

CONTRERAS V. CONTRERAS

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

ROBERT JOHN CONTRERAS,
Petitioner-Appellee,
v.
THOMAS LAWRENCE
CONTRERAS,
Respondent-Appellant.

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE
OF ROBERT A. CONTRERAS,
Deceased.

No. A-1-CA-36264

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

December 28, 2017

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY, Alan M. Malott,
District Judge

COUNSEL

Pregenzler Baysinger Wideman & Sale, PC, Marcy Gentry Baysinger, Albuquerque, NM,
for Appellee

Thomas Lawrence Contreras, Albuquerque, NM, Pro Se Appellant

JUDGES

JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge. WE CONCUR: HENRY M. BOHNHOFF, Judge, EMIL J.
KIEHNE, Judge

AUTHOR: JONATHAN B. SUTIN

MEMORANDUM OPINION

SUTIN, Judge.

{1} Respondent, a self-represented litigant, appeals from the district court's order disallowing his claim against the Estate, its order of ejectment, and its order releasing lien. We issued a notice of proposed summary disposition, proposing to affirm and denying Respondent's many motions. Our notice was filed on July 18, 2017. No response to our notice has been filed and the time for doing so has long expired. See Rule 12-210(D)(3) NMRA (giving parties twenty days in which to respond to a notice of proposed disposition). The failure to respond results in an abandonment of the appeal. See *State v. Salenas*, 1991-NMCA-056, ¶ 2, 112 N.M. 268, 814 P.2d 136. For the reasons set forth in our notice, we affirm.

{2} **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge

WE CONCUR:

HENRY M. BOHNHOFF, Judge

EMIL J. KIEHNE, Judge