

**WEBSTER V. SERNA**

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

**MARGETTE WEBSTER and  
DAVID WEBSTER,**  
Plaintiffs-Appellees,  
**vs.**  
**EMMA SERNA, d/b/a SERNA &  
ASSOCIATES CONSTRUCTION  
CO., LLC., a/k/a SERNA &  
ASSOCIATES, LLC,**  
Defendant-Appellant.

NO. 32,885

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

September 23, 2013

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY, Denise Barela  
Shepherd, District Judge

**COUNSEL**

Alex Chisholm, Albuquerque, NM, for Appellees

Emma Serna, Albuquerque, Pro se Appellant

**JUDGES**

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge. WE CONCUR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge,  
JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge

**AUTHOR:** TIMOTHY L. GARCIA

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

**GARCIA, Judge.**

{1} Defendant seeks to appeal from an order awarding summary judgment to a former co-defendant, and a subsequent order denying a motion for reconsideration. We issued a notice of proposed summary disposition, proposing to dismiss. Defendant filed a memorandum in opposition. After due consideration, we dismiss the appeal.

{2} As described at greater length in the notice of proposed summary disposition, notice of appeal was not timely filed with respect to the former order, and with respect to the latter order, the proceedings are not final. Nothing in Defendant's responsive memorandum takes issue with our analysis relative to timeliness and finality. We therefore adhere to our prior assessment of these matters.

{3} In closing, we acknowledge Defendant's continuing belief that the district court has erred. [MIO I-III] However, this does not alter or diminish the jurisdictional limitations implicated in this case.

{}

{} Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and in the notice of proposed summary disposition, we remain unpersuaded that this matter is properly before us. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**{4} IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge**

**WE CONCUR:**

**MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge**

**JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge**