IN RE ESTATE OF CHARLES CROSSLEY

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

IN RE THE ESTATE OF CHARLES M. CROSSLEY.

Respondent-Appellee, and

GLEN BAUMGARTNER,

Claimant-Appellant.

NO. 33,015

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

February 13, 2014

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF QUAY, Albert Mitchell, District Judge

COUNSEL

Donald C. Schutte, Tucumcari, NM, for Appellee

Glen Baumgartner, Las Vegas, NM, Pro Se Appellant

JUDGES

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge. WE CONCUR: CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge

AUTHOR: MICHAEL E. VIGIL

MEMORANDUM OPINION

VIGIL, Judge.

Summary affirmance was proposed for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed summary disposition. No memorandum opposing summary affirmance has been filed and the time for doing so has expired.

- {2} AFFIRMED.
- {3} IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

WE CONCUR:

CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge