

COHEN V. CMC CONST.

This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

MIKE COHEN,
Worker-Appellant,
v.
CMC CONSTRUCTION, INC.
OF N.M., and BUILDERS TRUST,
Employer/Insurer-Appellees.

No. 30,336

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

July 8, 2010

APPEAL FROM THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION, Terry S.
Kramer, District Judge

COUNSEL

Titus & Murphy Law Firm, Victor A. Titus, Farmington, NM, for Appellant

David L. Skinner, Albuquerque, NM, for Appellees

JUDGES

JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge. WE CONCUR: RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge,
MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge

AUTHOR: JONATHAN B. SUTIN

MEMORANDUM OPINION

SUTIN, Judge.

Summary affirmance was proposed for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed summary disposition. No memorandum opposing summary affirmance has been filed and the time for doing so has expired.

AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JONATHAN B. SUTIN, Judge

WE CONCUR:

RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge

MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge