STATE V. STEVENS

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO.

Plaintiff-Appellee,

VS.

DAVID STEVENS,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 31,764

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

May 29, 2012

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY, Robert Schwartz, District Judge

COUNSEL

Gary K. King, Attorney General, Margaret McLean, Assistant Attorney General, Santa Fe, NM, for Appellee

Jacqueline L. Cooper, Chief Public Defender, Sergio Viscoli, Assistant Appellate Defender, Santa Fe, NM

JUDGES

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge. WE CONCUR: CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge, MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

AUTHOR: TIMOTHY L. GARCIA

MEMORANDUM OPINION

GARCIA, Judge.

Defendant appeals from his conviction for voyeurism. This Court proposed to reverse Defendant's conviction based on the jury being improperly instructed. We further

proposed to remand for a new trial. The State has conceded that the jury was improperly instructed and agrees with this Court's second notice of proposed disposition.

To the extent the State has requested an extension of time for filing a response to this Court's second notice of proposed disposition, the State's request is unnecessary. The document filed with this Court on April 30, 2012, serves as a response to this Court's second notice of proposed disposition. Moreover, the State's agreement with this Court's proposed disposition makes any further response unnecessary.

Accordingly, the Court reverses and remands Defendant's conviction for voyeurism.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge

WE CONCUR:

CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge