

ORDER M-667

[Addendum]

Appeal M_9500377

Metropolitan Toronto Police Services Board

On January 16, 1996, the Metropolitan Toronto Police Services Board (the Police) responded to Provision 6 of Order M-667 by providing me with correspondence which related to the results of the search ordered in Provision 3 of that order. Having reviewed this correspondence, I find that the Police have taken an overly narrow view of the provisions of Order M-667. As a result, I am issuing this addendum to clarify Order M-667 to ensure it is properly interpreted and complied with by the Police.

The wording of Provision 3 of Order M-667 was intended to be read broadly. The provision is not limited merely to information related to the recording of the complaint, but applies to all information related to the complaint in any way, including all information related to the processing of the complaint, its investigation and any proceedings arising from the complaint.

Further to Provisions 4 and 6 of Order M-667, I order the Police to advise the appellant of the results of this search **in writing**, by **February 28, 1996**, and to provide me with a copy of this correspondence at the same time.

In the event that further records are located as a result of this search, then, further to Provisions 5 and 6 of Order M-667, I order the Police to provide the access decision to the appellant by **February 28, 1996**, and to provide me with a copy of this correspondence at the same time.

Original signed by:	January 29, 199	96
Holly Big Canoe		

Inquiry Officer