

Case No.: 2006-58

Canada Labour Code
Part II
Occupational Health and Safety

Lonny E. Whyte
appellant

and

Group 4 Securicor
respondent

Decision No.: CAO-06-042
November 24, 2006

This case was decided by Appeals Officer Katia Néron.

For the Appellant

Lonny E. White, Armed Guard

For the Respondent

Doug Hamilton, Counsel
Rob Murray, Regional VP, Western Canada

Health and Safety Officer

Douglas A. Gould, Human Resources and Skills Development Canada

- [1] This case concerns an appeal made on October 5, 2006 under the *Canada Labour Code*, Part II, subsection 129(7), by Lonny E White, employee for Group 4 Securicor, against a decision of no danger rendered by Health and Safety Officer (HSO) Douglas A Gould.
- [2] The decision of no danger followed upon a refusal to work by an armed guard on September 25, 2006, who considered that working as a two man crew on a rural route with a lack of police presence in the area and not having a third person as a driver for an “additional” set of eyes, would constitutes a danger.

- [3] On November 6, 2006, Mr. White sent a letter to this Office indicating that he was withdrawing his appeal.
- [4] Considering the written request to withdraw the appeal and having reviewed the file, I accept and declare this case closed.

Katia Néron
Appeals Officer

Summary of Appeals Officer's Decision

Decision No.: CAO-06-042

Appellant: Lonny E. Whyte

Respondent: Group 4 Securicor

Key Words: Withdrawal, two man crew, police presence, third driver

Provisions: *Canada Labour Code: 129(7)*

Summary:

On October 5, 2006, Lonny E. White appealed a decision of no danger rendered by HSO Gould. On November 2, 2006, Mr. White withdrew its appeal. The case is therefore close.