at which the opportunity arises to offer individualized treatment plans and in which the danger adheres that the court's coercive power will be applied without adequate knowledge of the circumstances.

The purpose of Paragraph A of Rule 10-229 is to assure that if the accuracy of social, medical, psychological and psychiatric reports which form the basis for disposition is questioned, the respondent has a means to test that accuracy. The original committee was concerned with those instances in which the dispositional reports are conclusionary in nature or substantially based on hearsay. In such cases, the reports are admissible, but defense counsel is allowed an opportunity to show that the conclusion is erroneous or the hearsay unreliable, and the state is allowed the same means to challenge the respondent's evidence under Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph A of Rule 10-229.

Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph A of Rule 10-229 sets a time limit for providing copies of the reports to the parties.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-229 establishes time limits for beginning the dispositional hearing if the respondent is in detention or if the respondent is transferred to a corrections division facility for diagnosis. The statutory maximum period for a diagnostic confinement is ninety days for a child adjudicated as a delinquent and sixty days for a child adjudicated a child in need of supervision. See Section 32-1-32 NMSA 1978. If the respondent is not undergoing diagnosis at a corrections division facility, but is in detention, the dispositional hearing must begin within twenty days from the date the adjudicatory hearing was concluded or an admission accepted by the court. This time limit is to prevent continued detention without prompt final disposition.

The dispositional hearing must begin twenty days after the court receives the diagnostic report of the department. The additional twenty-day leeway is allowed to provide adequate time for receipt and examination of diagnostic reports and to schedule the hearing. This rule supersedes Section 32-1-32 NMSA 1978 in this respect.

There is no time limit for the dispositional hearing of children who are not in detention or undergoing diagnosis.

The time periods of this rule are mandatory. See Rule 10-117. The court must dismiss any case in which the dispositional hearing has not been held within time limits prescribed by this rule. See *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 31, 595 P.2d 1221 (Ct. App. 1979), for a decision issued prior to the amendment of Rules 10-117 and 10-229, clarifying when a proceeding must be dismissed. For decisions discussing "prejudice" in criminal cases where prejudice to the defendant was found, see *State v. Orona*, 92 N.M. 450, 589 P.2d 1041 (1979); *State v. Vigil*, 87 N.M. 345, 533 P.2d 578 (1975); *State v. Caputo*, 94 N.M. 190, 608 P.2d 166 (Ct. App. 1980); *Chacon v. State*, 88 N.M. 198, 539 P.2d 218 (Ct. App. 1975); *State v. Johnson*, 84 N.M. 29, 498 P.2d 1372 (Ct. App. 1972) and *Barker v. Wingo*, 407 U.S. 514 (1972). For decisions where no prejudice to the defendant was found, see *State v. Smith*, 92 N.M. 533, 591 P.2d 664 (1979); *State v. Gallegos*, 92 N.M. 370, 588 P.2d 1045 (Ct. App. 1978) and *State v. Gutierrez*, 91 N.M. 542, 577 P.2d 440 (Ct. App. 1978).

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to hearing regarding disposition of child, see 32-1-26 NMSA 1978.

As to predisposition studies, reports and examinations, see 32-1-32 NMSA 1978.

Absence of hearing and two-year commitment improper under statutory provisions. - Where there is no hearing prior to the entry of an order to commit a child to a boys' school for two years, the absence of a hearing is contrary to 32-1-27 NMSA 1978, which gives the child a right to be heard concerning the diagnostic evaluation. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 206, 598 P.2d 1166 (Ct. App. 1979).

Purpose of time requirements is to ensure prompt handling of children's court matters. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 31, 595 P.2d 1221 (Ct. App. 1979).

Consequence of violating Paragraph B is dismissal. - Consistent with Rule 10-101, and giving effect to the mandatory aspect of the time requirements of this rule, the consequence of violating Paragraph B is dismissal. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 31, 595 P.2d 1221 (Ct. App. 1979).

Requirements for Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph B dismissal. - Dismissal under Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph B for delay does not operate unless both prejudice and

absence of the child's agreement to or responsibility for delay are present. State v. Doe, 100 N.M. 357, 670 P.2d 968 (Ct. App. 1983).

When time limit not waived. - A child does not waive the time limit of this rule either by requesting a delay in transportation to the Youth Diagnostic Center or by requesting a continuance of a dispositional hearing which itself would have been untimely. State v. Doe, 94 N.M. 282, 609 P.2d 729 (Ct. App. 1980).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

10-230. Judgments and appeals.

A. Entry of judgment. If the respondent is found to have committed a delinquent act or is found to have committed an offense defined as need of supervision, a judgment to that effect shall be entered. If it is also determined that the respondent is in need of care or rehabilitation, a judgment that the child is a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision shall be entered. If the respondent is found not to be a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision, a judgment to that effect shall be entered. The judgment and disposition shall be rendered and thereafter a written judgment and disposition shall be signed by the judge and filed. The clerk shall give notice of entry of judgment and disposition.

B. Advisement of right to an appeal. At the time of disposition in a case which has gone to an adjudicatory hearing on a denial of the allegations of the petition, the court shall advise the respondent of his right to appeal and of the right of a person who is unable to pay the cost of an appeal to proceed at state expense. Failure of the court to so advise the respondent shall toll the time for taking an appeal.

C. Appeals. Appeals from judgments and dispositions on petitions alleging delinquency or need of supervision shall be governed by the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

This rule (formerly Rule 38) was not substantially changed in 1978.

The rule deals with the conclusion of the adjudicatory hearing and the onset of the time for appeal.

Under 32-1-3N and P and 32-1-31 NMSA 1978, a determination of guilt in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding requires a two-pronged inquiry: (1) did the child commit a delinquent act or commit an offense defined as need of supervision, and, if so, (2) is the child also in need of care or rehabilitation? If the answer to both inquiries is in the affirmative, then the respondent is either a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision. Such a conclusion is equivalent to a finding of guilty in an adult criminal case.

Accordingly, Paragraph A reflects this two-faceted inquiry. The first entry of judgment goes only to whether or not it has been proven that the acts alleged were committed by the child. This determination, standing alone, does not make the child a "delinquent child" and is therefore not a sufficient basis for the court to proceed to disposition. The court also must determine whether the respondent is in need of care or rehabilitation. If it so determines, the judgment that the respondent is a delinquent child or a child in need of supervision is then entered and has the equivalent effect of a determination of guilty in adult criminal cases. Failure to hold a hearing to determine whether or not the child is in need of care or rehabilitation is reversible error. *State v. John Doe*, 91 N.M. 356, 573 P.2d 1211 (Ct. App. 1977).

If the answer to either of the two inquiries is in the negative, the judgment to be entered is that the respondent is not guilty.

Paragraph B provides that the time for appeal begins to run from disposition, not from the conclusion of the adjudicatory hearing. See *In re John Doe III*, 87 N.M. 170, 531 P.2d 218 (Ct. App. 1975).

Section 32-1-39A NMSA 1978 simply allows "a party" to appeal from a judgment of the children's court to the court of appeals "in the manner provided by law." Paragraph C specifies that the appeal will be governed by the New Mexico Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to judgment in proceedings under Children's Code, see 32-1-33 NMSA 1978.

As to disposition of child, see 32-1-34 to 32-1-37 NMSA 1978.

As to limitations on dispositional judgments, and modification, termination or extension of court orders, see 32-1-38 NMSA 1978.

As to periodic review of dispositional judgments, see 32-1-38.1 NMSA 1978.

As to appeals from children's court, see 32-1-39 NMSA 1978.

There are two aspects to the determination that child is delinquent child: (1) the act which he committed; and (2) the need for care or rehabilitation. *State v. Doe*, 90 N.M. 249, 561 P.2d 948 (Ct. App. 1977).

State has the right to appeal judgments of the children's court. *State v. Doe*, 92 N.M. 354, 588 P.2d 555 (Ct. App. 1978).

Effect of admission on transfer to district court. - Since acceptance of an admission involves accepting that the child has committed a delinquent act and is a delinquent

child, and this rule requires entry of judgment once the child is found to have committed a delinquent act, a transfer to district court pursuant to 32-1-30 NMSA 1978 cannot occur once an admission is accepted. *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 506, 576 P.2d 1137 (Ct. App. 1978) (decided before 1978 amendment of Rule 44 (now Rule 10-224)).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 55 to 59.

Interference with enforcement of judgment in criminal or juvenile delinquent case as contempt, 8 A.L.R.3d 657.

10-231. Commitment information.

Whenever a child is committed to either the girls' school, the boys' school or the youth diagnostic and development center, the committing court shall provide the following information to that facility if available:

A. medical information. A complete medical report including any psychological and drug involvement information, if applicable;

B. family information. This shall include information relating to number of siblings, family income, and religious background;

C. educational information;

D. employment information;

E. delinquent history; and

F. any other information which is relevant to the background of the child.

10-232. Probation revocation.

A. **Procedure.** Proceedings to revoke probation shall be conducted in the same manner as proceedings on petitions alleging delinquency or need of supervision, and the respondent whose probation is sought to be revoked shall be entitled to all rights that a respondent alleged to be delinquent or in need of supervision is entitled to under law and these rules, except that:

(1) no preliminary inquiry shall be conducted;

(2) the hearing on the petition shall be to the court without a jury;

(3) the petition shall be styled as a "Petition to Revoke Probation" and shall state the terms of probation alleged to have been violated and the factual basis for these allegations; and

(4) the petition may be filed any time prior to expiration of the period of probation.

B. Disposition. If the respondent is found to have violated a term of his probation, the court may:

(1) extend the period of probation; or

(2) make any other disposition which would have been appropriate in the original proceedings.

* * * * *

Rule 10-232 was formerly Rule 39. It was renumbered in 1978.

The children's court attorney must sign the petition. See also Section 32-1-43 NMSA 1978.

There is no provision in the Children's Code similar to Section 31-21-15B NMSA 1978 regarding credit for probation for adults. See *State v. Sublett*, 78 N.M. 655, 436 P.2d 515 (Ct. App. 1968). Section 32-1-38G NMSA 1978 of the Children's Code provides that any time "prior to the expiration of a judgment of probation," a court may extend the judgment for one year. Section 32-1-38G NMSA 1978 seems to require less than Rule 10-232 does for initiating a petition to revoke probation. However, Section 32-1-43 NMSA 1978, regarding probation revocation, provides that the court not only may extend the judgment, but it may "make any other judgment or disposition that would have been appropriate in the original disposition of the case." Rule 10-232 was amended in 1982 to require the filing of the petition for revocation prior to expiration of the probation period. By requiring that the petition to revoke probation be filed prior to the expiration of the probation period, this rule would be consistent with Rule 10-225 regarding the extension of consent decrees.

Rule 11-509 of the Rules of Evidence establishing a privilege between probation officers and the respondent is inapplicable to probation revocation proceedings since it applies only to statements made during a preliminary inquiry, and none is conducted under Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph A of Rule 10-232. See *State v. Doe*, 91 N.M. 364, 574 P.2d 288 (Ct. App. 1978).

The 1982 amendments deleting "parole" from this rule were made to be consistent with the amendment of Section 32-1-43 NMSA 1978 by the 1981 legislature. Section 32-1-43.1 NMSA 1978 now requires the field community services division of the corrections department to establish procedures for parole revocations.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to establishment of probation services, see 32-1-7 NMSA 1978.

For powers and duties of probation officers under Children's Code, see 32-1-8 NMSA 1978.

As to probation and parole revocation, see 32-1-43 and 32-1-43.1 NMSA 1978.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-43.1 NMSA 1978, referred to in the second sentence in the last paragraph of the committee commentary, was amended in 1992 and now refers to the children, youth and families department, not the field services division.

Where a special master lacks authority to hear a probation revocation petition, the court is without jurisdiction at the hearing on the petition. When the district judge disposes of the case more than 30 days after the petition is filed, the petition should be dismissed with prejudice. *State v. Doe*, 93 N.M. 621, 603 P.2d 731 (Ct. App. 1979).

Law reviews. - For survey, "Children's Court Practice in Delinquency and Need of Supervision Cases Under the New Rules," see 6 N.M.L. Rev. 331 (1976).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 9, 10, 31.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 78.

ARTICLE 3 ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROCEEDINGS

Rule

10-301. Ex parte custody orders.

A. **Issuance.** At the time a petition is filed or any time thereafter, the children's court or district court may issue an ex parte custody order upon a sworn written statement of facts showing probable cause exists to believe that the child is abused or neglected and that custody under the criteria set forth in Rule 10-303 of these rules is necessary.

B. **Service.** The order shall be served on the respondent by a person authorized to serve arrest warrants and shall direct the officer to take custody of the child and deliver him to a place designated by the court.

C. **Evidence.** The Rules of Evidence do not apply to the issuance of an ex parte custody order.

D. **Referees.** The provisions of this rule may be carried out by a referee appointed by the court.

Rule 10-301 was revised in 1978 to allow court-appointed referees to issue ex parte custody orders when the children's court or district court judge is unavailable.

The rule establishes a procedure similar to an arrest warrant to cover situations in which a child alleged to be abused or neglected may be taken into custody. Section 32-1-22A(4) NMSA 1978 authorizes immediate seizure of an alleged abused or neglected child by a law enforcement officer in certain emergency situations. Section 32-1-26 NMSA 1978 provides for detentions prior to the filing of a petition. In any other circumstances, an ex parte custody order is required to take the child into custody or to continue custody. See also Section 32-1-18 NMSA 1978 for ex parte custody orders. Rule 10-303 requires a custody hearing to be held within two days after the petition has been filed.

Under Paragraph A of Rule 10-301, the sworn statement of probable cause may be made by anyone who could make such a statement for issuance of an arrest warrant under Paragraph C of Rule 5-208 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts.

The showing of probable cause must go to two issues: (1) that the child is abused or neglected and (2) that the child needs to be placed in the custody of the human services department for his protection. The specific criteria for custody are contained in Rule 10-303.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-301 authorizes service of the order by those persons delineated in Paragraph A of Rule 5-210 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts. The order is to be served on the respondent, i.e., parent, guardian or custodian allegedly neglecting or abusing the child.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-301 makes the Rules of Evidence inapplicable to the issuance of an ex parte custody order because of the order's similarity to the arrest warrant procedure. See Rule 11-1101 of the Rules of Evidence.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For definition of "neglected child," see 32-1-3 NMSA 1978.

As to taking of child into custody, see 32-1-22 NMSA 1978.

As to whom arrest warrants may be directed, see Rule 5-210.

Law reviews. - For note, "Children's Code - Neglect - State ex rel. Health & Social Services Department v. Natural Father," see 12 N.M.L. Rev. 505 (1982).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 42 Am. Jur. 2d Infants §§ 16, 17; 47 Am. Jur. 2d Juvenile Courts §§ 24, 25.

Sexual abuse of child by parent as ground for termination of parent's right to child, 58 A.L.R.3d 1074.

43 C.J.S. Infants § 5 et seq.; 67A C.J.S. Parent and Child §§ 31 to 46.

10-302. Notice of custody.

A. Time for filing and contents. Within twenty-four (24) hours, including Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays, from the time the child is taken into custody, the person taking the child into physical custody shall give notice to the parents, guardian or custodian of the child that:

- (1) the child has been taken into custody;
- (2) if no petition alleging abuse or neglect is filed, the child will be released;
- (3) if a petition alleging abuse or neglect is filed, a hearing will be held no later than ten (10) days after the date the petition is filed to determine whether the child should remain in the custody of the department pending adjudication; and
- (4) if they are the respondents, they have a right to an attorney, and if they cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed to represent them free of charge.

B. Indians. If the alleged abused or neglected child taken into custody is an Indian, the Human Services Department shall give such additional notice as may be required by law.

Rule 10-302 was amended in 1978 to delete the requirement that the parents, guardian or custodian of the child be advised that communications they make to a social services worker during the preliminary inquiry are privileged. The privilege still exists under Rule of Evidence 11-509. A similar requirement was deleted in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings. See the commentary to Rule 10-202 for a discussion of the rationale for deleting this requirement.

Rule 10-302 sets forth the notice requirements if a child is taken into custody as an alleged abused or neglected child. The notice may be oral or written and goes to the parents, guardian or custodian of the alleged abused or neglected child.

Although the requirement is that the notice be given within twenty-four hours of the time the child was taken into custody, the committee does not intend that the person required to give the notice cease his efforts to locate or contact the parents, guardian or custodian if this cannot be done within the initial twenty-four hours. Accordingly, a written notice of custody form has been approved by the supreme court.

See Section 32-1-22 NMSA 1978 for the requirement of notice to the agent of the appropriate Indian tribe by the Human Services Department in cases where the child is an Indian.

See also Section 32-1-23 NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to notice of custody, see 32-1-23D NMSA 1978.

Right to attorney denied only where waived intelligently and knowingly. - The waiver of a right created by the constitution, a statute or a court-promulgated rule, such as the right to an attorney, must be done intelligently and knowingly if the right is to be denied the one claiming it. *State ex rel. Department of Human Servs. v. Perlman*, 96 N.M. 779, 635 P.2d 588 (Ct. App. 1981).

Law reviews. - For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 43 C.J.S. Infants § 20.

10-303. Custody hearing.

A. **Time limits.** If the child alleged to be abused or neglected is in the custody of the department or the department has petitioned the court for temporary custody, a custody hearing shall be held within ten (10) days from the date the petition is filed to determine if the child should remain or be placed in the custody of the department pending adjudication. Upon written request of the respondent, the hearing may be held sooner, but in no event shall the hearing be held less than two (2) days after the date the petition was filed.

B. **Notice.** The parents, guardian or custodian of the child alleged to be abused or neglected shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place of the hearing.

C. **Conduct.** At the hearing, the court shall release the child to his parents, guardian or custodian unless probable cause exists to believe that:

(1) the child is suffering from an illness or injury, and no parent, guardian, custodian or other person is providing adequate care for him;

(2) the child is in immediate danger from his surroundings, and removal from those surroundings is necessary for his safety or well-being;

(3) the child will be subject to injury by others if not placed in the custody of the department;

(4) the child has been abandoned by his parent, guardian or custodian; or

(5) no parent, guardian, custodian or other person is able or willing to provide adequate supervision and care for the child.

D. Conclusion. At the conclusion of the hearing, if the court determines that custody pending adjudication is appropriate, the court may:

(1) award custody of the child to the department with or without rights of visitation for the parents, guardian or custodian of the child; or

(2) return the child to his parents, guardian or custodian upon such conditions as will reasonably assure the safety and well-being of the child; and

(3) in either event, order the respondent or child alleged to be neglected or abused, or both, to undergo appropriate diagnostic examinations or evaluations. Copies of any diagnostic or evaluation reports ordered by the court shall be provided to the parties at least five (5) days before the adjudicatory hearing is scheduled; the reports shall not be sent to the court.

E. Referees. The provisions of this rule may be carried out by a referee appointed by the court.

F. Evidence. The Rules of Evidence shall not apply to custody hearings conducted under this rule.

Rule 10-303 was revised in 1978. Subparagraph (3) of Paragraph D was amended to require that copies of any court-ordered diagnostic or evaluation reports be provided to the parties at least five days before the adjudicatory hearing. In the case of the alleged abused or neglected child, the report would go to his guardian ad litem. The rule prohibits the reports from going to the court in accord with Canon 21-300 which provides:

A judge should accord to every person who is legally interested in a proceeding, or his lawyer, full right to be heard according to law, and, except as authorized by law, neither initiate nor consider ex parte or other communications concerning a pending or impending proceeding.

The examinations or reports can be introduced into evidence by any party at the adjudicatory hearing if the proper foundation is laid.

The custody hearing required by Rule 10-303 is the equivalent of the detention hearing in delinquency and need of supervision cases. It also covers those situations in which the human services department does not have custody, but desires to take custody of the child pending the adjudicatory hearing. See Rule 10-102 for the definition of "in the custody of the department."

Paragraph A of Rule 10-303 requires that the hearing be held within ten days from the date the petition is filed, and it may be held sooner upon written request of the respondent. If the child is in custody, the hearing must be held within two days after the petition is filed. See Section 32-1-28.1 NMSA 1978.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-303 requires that reasonable notice be given the parents, guardian or custodian of the child. The timeliness of the notice must be interpreted in view of the fact that a longer time limit for the custody hearing is allowed than for a detention hearing in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding. The notice need be given only to one parent.

Paragraph C of Rule 10-303 provides for the continued preadjudicatory custody of a child if there is probable cause to believe the child is an abused or neglected child. See Subsections L and M of Section 32-1-3 NMSA 1978 for the definitions of "neglected" and "abused" children. See also Section 32-1-22A(4) NMSA 1978 (grounds for taking into custody) and Section 32-1-24 NMSA (criteria for detention). The original committee believed that the criteria for detention in Section 32-1-24 NMSA 1978 were almost exclusively aimed at detention of alleged delinquents and children in need of supervision and did not cover the possible grounds for custody in a neglect or abuse case.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-303 provides guidelines for the court to use if the child is placed in the custody of the department pending the adjudicatory hearing. Section 32-1-26 NMSA 1978 appears to deal only with detention or conditional release of an alleged delinquent or child in need of supervision and has been superseded by Rule 10-211. Subparagraph (3) of Paragraph D is similar to Section 32-1-32C NMSA 1978 which allows the court, after hearing, to order examination by a physician, psychiatrist or psychologist of a parent, guardian or custodian who gives his consent and whose ability to care for or supervise a child is an issue before the court. Section 32-1-32C NMSA 1978 applies only after a determination as to the validity of the allegations of the petition has been made. The original committee believed that such reports are needed for the adjudicatory hearing and that they could be ordered regardless of the consent of the respondent since a neglect proceeding is essentially civil in nature. (See Rule 1-035 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts.) The original committee also believed that such reports on the child were necessary in neglect cases.

Paragraph E of Rule 10-303 allows referees to conduct the custody hearing.

Paragraph F of Rule 10-303 makes the Rules of Evidence inapplicable to custody hearings under the general policy of Rule 11-1101 of the Rules of Evidence and Section 32-1-26 NMSA 1978. See commentary to Rule 10-115.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to criteria for detention of children, see 32-1-24 NMSA 1978.

Parties' stipulation to custody in department creates consent decree. - A stipulation entered into between the parties, following a hearing in which a physician testified that the child's condition was the result of neglect and in which the natural parents did not contest the neglect allegations and agreed to temporary custody in the department, was in effect a consent decree under Rule 10-307, and not a temporary custody order under this rule. State ex rel. Department of Human Servs. v. Doe, 103 N.M. 260, 705 P.2d 165 (Ct. App. 1985).

Law reviews. - For article, "Child Welfare Under the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978: A New Mexico Focus," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 413 (1980).

For note, "Children's Code - Neglect - State ex rel. Health & Social Services Department v. Natural Father," see 12 N.M.L. Rev. 505 (1982).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 43 C.J.S. Infants § 22.

10-304. Explanation of rights at first appearance.

At the first appearance of the respondent before the court in an abuse or neglect proceeding, the respondent shall be informed by the court of:

- A. the allegations of the petition;
- B. the right to a trial on the allegations in the petition;
- C. the right to an attorney and that if he cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed to represent him free of charge; and
- D. the possible consequences if the allegations of the petition are found to be true.

* * * * *

Rule 10-304 is a new provision added in 1978. Prior to the 1978 revisions, former Rule 15 governed the advisement of rights at the respondent's first appearance before the court in delinquency, need of supervision or neglect cases. However, former Rule 15 did not adequately advise a respondent in a neglect action. Thus a new Rule 10-210 was drafted for delinquency and need of supervision provisions and Rule 10-304 was added for neglect cases. Rule 10-304 was extended in 1982 to apply to abuse cases also.

Rule 10-304 omits the references found in Rule 10-210 to fifth amendment rights which normally are not available in civil actions and to a right to trial by jury, which is not available in abuse or neglect actions. Historically, noncriminal proceedings against parents based on their treatment of their children were equitable in nature and were based on the doctrine of *parens patriae*. See *In re Santillanes*, 47 N.M. 140, 138 P.2d 503 (1943). Modern abuse and neglect proceedings are typically based on statute. Absent statutory authorization for a right to a jury trial, it has been held that the parents have no such right. *In re Fred S., Terry S., Mary S.*, 322 N.Y.S.2d 170, 66 Misc. 2d 683 (1971); *In re John Children*, 306 N.Y.S.2d 797, 61 Misc. 2d 347 (1969).

ANNOTATIONS

Right to attorney denied only where waived intelligently and knowingly. - The waiver of a right created by the constitution, a statute or a court-promulgated rule, such as the right to an attorney, must be done intelligently and knowingly if the right is to be denied the one claiming it. *State ex rel. Department of Human Servs. v. Perlman*, 96 N.M. 779, 635 P.2d 588 (Ct. App. 1981).

Law reviews. - For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

For annual survey of New Mexico law relating to civil procedure, see 13 N.M.L. Rev. 251 (1983).

10-305. Filing of petition; appointment of guardian ad litem.

A. Procedure. Petitions alleging abuse or neglect shall be signed and filed in the same manner as petitions alleging delinquency or need of supervision.

B. Form and contents. Petitions alleging abuse or neglect shall be in a form approved by the supreme court. The petition shall set forth:

- (1) the facts necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of the court;
- (2) the name, birthdate and residence address of the child alleged to be abused or neglected;
- (3) the name and residence address of the respondent;
- (4) whether the child alleged to be abused or neglected is in the custody of the department and, if so, the date that he was placed in the custody of the department; and
- (5) if any of the matters required to be set forth by this rule are not known, a statement of those matters and the fact that they are not known.

C. Time limits. Petitions shall be filed:

(1) within ninety (90) days from the date that the complaint is referred to the department if the child is not in the custody of the department; or

(2) within two (2) days from the date that the child alleged to be abused or neglected is taken into custody.

If a petition is not filed within the time set forth in this paragraph, the child shall be released to his parents, guardian or custodian.

D. Appointment of guardian ad litem. The court shall appoint a guardian ad litem to represent the child alleged to be abused or neglected no later than the filing of the petition alleging abuse or neglect.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

* * * * *

Rule 10-305 sets the general procedure and time limits for filing of petitions alleging abuse or neglect. Several provisions were changed in the 1978 revision of the rules.

The general procedure for filing an abuse or neglect petition set forth in Paragraph A of Rule 10-305 remains the same. (See Rule 10-204 for the procedure used in filing petitions in delinquency and need of supervision proceedings.) An investigation to determine the best interests of the child is conducted by the human services department and is required by statute, Section 32-1-14 NMSA 1978, before any petition may be filed. Rule 10-113 of these rules makes the endorsement on each petition that the filing of the petition is in the best interest of the child unnecessary.

There is no substantive change in the form and contents of the petition.

The time limit provision was amended in 1982 to require that petitions in noncustody cases be filed within ninety days from the date the complaint is referred to the Human Services Department. The phrase, "in the custody of the department," is defined in Rule 10-102.

Previously the rule contained no time limit for filing a petition when the alleged abused or neglected child was not in custody. (See commentary to Rule 10-101 for a discussion of the rulemaking authority of the supreme court.) The Children's Code does not clearly define the time limit for filing an abuse or neglect petition in a noncustody case. See Section 32-1-14 NMSA 1978.

The time limit for filing of the petition when the child is in the custody of the department remains two days from the date the child is taken into custody. The provision that the time limit runs from the date the child is taken into custody "pursuant to court order" has been deleted so that any taking into custody, whether or not pursuant to court order,

starts the time limit running. An example of a taking into custody without a court order is an emergency seizure by a police officer. See Section 32-1-22A(4) NMSA 1978.

It should be noted that the rules do not use the terms "detention" or "placed in detention" in reference to abused or neglected children. The term "custody" is used instead and was adopted because it more accurately connotes the concept of the child as victim rather than alleged wrongdoer. See also the commentary to Rule 10-208.

Paragraph D of Rule 10-305 requires that the guardian ad litem for a child alleged to be neglected or abused be appointed no later than the filing of the abuse or neglect petition. This provision was not changed in 1978. See also Rule 10-108.

Rule 10-305 supersedes those portions of Sections 32-1-17, 32-1-18, 32-1-19 and 32-1-26 NMSA 1978 which set forth requirements for filing of petitions contrary to Rules 10-204 or 10-305. See commentary to Rule 10-204.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-305 supersedes Section 32-1-19 NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - For Children's Code provisions relating to petitions, see 32-1-17 to 32-1-19 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For article, "The New Mexico Children's Code: Some Remaining Problems," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 341 (1980).

