Federal Court of Appeal



Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20140910

Docket: A-271-13

Citation: 2014 FCA 197

CORAM: NADON J.A.

TRUDEL J.A. SCOTT J.A.

BETWEEN:

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Appellant

and

MICHELLE LANDRY AND JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTE-EST

Respondents

Heard at Fredericton, New Brunswick, on September 10, 2014.

Judgment delivered from the bench at Fredericton, New Brunswick, on September 10, 2014.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

TRUDEL J.A.

Federal Court of Appeal



Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20140910

Docket: A-271-13

Citation: 2014 FCA 197

CORAM: NADON J.A.

> TRUDEL J.A. SCOTT J.A.

BETWEEN:

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Appellant

and

MICHELLE LANDRY AND JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTE-EST

Respondents

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Delivered from the bench at Fredericton, New Brunswick, on September 10, 2014.)

TRUDEL J.A.

[1] Although Justice Gagné was entitled to decide the matter de novo and reach a different conclusion from that of Prothonotary Morneau (T-420-12, 2013-08-01), and despite a most remarkable presentation by the representatives of the members of the Conseil autochtone de la

Page: 2

Côte-Est, we are all of the opinion that the order of Justice Gagné allowing the appeal from the

order of Prothonotary Morneau is based on an incorrect principle of law.

[2] An analysis of the record shows that the question whether the respondents raised facts

that disclose a valid cause of action had already been decided by another judge of the Federal

Court in docket T-1692-12. Accordingly, Justice Gagné should have dismissed the appeal before

her on grounds of estoppel and, we would add, abuse of process. It is therefore unnecessary to

consider the parties' arguments regarding the treaties and titles mentioned in the respondents'

memorandum of fact and law or regarding the jurisdiction of this Court to rule on the remedies

sought by them on the merits.

[3] For these reasons, the appeal will be allowed with costs, the decision of the Federal Court

dated August 1, 2013, will be set aside, and the decision of the prothonotary dated July 17, 2012,

will be restored.

"Johanne Trudel"

J.A.

Certified true translation Erich Klein

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

SOLICITORS OF RECORD

DOCKET: A-271-13

STYLE OF CAUSE: THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF

CANADA v. MICHELLE LANDRY AND JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR PERSONAL

CAPACITIES AND AS

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTE-

EST

PLACE OF HEARING: FREDERICTON, NEW

BRUNSWICK

DATE OF HEARING: SEPTEMBER 10, 2014

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY: NADON J.A.

TRUDEL J.A. SCOTT J.A.

DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY: TRUDEL J.A.

APPEARANCES:

Edith Campbell FOR THE APPELLANT

Julien S. Matte THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF

CANADA

Michelle Landry FOR THE RESPONDENTS
Jean-Paul Landry MICHELLE LANDRY AND

JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR

PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTE-

EST

Jean-Paul Landry FOR THE RESPONDENTS

MICHELLE LANDRY AND

JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MEMBERS OF THECONSEIL AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTE-EST

SOLICITORS OF RECORD:

William F. Pentney Deputy Attorney General of Canada

Conseil autochtone de la Côte-Est Alcida, New Brunswick

Conseil autochtone de la Côte Est Laplante, New Brunswick FOR THE APPELLANT
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
CANADA

FOR THE RESPONDENTS
MICHELLE LANDRY AND
JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR
PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND
AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL
AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTEEST

FOR THE RESPONDENTS
MICHELLE LANDRY AND
JEAN-PAUL LANDRY IN THEIR
PERSONAL CAPACITIES AND
AS REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
MEMBERS OF THE CONSEIL
AUTOCHTONE DE LA CÔTEEST