Federal Court



# Cour fédérale

Date: 20120206

**Docket: IMM-3095-11** 

**Citation: 2012 FC 160** 

# [UNREVISED ENGLISH CERTIFIED TRANSLATION]

Montréal, Quebec, February 6, 2012

PRESENT: The Honourable Mr. Justice Shore

**BETWEEN:** 

# MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

**Applicant** 

and

#### EMMANUEL DUROSEAU

Respondent

### REASONS FOR JUDGMENT AND JUDGMENT

(Delivered from the bench at Montréal, Quebec, on February 6, 2012)

- [1] This is a decision with regard to the applicant's motion for an extension of time to file a supplementary memorandum.
- [2] This motion follows circumstances described by the applicant, and is opposed by the respondent.

- [3] Following an analysis of both parties' arguments, the Court rules as follows.
- [4] In his judgment in *Huot v Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*, 2010 FC 973, Justice Yvon Pinard set out the criteria for allowing an application for an extension of time.
- [5] The applicant must satisfy the Court:
  - a) that he or she had a continuing intention to pursue the application for judicial review;
  - b) that the application for judicial review deserves consideration;
  - c) that there is a reasonable explanation for the delay; and
  - d) that the extension of time will not prejudice the respondent.
- [6] The first two criteria have been met, namely, there was a continuing intention to pursue the application for judicial review and the application deserves consideration. This has been established by the fact that Justice Bédard allowed the Minister to file his application for judicial review with the Court.
- [7] According to the applicant's arguments, a supplementary memorandum is needed to better highlight the relevant errors of law that may have been committed by the court in question. These errors of law were not mentioned in the previous memorandum.

### **JUDGMENT**

For all these reasons, **THE COURT**:

**GRANTS** the applicant's motion for an extension of time;

**DECLARES** that the service and filing of the applicant's supplementary memorandum on January 30, 2012 is valid;

**ALLOWS** the respondent to serve and file a supplementary memorandum in response to the applicant's supplementary memorandum (given the circumstances of the respondent's counsel) on or before March 11, 2012.

"Michel M.J. Shore"
Judge

Certified true translation Sebastian Desbarats, Translator

### **FEDERAL COURT**

### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKETS:** IMM-3095-11

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY

AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS and

EMMANUEL DUROSEAU

PLACE OF HEARING: Montréal, Quebec

**DATE OF HEARING:** February 6, 2012

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

**AND JUDGMENT:** SHORE J.

**DELIVERED FROM THE** 

**BENCH ON:** February 6, 2012

**APPEARANCES**:

Catherine Brisebois FOR THE APPLICANT

Stéphanie Valois FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

Myles J. Kirvan FOR THE APPLICANT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada

Montréal, Quebec

Stéphanie Valois FOR THE RESPONDENT

Montréal, Quebec