

D. PADILLA V. B. TOWNSLEY

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

DON PADILLA and GERI PADILLA,
Plaintiffs-Appellees,
v.
BRENT P. TOWNSLEY and JANELL M. TOWNSLEY,
husband and wife, and **SPECIALTY BUILDER, INC.,**
Defendants-Appellants.

No. 29,223

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

June 16, 2009

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY, Nan G. Nash,
District Judge

COUNSEL

Robert D. Gorman, Albuquerque, NM, for Appellees

Madison, Harbour & Mroz, P.A., Gregory D. Steinman, Rachel A. Bayless, Albuquerque,
NM, for Appellants

JUDGES

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge. WE CONCUR: MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge,
TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge

AUTHOR: MICHAEL E. VIGIL

MEMORANDUM OPINION

VIGIL, Judge.

Defendants appealed from an order denying their motions in limine, and from the district court's findings of fact and conclusions of law. This Court issued a calendar notice

proposing to dismiss the case because it was not an appeal from a final order. In response to our calendar notice, Defendants have given notice to this Court that they do not oppose summary dismissal in this case. Therefore, for the reasons discussed in our calendar notice, we dismiss the appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

WE CONCUR:

MICHAEL D. BUSTAMANTE, Judge

TIMOTHY L. GARCIA, Judge