For note, "Children's Code - Neglect - State ex rel. Health & Social Services Department v. Natural Father," see 12 N.M.L. Rev. 505 (1982).

10-306. Discovery.

A. **Court orders.** Upon motion, at any time after the filing of a petition alleging abuse or neglect, upon a showing that his testimony will be material and relevant to the allegations in the petition the children's court may order:

- (1) the taking of the deposition of any person; or
- (2) the disclosure of any information subject to disclosure pursuant to Rule 10-213 or 10-214 of these rules, insofar as they may be applicable.

B. **Rules of Civil Procedure govern.** The Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts shall govern discovery in abuse and neglect proceedings.

Prior to the 1978 revisions to the rules, discovery in children's court proceedings was governed by former Rule 11. An entire discovery scheme has been adopted for delinquency and need of supervision proceedings. (See Rules 10-213 to 10-220.) Rule 10-306 was added for abuse and neglect proceedings. Depositions and other discovery, as set forth in Rules 10-213 and 10-214, are available upon court order.

10-307. Admissions and consent decrees.

A. **Admissions.** The respondent may make an admission by:

(1) admitting sufficient facts to permit a finding that the allegations of the petition are true; or

(2) declaring his intention not to contest the allegations in the petition.

B. **Consent decrees.** A consent decree in an abuse or neglect proceeding is an order of the court, after an admission has been made, that suspends the proceedings on the petition and in which, under terms and conditions negotiated and agreed to by the respondent and the children's court attorney:

(1) the legal custody of the child is transferred to the department for a period not to exceed six (6) months from the date of the consent decree; and

(2) the child is allowed to remain with the respondent or other person and the respondent will be under supervision of the department for a period not to exceed six (6) months.

C. **Inquiry of respondent.** The court shall not accept an admission or approve a consent decree without first, by addressing the respondent personally in open court, determining that:

(1) he understands the allegations of the petition;

(2) he understands the dispositions that the court may make if the allegations of the petition are found to be true;

(3) he understands that he has a right to deny the allegations in the petition and to have a trial on the allegations;

(4) he understands that if he makes an admission or agrees to the entry of the consent decree, he is waiving the right to a trial; and

(5) the admission or provisions of the consent decree are voluntary and not the result of force or threats or of promises other than any consent decree agreement reached.

D. Basis for admission or consent decree. The court shall not enter judgment upon an admission or approve a consent decree without making such inquiry as shall satisfy the court that there is a factual basis for the admission or consent decree.

E. Disposition. After acceptance of an admission, unless made for the purpose of a consent decree, the court shall proceed to make any disposition permitted by law as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

F. Acceptance of consent decree. If the court accepts a consent decree, the court shall approve the disposition provided for in the consent decree or another disposition more favorable to the respondent than that provided for in the consent decree. If the court rejects the consent decree, the decree shall be null and void.

G. Inadmissibility of discussions. Evidence of an admission or agreement to a consent decree, later withdrawn, or of statements made in connection therewith, is not admissible in any proceeding against the respondent.

H. Time limits. If the child is in the custody of the department, the court shall accept or reject the admission or consent decree within five (5) days after the admission is made or within five (5) days after a consent decree has been submitted to the court for its approval.

I. Rules of Evidence. The Rules of Evidence do not apply to inquiries made to determine whether there is a factual basis for an admission or a consent decree.

J. Extension, termination. Consent decrees in abuse and neglect proceedings may be extended by the department and terminated in accordance with Rule 10-225.

K. Revocation. If, prior to the expiration of the consent decree, the respondent allegedly fails to fulfill the terms of the decree, the children's court attorney may file a petition to revoke the consent decree. If the respondent is found to have violated the terms of the consent decree, the court may:

(1) extend the period of the consent decree; or

(2) make any other disposition which would have been appropriate in the original proceedings.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

Rule 10-307 was expanded in 1978. The rule institutes consent decree and admissions procedures for abuse and neglect cases. The consent decree in an abuse or neglect case differs from that in a delinquency or need of supervision proceeding in that the parties may agree that the department have legal custody of the child for a period of up

to six months or the child may be placed under supervision in his own home or the home of another for the six-month period.

See generally Rules 10-224 and 10-225 and the commentaries thereto.

ANNOTATIONS

Parties' stipulation to custody in department creates consent decree. - A stipulation entered into between the parties, following a hearing in which a physician testified that the child's condition was the result of neglect and in which the natural parents did not contest the neglect allegations and agreed to temporary custody in the department, was in effect a consent decree under this rule, and not a temporary custody order under Rule 10-303. *State ex rel. Department of Human Servs. v. Doe*, 103 N.M. 260, 705 P.2d 165 (Ct. App. 1985).

Am. Jur. 2d, A.L.R. and C.J.S. references. - 43 C.J.S. Infants §§ 23, 24, 28 to 30.

10-308. Adjudicatory hearing; time limits.

A. Time for hearing. The adjudicatory hearing shall be commenced within ninety (90) days after whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date that the petition is served on the respondent;
- (2) if a mistrial is declared or a new trial is ordered by the trial court, the date that such order is filed; or
- (3) in the event of an appeal, the date that the mandate or order is filed in the district court disposing of the appeal.

B. Children's court attorney. The children's court attorney shall represent the state at the adjudicatory hearing.

C. Extension of time. The time for commencement of an adjudicatory hearing may be extended only by the supreme court, a justice thereof, or a judge designated by the supreme court, for good cause shown. The party seeking an extension of time shall file with the clerk of the supreme court a verified petition for extension concisely stating the facts that petitioner deems to constitute good cause for an extension of time to commence the adjudicatory hearing. The petition shall be filed within the applicable time limits prescribed by this rule, except that it may be filed within ten (10) days after the expiration of the applicable time limits if it is based on exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the state or children's court which justify the failure to file the petition within the applicable time limit. A party seeking an extension of time shall forthwith serve a copy thereof on opposing counsel. Within five (5) days after service of the motion, opposing counsel may file an objection to the extension setting forth the reasons for such objection. No hearing shall be held except upon order of the supreme

court. If the supreme court finds that there is good cause for the granting of an extension beyond the applicable time limit, it shall fix the time limit within which the adjudicatory hearing must be commenced.

D. Effect of noncompliance with time limits. If the adjudicatory hearing on any petition is not begun within the time specified in Paragraph A of this rule or within the period of any extension granted as provided in this rule, the petition shall be dismissed with prejudice.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

Rule 10-308 was revised in 1978 to expand the time limit for commencement of the adjudicatory hearing when the alleged abused or neglected child is in the custody of the Human Services Department and to expand the "events" which start the time limit running.

The time limit when the child is in the custody of the department was expanded from thirty to sixty days to allow sufficient time for preparation of evaluation and diagnostic reports, which are frequently essential evidence in the adjudicatory hearing in an abuse or neglect proceeding. See Rule 10-102 and commentary to Rule 10-305 for a discussion of the definition of the phrase, "in the custody of the department."

Whether or not the alleged abused or neglected child is in the custody of the department, the time limits for commencement of the adjudicatory hearing begin to run from the latest of the three "events" set forth in Paragraphs A and B of Rule 10-308. Before the 1978 revisions, the time limits were keyed to service of the petition on the respondent. In 1978, the "event" approach was adopted for commencement of the time limits in neglect, delinquency and need of supervision proceedings. See Rule 10-226 and the commentary thereto.

Like the time limits in Rule 10-226, the time limits in Rule 10-308 are jurisdictional.

Pursuant to Section 32-1-27J NMSA 1978, the respondent in an abuse or neglect proceeding has a right to appointment of an attorney if indigent. Section 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 provides that adjudicatory hearings on abuse or neglect petitions shall be held separate from other proceedings. The confidentiality provisions of Section 32-1-31 NMSA 1978 are applicable to abuse or neglect cases. The standard of proof is clear and convincing evidence, Section 32-1-31F NMSA 1978. These statutory provisions are not superseded. There is no right to a jury trial in any proceeding other than a hearing or a petition alleging a delinquent act. See commentary to Rule 10-304.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to conduct of hearings on petitions, see 32-1-31 NMSA 1978.

Law reviews. - For article, "Child Welfare Under the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978: A New Mexico Focus," see 10 N.M.L. Rev. 413 (1980).

For note, "Children's Code - Neglect - State ex rel. Health & Social Services Department v. Natural Father," see 12 N.M.L. Rev. 505 (1982).

10-309. Dispositional hearings.

A. **Access to reports.** In dispositional hearings:

(1) copies of any social, diagnostic or other predisposition reports ordered by or submitted to the court shall be provided to the parties and guardian ad litem at least five (5) days before the hearing is scheduled; and

(2) counsel for the parties shall be permitted to subpoena and examine in court the person who prepared the report.

B. **Time.** If, at the conclusion of an adjudicatory hearing, the child is found to be abused or neglected, the court may proceed immediately to make disposition of the case.

C. **Findings.** Before the court may place the child in the custody of the department or in substitute care, the court must find that the department has made reasonable efforts to leave the child in its home or to return the child to its home if it is in temporary custody.

D. **Reports.** If, at the conclusion of the dispositional hearing, legal custody of the child is granted to the department, as frequently as the court deems appropriate, but in no case less than once each six (6) months, the department shall file a status report with the clerk of the court and mail a copy to the court, the attorneys and the guardian ad litem.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

* * * * *

See Rule 10-230 and commentary thereto.

Three changes were made in Rule 10-309 in 1978. First, the guardian ad litem was added to the list of those to receive predisposition reports. As the attorney for the child, who is a party to the action, the guardian ad litem must receive all pleadings, reports, etc. (See Rule 10-103.) The rule has not always been followed, and the 1978 committee wished to emphasize that the guardian ad litem is entitled to the reports.

The second change made in 1978 was the addition of the requirement that status reports be filed at least every six months, rather than once a year, if legal custody of the child is granted to the human services department. The last change made was the addition of the requirement that the status report be filed and copies sent to the court, the attorneys and the guardian ad litem. (See the commentary to Rule 10-108 for a

discussion of the duties of a guardian ad litem.) These changes were made to provide a better monitoring system of children in the custody of the department.

Section 32-1-32A NMSA 1978 requires that the court direct the preparation of a predisposition study and report.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to hearing of evidence on disposition of child, see 32-1-31 NMSA 1978.

As to predisposition studies, reports and examinations, see 32-1-32 NMSA 1978.

As to disposition of child, see 32-1-34 NMSA 1978.

Compiler's note. - Section 32-1-32A NMSA 1978, referred to in the last paragraph of the committee commentary, was amended in 1981 to give the court discretion as to the preparation of a predisposition study and report.

10-310. Judgment and appeals; proceedings.

A. **Entry of judgment.** The judge shall sign a written judgment and disposition in abuse and neglect proceedings. The judgment and disposition shall be filed. The clerk shall give notice of entry of the judgment and disposition.

B. **Appeals.** Appeals from judgments on petitions alleging abuse or neglect shall be governed by the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

[As amended, effective May 1, 1986; as amended, effective January 1, 1987.]

Rule 10-310 was formerly Rule 46. It was renumbered in 1978.

The appeal time in abuse and neglect cases runs from the date of disposition, rather than the conclusion of the adjudicatory hearing.

Paragraph B of Rule 10-310 clarifies Section 32-1-39 NMSA 1978 by providing that appeals in abuse and neglect cases shall be handled as civil appeals.

ANNOTATIONS

Cross-references. - As to disposition of child, see 32-1-34 NMSA 1978.

As to appeal from judgment of children's court, see 32-1-39 NMSA 1978.

For Rules of Appellate Procedure, see Rule 12-101 et seq.

Effective dates. - Pursuant to an order of the supreme court dated September 24, 1986, the above rule is effective on or after January 1, 1987.

Parent's custodial right ends upon notice of judgment. - A parent's legal right to the custody of his child does not end until the entry of, and the giving of, notice of a judgment in compliance with this rule. State v. Sanders, 96 N.M. 138, 628 P.2d 1134 (Ct. App. 1981).

Law reviews. - For annual survey of New Mexico law relating to criminal law, see 13 N.M.L. Rev. 323 (1983).

10-311. Periodic review of dispositional judgment.

A. **Review of disposition.** Within thirty (30) days after the filing of a motion for review of a dispositional order by the children's court attorney on behalf of the department, the court shall conduct a hearing to review an order adjudicating a child as an abused or neglected child.

B. **Notice.** Not less than twenty (20) days prior to the date set for hearing on the motion for review, the children's court attorney shall give notice to all parties.

C. **Order.** Based on its findings, the court may enter a dispositional order as set forth in the Children's Code.

D. **Rules of Evidence.** The Rules of Evidence shall not apply to hearings held pursuant to this rule.

Section 32-1-38.1 NMSA 1978 requires the children's court to review dispositional judgments every six months. Rule 10-311 was drafted to implement this 1981 enactment of the legislature. The rule requires the children's court attorney to initiate the proceedings through the filing of a motion in the original cause of action. If the Human Services Department fails to request a hearing within the six months' period, the dispositional order expires and if the child is not released from custody, a writ of habeas corpus would be appropriate.

Rule 10-102 defines "court" as including special masters.

The committee believes that a review of a dispositional judgment is a miscellaneous proceeding under Rule 11-1101 of the Rules of Evidence and therefore the Rules of Evidence do not apply. See also Section 32-1-38.1 NMSA 1978.

ANNOTATIONS

Children's Code. - See 32-1-1 NMSA 1978 and notes thereto.

ARTICLE 4 CHILDREN'S COURT - FORMS

Rule

10-401.

[Rules 10-104 and 10-105]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
.....

COUNTY OF

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

....., a
Child

No.
.....

AFFIDAVIT 1

.....
....., being duly sworn, on his oath, states that the
above-named

respondent(s)

(check appropriate box)

resides out of this state

has gone out of this state

has concealed himself within this state

has avoided service of process upon him in this matter

cannot be discovered, though due inquiry and search have
been made

is situated in such a manner that process cannot be served
upon him

.....
.....

Children's Court Attorney
Subscribed and sworn to before me
this day of

. . ., 19. . .

.....
.....

Officer Authorized to Administer Oaths

.....
.....

Title

1 This form also may be used in neglect actions by using the appropriate caption.

10-402.

[Rule 10-105]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human
Services Department, In the Matter of

., a Child, and Concerning
.,
Respondent(s).

No.

.....

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF ACTION

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED RESPONDENT(S):

You are hereby notified that an action has been filed against you in the said Court and County by the State of New Mexico in which the State of New Mexico has filed a petition alleging that you have (neglected) (abused), a child. You are further

(child's initials)

notified that this matter will be heard in the Children's Court Division of the District Court on the day of, 19. . . ., atm. in County, New Mexico. (time)

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the District Court of the State of New Mexico.

.....
.....
Clerk of the District Court
Children's Court Division
By
.....
Deputy

(COURT SEAL)

The name of the State's attorney is, whose post office address is
.....
., New Mexico.

10-403.

[Rule 10-105]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human Services Department, In the Matter of, a Child and Concerning, Respondent(s). No.
.

TO:

Respondent

Address

YOU ARE NOTIFIED that a Petition, a copy of which is attached hereto has been filed in this court alleging that you have (abused) (neglected), a child.

(Child's name)

YOU ARE ORDERED TO PERSONALLY APPEAR before the Children's Court Division of the District Court at such time and place as a future notice of adjudicatory hearing shall specify to answer the allegations contained in the attached petition.

Service of this summons shall be by:

personal service mail certified mail.

This day of
., 19.

Clerk, District Court
Children's Court Division

SHERIFF'S RETURN

I certify that I served a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Petition filed herein on the day of
., 19.

Sheriff

of

County

By

Deputy

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I mailed a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Petition filed herein to:

YOU ARE NOTIFIED that a Petition, a copy of which is attached hereto, has been filed in this court alleging that you are:

(check appropriate box)

a delinquent child

a child in need of supervision

YOU ARE ORDERED TO PERSONALLY APPEAR 2 before the Children's Court Division of the District Court at such time and place as a future notice of adjudicatory hearing shall specify to answer the allegations contained in the attached Petition.

If you fail to appear at such time and place, a warrant will be issued for your arrest.

Service of this summons shall be by: personal service
 mail.

This day of
. ., 19.

.....

Clerk, District Court
Children's Court Division

SHERIFF'S RETURN

I certify that I served a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Petition filed herein on this day of
., 19.

.....

of Sheriff County

By.

Deputy

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I mailed a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Petition filed herein to:

.
.
.

.. name address
.
.

.. on the day of . . . , 19.
.
.

.. Signature Titl
e

1 A copy of the Summons and Petition must be served on the Respondent and an informational copy must go to the parents, guardian or custodian of the Respondent.

2 Unless you are the Respondent you need not appear.

10-405.

[Rule 10-202]

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

TO:
.
.

.. Respondent Ad
dress

TO:
.
.

.. Parents, guardian or
custodian Address

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the above-named child is alleged to be a
[] delinquent child or [] a child in need of supervision.

The child is reported to have:

.....

(s

et forth time,

.....
.....

place and nature of acts resulting in the allegations)

A preliminary inquiry will be conducted to determine whether the best interests of the above-named child and the public require that a Petition, based upon the allegations set forth above, be filed in the District Court, Children's Court Division.

The initial conference of the Preliminary Inquiry will be held on the day of
., 19. . . . , at
.m. at
. , New Mexico.

(location)

The above-named child has a right to have an attorney present at any conference of the Preliminary Inquiry in which the child is a participant and if the child wants an attorney but cannot afford one, the public defender will represent him. If the child's parents, guardian or custodian can afford an attorney to represent the child and the public defender represents him, they will be assessed reasonable attorney's fees.

Notice sent this day of . . . , 19.
Probation Services,
.
. . . Judicial District.

By

.....

10-406.

[Rule 10-204]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

., a Child
No.
.

PETITION

The undersigned states that
, a child, is
(check one) a delinquent child or a child in need of
supervision, and is in need of care or rehabilitation.

The child's birthdate is:

.....

The child's address is:

.....
.....
.....

The facts giving rise to this Petition are:

.....
.....
.....

contrary to

.....
(citation to criminal statute or other law or ordinance allegedly violated) 1

The names and addresses of the child's parents, guardian or
custodian are:

.....
.....

Probation Services has completed a Preliminary Inquiry in this matter and has determined that the best interests of the child and the public require that this Petition be filed.

The child (is) (is not) in detention. He is being detained at
.....
....., New Mexico. The child has been in detention since
.....

atm., 19.
(time) (date)

.....
.....

Children's Court Attorney

1 For ordinances, 35-15-2 NMSA 1978 requires that the section or subsection defining the offense, the title of the ordinance and the date of passage be set forth.

ANNOTATIONS

Compiler's note. - Section 35-15-2 NMSA 1978, referred to in the footnote, does not require that the date of passage be set forth.

10-407.

[Rule 10-205]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO v. No.
.....

DOE (.....)

(Actual name of child)

NOTICE OF REQUIREMENT TO PAY ATTORNEY'S FEES
FOR LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF THE ABOVE-NAMED CHILD

TO:

.....
.....

Name of parents, custodian or guardian

.....
.....

Address

Please take notice that pursuant to New Mexico law if you can afford to pay, you may be required to pay for the costs of representing the above-named child. If you cannot afford to pay, you must complete the enclosed affidavit and return it to this office by the day of , 19

Office of Public Defender

By

.....
.....

Address

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on this date I mailed a copy of this notice to , at the address

Name

indicated.

Date of Mailing:

. , 19
.

By:

10-408.

[Rule 10-205]

CHILDREN'S COURT FORMS

[Children's Court]
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF

NO. _____

_____,
A CHILD.

ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES

CHILD'S NAME: _____ D.O.B.: _____

AGE: _____

AKA: _____ SEX: M F SS# _____

CHILD'S ADDRESS: _____

PHONE: _____

*P/G/C NAME: _____

*P/G/C ADDRESS: _____

CHARGES: _____

CHILD LIVES WITH: PARENTS _____ GUARDIAN _____ CUSTODIAN _____
FRIEND _____ OTHER _____

PARENTS' MARITAL STATUS: SINGLE _____ MARRIED _____ DIV _____ SEP
WIDOWED _____

NUMBER OF FAMILY IN HOUSEHOLD: _____

PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY :

_____ Parent(s)/guardian/custodian does not receive public assistance.

_____ Parent(s)/guardian/custodian receives the following type of public assistance in _____ County.

_____ AFDC \$ _____ Food Stamps \$ _____ Medicaid \$ _____

_____ SSI \$ _____

REFER TO THE BOOK FOR THE PROPER TABLE

*P/G/C means Parent(s)/guardian/custodian

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

This statement is made under oath, any false statement of a material fact to any question contained herein shall constitute perjury. I hereby state that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I hereby authorize the screening agency, district defender and court to obtain information from financial institutions, employers and the federal internal revenue service regarding the financial condition of the parent(s)/guardian/custodian of the child. I understand that I will be charged at least \$550.00 if the child is represented by the public defender and I am not indigent as determined by the public defender standard.

.
Date Signature of
child/parent(s)/guardian/custodian
Sworn/affirmed and signed before me this day.

.
Date Signature
Title

My Commission Expires
COLUMN "A" (net income) plus COLUMN "B"
(assets) SCREENING USE ONLY
minus COLUMN "C" (exceptional
expenses) AVAILABLE FUNDS
equals AVAILABLE FUNDS

= / /
INDIGENCY TABLE:

House-
hold Size (Child & Family
Only) 1 2 3 4

Available funds
(annually) \$7,475
\$10,025 \$12,575
\$15,125
Add \$2,250.00 for each additional family member
. The parent(s)/guardian/custodian (is) (are) indigent
. The parent(s)/guardian/custodian (is) (are) not
indigent
.
.
.

Signature of Screening Agent Title
Based on the above answers and information, I find that the
parent(s)/guardian/custodian (is) (are) (is not) (are not)
indigent according to the public defender standard.
.....
.....
Judge or authorized designee

GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY

Pursuant to Sections 31-15-7 and 32-1-56 NMSA 1978 and Rule 10-205 of the rules of procedure for the children's court the following criteria are established for determination of indigency and eligibility for public defender services in juvenile cases.

I. PRESUMPTION OF INDIGENCY

A parent(s)/guardian/custodian is presumed indigent if the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is a current recipient of a state or federally administered public assistance program for the indigent. Examples are AFDC, food stamps, medicaid, and supplemental security income (SSI). No further inquiry is necessary. Home equity, etc. is not to be taken into account if the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is a current recipient of one of the four programs described above. If the child is in the physical custody of the department of human services, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is presumed indigent and no further inquiry is necessary.

II. FINANCIAL RESOURCES

If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is not presumptively indigent, the screening agent shall examine the financial resources of the parent(s)/guardian/custodian with consideration

given to:

- A. Net Income
- B. Assets
- C. Exceptional Expenses

A. Net Income

The screening agent shall include total salary and wages for the child and all family members in the household minus deductions required by law (FICA, state and federal withholding). In order to calculate the salary of an individual, the screening agent shall use one of the two methods:

(1) if the individual is presently unemployed, the screening agent shall ask about employment during the twelve months preceding the interview date and calculate the amount of money earned during such twelve month period; or

(2) if the individual is presently employed, the screening agent shall project the current income for twelve months into the future. If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian states that he is unemployed and has nothing, the screening agent shall inquire as to how the parent(s)/guardian/custodian "gets by". If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian gets by on "odd jobs", the income from the odd jobs should be noted.

Also to be considered are funds from any other sources including, but not limited to, social security payments, union funds, veteran's benefits, workmen's compensation, unemployment benefits, other regular support from an absent family member, public or private employee pensions, or income from dividends, interests, rents, estates, trusts, or gifts. If the child lives alone but receives food or rent from a family member, the food or rent shall be considered as regular support from his family and shall be included as income.

If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is physically but not legally separated from his spouse, then he is still married to his spouse and the spouse's income is community property and must be included in the calculations.

B. Assets

The screening agent shall consider all household assets for all family members which are convertible into cash within a reasonable period of time. Assets include all cash on hand as well as in checking and savings accounts, stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, and tax refunds. All real estate shall

be considered in terms of the amounts which could be raised by a loan on the property. If the child is living alone and rent free in a home or on land owned by a family member, the equity in the home or on land should be attributed to the child.

C. Exceptional Expenses

The screening agent shall consider any unusual expenses of the parent(s)/guardian/custodian which would, in all probability, prohibit him from being able to secure private counsel. The following expenses are not exceptional expenses: rent, food, utilities, gas money, consumer loans and student loans. Exceptional expenses shall include, but not be limited to, costs for medical care, family support obligations and child care payments. In order to be included as an exceptional expense:

- (1) the cost of medical care cannot be covered by insurance;
- (2) family support expense obligations must be court ordered and actually paid on a regular basis; and
- (3) child care must be paid on a regular basis.

If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian says that he pays child support or child care when he can, the payments do not qualify as exceptional expenses.

The parent(s)/guardian/custodian must provide proof of current payments on all exceptional expenses.

III. INDIGENCY FORMULA

The screening agent shall calculate the amount of available funds by adding the total for net income for the household (Column A) together with the total for assets for the household (Column B) and subtracting the total for exceptional expenses (Column C). If the available funds (net income plus assets minus exceptional expenses) are at or below the amounts in the indigency table, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is indigent and is therefore eligible for free representation. If the available funds exceed the amounts in the indigency table, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is not indigent and is not eligible for free representation.

If the child does not know the income or assets for family members in his household, he is presumed not indigent and is not eligible for free representation unless he produces the necessary information within two (2) working days after the interview.

IV. APPEAL

If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is found by the screening agent or the court not to be indigent, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian may appeal the decision to the district defender in those districts with public defender offices. In those districts without public defender offices the parent(s)/guardian/custodian may appeal directly to the court.

If the parent(s)/guardian/custodian wishes to appeal the decision of the district defender, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian shall file a notice of appeal in the district court.

All appeals shall be filed within ten (10) days after the date of the decision.

V. REIMBURSEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 10-205 of the rules of procedure for the children's court, the public defender may be appointed even though the parent(s)/guardian/custodian is not indigent. In those cases, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian should be ordered to pay reimbursement to the public defender department for the costs of representation. In all reimbursement cases, the parent(s)/guardian/custodian must sign a contract and a promissory note to pay reimbursement to the State of New Mexico. The reimbursement shall cover legal fees, expert witness fees and private investigation. The legal fees shall be governed by the maximum fee stated on the farm-out fee schedule adopted by the public defender department. The maximum farm-out fee shall serve as a flat fee in reimbursement cases. The expert witness fees and private investigation fees shall be governed by the fees paid by the public defender department.

VI. NEW CHARGES

If a child has applied for public defender services within six (6) months prior to the filing of new charges or a probation violation, completion of a new eligibility determination form is not necessary. If a child has applied for public defender services more than six (6) months prior to the filing of new charges or a probation violation, completion of a new eligibility determination form is necessary. If less than six (6) months have elapsed but there has been a charge in circumstance for the child, completion of a new eligibility determination form is necessary.

[Adopted, effective October 15, 1986; as amended, effective August 1, 1989.]

ANNOTATIONS

The 1989 amendment, effective on and after August 1, 1989, rewrote this form to the extent that a detailed analysis would be impracticable.

10-408A.

[Section 32-1-56]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
.....)

(COUNTY OF

IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

v.

No.

.....
John Doe

ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

This matter having come before the court, the court finds:
(please check appropriate box or boxes)

[] The child is indigent and unable to obtain counsel.

[] The child is not indigent, desires counsel, but is unable to obtain counsel.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

[] public defender shall represent the child in the above-entitled case.

[], an attorney on contract with the public defender department, shall represent the child in the above-entitled case.

[] and, the (parents) (guardians) (custodians) of the child shall reimburse the State of New Mexico in an amount of not less than \$. for legal representation and related expenses.

.....
.....

Judge

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I mailed a copy of this order to the above-named child at (set forth address), to the child's (parents) (guardians) (custodians) at (set forth address) and to the public defender on the day of, 19.

.....
(Clerk) (Judge)
.....

.....
Date
[Adopted, effective August 1, 1989.]

ANNOTATIONS

Effective dates. - Pursuant to a court order dated May 16, 1989, this form is effective on and after August 1, 1989.

10-409.

[Rule 10-206]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of
., a
Child
No.....
.....

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT1

The undersigned, being duly sworn, on his oath states that he has reason to believe that on or about the day of, 19., in County, New Mexico, the above-named respondent, a child,
(check appropriate boxes)

committed the delinquent act of:

.....
.....

(state common name of delinquent act or acts)

contrary to the law of the State of New Mexico

contrary to ordinance

.....
*(specify the number of the section or subsection
defining*

.....
..... 2

*the offense, the title of the ordinance and the date of
passage)*

is a child in need of supervision by reason
of.....

(state acts or standard

.....
.....
of conduct giving rise to allegations of need of supervision)

The undersigned further states the following facts on oath to
establish probable cause to believe that the above-named
respondent is

delinquent in need of supervision:

.
(include facts

.....
.....
in support of the credibility of any hearsay relied upon)

.....

Affiant's Signature

.....
.....

Title (if any)

.....
.....

Affiant's Name

(please print or type)

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the above-named county of the State of New Mexico this day of . . . , 19.

.....
.....
Oaths
.....
.....
Title

1 Either this form or the form approved for arrest warrants in adult criminal proceedings may be used in delinquency cases in the Children's Court. However, only this form may be used in need of supervision cases in the Children's Court.

2 Section 35-15-2 NMSA 1978.

10-410.

[Rule 10-206]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of
....., a Child
No.
.....

ARREST WARRANT 1

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO ANY OFFICER
AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THIS WARRANT

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to arrest the above-named respondent, a child, and deliver said child without unnecessary delay to probation services or to a place of detention

authorized under the Children's Code. Said child is alleged to be:

(check one)

a delinquent child

a child in need of supervision.

Dated this day of
., 19.

.....

Judge, District Court
Children's Court Division

RETURN WHERE RESPONDENT IS FOUND

I arrested the above-named respondent on the
. . . day of, 19., and served a copy
of this Warrant on the day of,
19.

.....

Signature

.....

Title

1 Either this form or the form approved for arrest warrants in adult criminal proceedings may be used in delinquency cases in the Children's Court. However, only this form may be used in need of supervision cases in the Children's Court.

10-411.

[Rule 10-206]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

....., a Child
No.
.....

AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT 1

....., being duly sworn, on his oath, states that:

(check one)

he has reason to believe he is positive that:

(check one or both)

on the following described premises on the person of

.....
.....

(here name person and/or describe premises)

in the above-named county of this state there is now being concealed certain property, namely:

.....

(describe property as particularly as possible)

which: *(check appropriate boxes)*

has been obtained in a manner which constitutes a delinquent act

is designed or intended for use, or which has been used as a means of committing a delinquent act

would be material evidence in a delinquency proceeding and that the facts tending to establish the foregoing grounds for issuance of a Search Warrant are as follows:

.....
.....

(include facts in support of the credibility of any hearsay relied

.....
.....

upon; if necessary, continue on reverse side of this form)

.....

.....

Affiant's Name
(please print or type)

.....

.....

Affiant's Signature

.....

.....

Official Title (if any)

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the above-named county of
the State of New Mexico this day of ,
19

.....

.....

Officer Authorized to Administer

Oaths

.....

.....

Title

1 Either this form or the form approved for an affidavit for
search warrant in an adult criminal proceeding may be used in
the Children's Court.

10-412.

[Rule 10-206]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

. , a Child
No.

.....

SEARCH WARRANT 1

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO ANY OFFICER

AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THIS WARRANT

Proof by Affidavit for Search Warrant, which is attached to and hereby made a part of this Warrant, having been submitted to me by . . . , who

(check one)

has reason to believe

that there is now being concealed

is positive

(check one or both)

on the premises described in the Affidavit

on the person named in the Affidavit

the property described in the Affidavit, which

(check appropriate boxes)

has been obtained in a manner which constitutes a delinquent act

is designed or intended for use or which has been used as a means of committing a delinquent act

would be material evidence in a delinquency proceeding, and that the facts tending to establish the foregoing grounds for issuance of a Search Warrant are set forth in the Affidavit for Search Warrant, which is attached to and hereby made a part of this Search Warrant.

I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that the property described in the Affidavit is being concealed on the *(check one or both)* person premises described in the Affidavit and that grounds for the issuance of the Search Warrant exist.

I further find that there is probable cause to believe that the property described in the Affidavit may be moved or destroyed unless seized immediately.

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to search forthwith

(check one or both)

the person the place described in the Affidavit for the property described in the Affidavit, serving this Warrant and copy of the Affidavit, and making the search

between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.,

at any time of the day or night,²

and if the property be found there, to seize it, leaving a copy of this Warrant and a receipt for the property taken, and

prepare a written inventory of the property seized and return this Warrant, the return and inventory list to this Court within three (3) days after seizing the property described.

Dated this day of
., 19.

.....
.....

Judge, District Court
Children's Court Division

RETURN AND INVENTORY

I received the attached Search Warrant on, 19. . . ., and executed it on, 19. . . ., atm. I searched the [] person [] the premises described in the Warrant and I left a copy of the Warrant with

.....
.....

(name the person searched or owner at the place of search)
together with a receipt for the items seized.

The following is an inventory of property taken pursuant to the Warrant:

.....
.....

(attach separate inventory if necessary)

This inventory was made in the presence of

.....
(Signature)

and

.....
(Signature)

This inventory is a true and detailed account of all the property taken by me on the Warrant.

.....
.....

Officer

Return made this day

of..... , 19. . . ., at
.m.

.....
.....
Judge, District Court
Children's Court Division

After careful search, I could not find at the place, or on
the person described, the property described in the Warrant.

.....
.....
Officer
.....

.....
Date

1 Either this form or the form approved for search warrants in
adult criminal proceedings may be used in the Children's Court.
2 Paragraph B of Rule 5-211 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure
for the District Courts provides that if the sworn written
statement is positive that the property is on the person or in
the place to be searched and states probable cause to believe
that the property may be moved or destroyed unless seized
immediately, the Warrant may direct that it be served at any
time.

ANNOTATIONS

Compiler's note. - Paragraph B of Rule 5-211, R. Crim. P. (Dist. Cts.) referred to in the
second footnote, was amended in 1980 and now provides that the issuing judge, for
reasonable cause shown, may authorize the search warrant's execution at any time.

10-413.

[Rule 10-208]

In the Matter of
., a Child

NOTICE OF DETENTION 1

To: . . .,

relationship

....,

relationship

The above-named child was placed in detention on the day of, 19. . . ., at,m. as an alleged [] delinquent child, [] child in need of supervision or [] child who has violated the terms or conditions of probation.

The child is in detention at

.....

(place of detention and address)

., New Mexico. The visiting hours are from to, and from to on weekends and legal holidays.

If a petition alleging that the above-named child is delinquent, in need of supervision or has violated the terms or conditions of probation has been filed or is filed in the District Court, Children's Court Division, of this judicial district, a hearing will be held to determine whether continued detention of the above-named child is necessary. If no petition alleging delinquency, need of supervision or violation of probation is filed, the above-named child will be released.

The child has a right to an attorney and if you cannot afford one, the public defender will represent the child. If you can afford an attorney to represent the child, and the public defender represents the child, you will be assessed reasonable attorney's fees.

Notice sent this day of, 19

Probation Services,

.....

Judicial District.

By:

.....

1 This notice is directed to the child's parents, guardian or custodian and should be used only if notice cannot be given orally.

10-414.

[Rule 10-212]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

., a Child

No.

.

DEMAND FOR RELEASE HEARING

.
. by his
attorney states that he was denied release from detention after
hearing on the day of, 19. . .
. . ., and hereby demands a release hearing pursuant to Children's
Court Rule 10-212.

.....

.....

Signature

10-415.

[Rule 10-225]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

., a Child

No.

.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF CONSENT DECREE

The undersigned, pursuant to Children's Court Rule 10-225, moves the Court for a month extension of the Consent Decree entered in this matter on the day of, 19. . . ., and in support thereof states that:

.....
.....

(facts supporting motion)

.....

.....

Children's Court Attorney

10-416.

[Rule 10-230]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

., a Child

No.

.

JUDGMENT AND DISPOSITION

On this day of, 19. . . ., the Respondent, a Child, appeared in person and with, his attorney, and appeared on behalf of the State of New Mexico as Children's Court attorney.

(DENIAL OF ALLEGATIONS OF THE PETITION)

1. The Respondent having denied the allegations of the petition

(check one)

a jury was impaneled and the jury finding:

a jury was waived and the court finding:

the Respondent not being entitled to trial by jury and the court finding:

(check one)

(a) the Respondent committed a delinquent act in that he

.....

.....
.....

(state delinquent acts)

(b) the Respondent committed an offense defined as in need of supervision in that he

.....
.....

.....
.....

(state need of supervision offenses)

(c) the Respondent did not commit a delinquent act.

(d) the Respondent did not commit an offense defined as in need of supervision.

THE Court further finding that (check one)

(a) the Respondent is in need of care or rehabilitation.

(b) the Respondent is not in need of care or rehabilitation.

(ADMISSION OF THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE PETITION)

2. The Respondent having admitted the allegations of the petition, the court so finds that the Respondent (check one)

committed a delinquent act in that he.....

(state delinquent acts)

committed an offense defined as in need of supervision in

that he

.....
.....

(state need of supervision offenses)

and (check one)

is in need of care or rehabilitation.

is not in need of care or rehabilitation.

JUDGMENT OF COURT

(Check one)

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Respondent is a delinquent child.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Respondent is a child in need of supervision.

IT IS ORDERED that the Respondent not be adjudged a delinquent child and be released from all detention.

IT IS ORDERED that the Respondent not be adjudged a child in need of supervision and be released from all detention.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Respondent is hereby

.....

(state disposition)

.....

.....

Children's Court Judge

10-417.

[Rules 10-230 and 10-310]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of

., a Child 1

No.

.

NOTICE OF
ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND DISPOSITION

NOTICE is hereby given that a Judgment and Disposition was entered in the above matter on the day of, 19.

.....

Clerk of the Children's Court

This is to certify that this notice was mailed to.....

.....

on the day of, 19.

.....

Clerk of the Children's Court

1 For neglect actions, the caption should be the same as that used on the neglect petition form.

10-418.

[Rules 10-232 and 10-307]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of
., a
Child No.

PETITION TO REVOKE

(PROBATION) (CONSENT DECREE)

The undersigned states that the above-named child has violated the terms of (probation) (the consent decree) entered herein on the day of , 19 , and is in need of care or rehabilitation.

The child's birthdate is:

.....

The child's address is:

.....

The facts giving rise to this Petition are:

.....

.....

(include herein the terms of probation or consent decree alleged to have been violated and the factual basis for

.....

such allegations)

The names and addresses of the child's parents, guardian or custodian are:

.....

The best interests of the child and the public require that this Petition be filed.

The child (is) (is not) in detention. He is being detained at

.....
....., New Mexico.

The child has been in detention since . . . ,
(date)

.m. 19
(time)

.....
.....
Children's Court Attorney

10-419.

[Rule 10-301]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human
Services Department, In the Matter of
., a Child and Concerning
., Respondent(s) No.

.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

) ss.

COUNTY OF)

AFFIDAVIT FOR EX PARTE CUSTODY ORDER

The undersigned, being duly sworn, on his oath states that he has reason to believe that the above-named child is (abused) (neglected) and that it is necessary for the protection of the child that he be placed in the custody of the Human Services Department.

The undersigned further states the following facts on oath to establish probable cause in support of this affidavit:

.....
.....

(include facts in support of the credibility of

.....
.....

any hearsay relied upon)

.....
.....
Affiant's Name
(please print or type)
.....

.....
Affiant's Signature
.....

.....
Title (if any)
.....

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the above-named county
of the State of New Mexico this day of
. ., 19.

.....
Authorized To
minister Oaths
.....
Officer
Ad
.....
Title

10-420.

[Rule 10-301]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.....

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human
Services Department, In the Matter of
., a Child and Concerning
., Respondent(s). No.
.....

EX PARTE CUSTODY ORDER

THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO ANY OFFICER
AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THIS ORDER

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to take . . . , a child
(Name)
(children), born , , 19 ,
without unnecessary delay and deliver him into the custody of
the Human Services
Department. You are further commanded to serve a copy of this
Order on

(Respondent)

Said child is alleged to be neglected and it is necessary for
the protection of said child that he be placed in the custody of
the Human Services Department.

Dated this day of
. , 19.

.....
.....

District Judge

RETURN WHERE CHILD IS FOUND

I took the above-named child into custody and delivered him
into the custody of the Human Services Department on the
. . . day of
. , 19. , and served a copy of this Ex Parte Custody
Order on

Respondent

on the day of , 19.

.....
.....

Signature

.....
.....

Title

10-421.

[Rule 10-305]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF

.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human Services Department, In the Matter of

., a Child and Concerning

.,

Respondent(s). No.

ABUSE OR NEGLECT PETITION

The undersigned states that
. . . is alleged to have (abused) (neglected)
., a child.

The child's birthdate is:

.....
(month) (day) (year)

The child's address is:

.....

The facts giving rise to this Petition are:

.....
.....
.....

. contrary to

.....
(citation to statute allegedly violated)

The name and address of the respondent is:

.,
.
Name Relationship Address

The child (is) (is not) in the custody of the Human Services Department. The child has been in custody since

.m., 19. . . .
(date) (time)

.....
..... Children's
Court Attorney
STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF
.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

In the Matter of
., a Child 1
No.
.

NOTICE OF HEARING 2

TO:
.....
.....
.....

A hearing to determine whether .
.

.....
.....
will be held before the Hon.
., Judge of the
District Court, Children's Court Division, atm.
on the day of, 19. . . . , in the
Children's Court Division
of the District Court,
.....
County, New Mexico.

.....
..... Clerk,
District Court Children's
Court Division

1 In neglect actions, the caption should be the same as that used on the neglect form.

2 For all hearings for which notice is required, unless a specific form is provided.

10-422.

[RULE 10-310]

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
.....

COUNTY OF

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CHILDREN'S COURT DIVISION

State of New Mexico ex rel. Human
Services Department, In the Matter of
., a Child and Concerning
.
., Respondent(s)
No. . . .
.

JUDGMENT AND DISPOSITION

This matter came on for hearing on this day
of, 19. . . .,, a child being
represented by, guardian ad litem
. . . ., appearing on behalf of the State of New Mexico as
Children's Court attorney, and
(check one)

the Respondent having appeared in person and with
. . . ., his attorney;

the Respondent not having appeared, but having been duly
served on, 19. . . ., and no answer, motion or other
pleading having been filed hereon on his behalf except
..

(DENIAL OF ALLEGATIONS OF THE PETITION)

[] The respondent having denied the allegations of the petition, the court finds that:

(check one)

(a) [], a child, is (an abused) (a neglected) child by reason of the acts of the Respondent in that he

.....

(state acts of abuse or neglect)

and the Department has made reasonable efforts to leave the child in its home or to return the child to its home if in temporary custody.

(b) [], a child, is not (an abused) (a neglected) child.

(ADMISSION OF THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE PETITION

OR FAILURE TO APPEAR)

(check one)

(a) [] The Respondent having admitted the allegations of the petition, the court so finds that

., a child, is (an abused) (a neglected) child by reason of the acts of the Respondent in that he

.....

(state acts of abuse or neglect)

and the Department has made reasonable efforts to leave the child in its home or to return the child to its home if in temporary custody.

(b) [] The Respondent not appearing and the court having heard the evidence adduced, the court finds that

., a child, is (an abused) (a neglected) child by reason of the acts of the Respondent in that he

.....

and the

(state acts of abuse or neglect)

Department has made reasonable efforts to leave the child in its home or to return the child to its home if in temporary custody.

JUDGMENT OF COURT

IT IS ADJUDGED that, a child, is (an abused) (a neglected) child.

IT IS ADJUDGED that, a child, is not (an abused) (a neglected) child and should be released from all custody.

IT IS ADJUDGED that said child is hereby
.....
(state disposition)

IT IS ORDERED that pay \$
as reasonable

name of parent or guardian
costs of (support) (maintenance) (treatment) (defense) of
.....

name of child
[As amended, effective May 1, 1986.]

Delinquency/Need of Supervision Proceedings

REFER TO THE BOOK FOR THE PROPER FORM

Delinquency/Need of Supervision Proceedings

Child in Detention

REFER TO THE BOOK FOR THE PROPER FORM

Abuse or Neglect

REFER TO THE BOOK FOR THE PROPER FORM

Table of Corresponding Rules

The first table below reflects the disposition of the former Rules of Procedure for the Children's Court. The left-hand column contains the former rule number, and the right-hand column contains the corresponding present Children's Court Rule.

The second table below reflects the antecedent provisions in the former Rules of Procedure for the Children's Court (right-hand column) of the present Children's Court Rules (left-hand column).

Former Rule	SCRA 1986
1	10-101
2	10-101
3	10-102
4	10-103
5	10-104
6	10-105
7	10-106
8	10-107
9	10-108
10	10-109
11	10-111
12	10-112
13	10-113
14	10-114
15	10-115
16	10-116
17	10-117
18	10-118
19	10-201
20	10-202

21	10-203
22	10-204
22.1	10-205
23	10-206
24	10-207
25	10-208
26	10-209
27	10-210
28	10-211
29	10-212
30	10-213
31	10-214
32	Withdrawn
33	10-215
34	10-216
34.1	10-217
35, 36	Withdrawn
37	10-218
38	10-219
39	10-220
40	10-221
41	10-220, 10-221
42	Withdrawn
43	10-222
43.1	10-223
44	10-224
45	10-225
46	10-226
47	10-227
48	10-228
49	10-229
50	10-230
50.1	10-231
51	10-232
52	10-301
53	10-302
54	10-303
55	10-304
56	Withdrawn
57	10-305
58	10-306
59	10-307
60	10-308
61	10-309
62	10-310
63	10-311

----- SCRA 1986	Former Rule -----
10-101	1, 2
10-102	3
10-103	4
10-104	5
10-105	6
10-106	7
10-107	8
10-108	9
10-109	10
10-110	64
10-111	11
10-112	12
10-113	13
10-114	14
10-115	15
10-116	16
10-117	17
10-118	18
10-201	19
10-202	20
10-203	21
10-204	22
10-205	22.1
10-206	23
10-207	24
10-208	25
10-209	26
10-210	27
10-211	28
10-212	29
10-213	30
10-214	31
10-215	33
10-216	34
10-217	34.1
10-218	37
10-219	38
10-220	39, 41
10-221	40, 41
10-222	43
10-223	43.1

10-224	44
10-225	45
10-226	46
10-227	47
10-228	48
10-229	49
10-230	50
10-231	50.1
10-232	51
10-301	52
10-302	53
10-303	54
10-304	55
10-305	57
10-306	58
10-307	59
10-308	60
10-309	61
10-310	62
10-311	63