

FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA (TRIAL DIVISION)

1	
2	BETWEEN: IMM-1511-96
3	SALIM SARWARI et al,
4	Applicants
5	- and -
6	THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION,
7	Respondent
8	
9	
10	Held before the Honourable Associate Chief Justice
11	Jerome in the Federal Court of Canada, Courtroom No. 7, 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, on Wednesday,
12	April 23, 1997.
13	
14 15	REASONS FOR JUDGMENT (Delivered orally from the Bench at Toronto, Ontario on April 23, 1997)
16	APPEARANCES:
17	Lorne Waldman for the Applicant
18	Kathryn Hucal for the Respondent
19	
20	Deborah Mombourquette - Registrar
21	
22	Nethercut & Company Limited
23	Official Reporters 180 Dundas Street West, Suite 2304
24	Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z8
25	Per: Sarah Nicholson, CVR.

(V)

1 2

HIS LORDSHIP: Mr. Waldman, I don't need to call you any further.

I have a couple of concerns, having examined the reasons of the Board, and where they have found that these people are refugees that they certainly did a very thorough piece of work and, therefore, the only thing that's in play here is their finding about the internal flight alternative. And I think, just to be safe, we ought to have it reconsidered by either -- and we can discuss this now -- this panel or a freshly constituted panel. Because I understand that the requirement with respect to an internal flight alternative is that they must at least personalize it for this person. And where they have left open the issue of whether this person could get to the...

First of all, they do appear to have said that he's at risk in Kabul where he taught; he can't go there. And if he doesn't go in that way, though, he can get in through some other country. This raises a question about whether he can be deported there legally; and that's a legal question.

And I think it should go back to them, therefore, on both issues about whether there really is a safe alternative and, if there is, it is appropriate



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for them to just simply assume that you can be deported to a country and to permit entry into the north when, in fact, that may be beyond their jurisdiction or may be beyond the Minister's jurisdiction to order. And, therefore, I want to do brief oral reasons on both issues. And I assume as well that when the

matter is referred back that the documentary evidence will have to be scrutinized anyway.

MR. WALDMAN: I'm not sure... My only concern about going back to the same panel is I don't know --

HIS LORDSHIP: I've never ordered that--MR. WALDMAN: -- I don't know if the same panel -- Mr. Davidson's term, he's been around for a long time and --

> HIS LORDSHIP: He may not be there? MR. WALDMAN: -- he may not be there.

HIS LORDSHIP: All right. The order that I usually make is that it just goes to a freshly constituted panel, and they certainly don't have to redo the issue of the refugee status, only whether there is an alternative and, if so, can they assume that the way into it is through another country where this person may not be legally deported.

week.



MR. WALDMAN: Thank you, my Lord.

HIS LORDSHIP: And I'll transform these into brief written reasons probably within about a

MS HUCAL: Question for certification.

I don't have one.

MR. WALDMAN: No question, my Lord.

HIS LORDSHIP: Okay, thank you.

Then I will make an endorsement that, as indicated in oral reasons, this matter should be returned to the board, not to deal with the status but to deal only with the internal flight alternative and whether it can be done in such a way as to assure that there is deportation through another country to get into a safe flight alternative. These reasons will be filed shortly.

17

12

13

14

15

16

CERTIFIED CORRECT:

18 19

Oaroh wicholson sur

20

Sarah Nicholson, CVR. Reporter.

21

22

23

24

25