QUINTANA V. TAPIA

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

DIEGO QUINTANA Plaintiff-Appellee, v. ABRAN TAPIA, Defendant-Appellant.

No. 34,970

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

July 28, 2016

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF SAN MIGUEL COUNTY, Matthew J. Sandoval, District Judge

COUNSEL

Sommer Karnes & Associates, LLP, Karl H. Sommer, James R. Hawley, Santa Fe, NM, for Appellee

Abran Tapia, Upland, CA, Pro Se Appellant

JUDGES

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Chief Judge. WE CONCUR: LINDA M. VANZI, Judge, TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge

AUTHOR: MICHAEL E. VIGIL

MEMORANDUM OPINION

VIGIL, Chief Judge.

Summary affirmance was proposed for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed summary disposition. No memorandum opposing summary affirmance has been filed and the time for doing so has expired. **AFFIRMED.**

{2} IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Chief Judge

WE CONCUR:

LINDA M. VANZI, Judge

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge