

T.D. 6/96
Decision rendered on April 30, 1996

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

R.S.C., 1985, c.H-6 (as amended)

HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL

BETWEEN:

CHILLIWACK ANTI-RACISM PROJECT SOCIETY

Complainant

and

CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Commission

and

PASTOR CHARLES SCOTT AND THE
CHURCH OF CHRIST IN ISRAEL

Respondents

DECISION OF TRIBUNAL

TRIBUNAL: Anne L. Mactavish, Chairperson
Julie Pitzel
Lyman Robinson

APPEARANCES: Eddie Taylor
Counsel for the Canadian Human
Rights Commission

Maureen Chapman
Jan Pavlic
Representatives of the Complainant

No one appearing for the Respondents

DATES AND LOCATION

OF HEARING: February 29, 1996 and March 1, 1996
Vancouver, British Columbia

INDEX

I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	THE COMPLAINTS	1
III	THE RESPONDENTS	3
IV	THE ISSUES	4
V	WERE THE MESSAGES COMMUNICATED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY MEANS OF THE FACILITIES OF A TELECOMMUNICATION UNDERTAKING WITHIN THE LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY OF PARLIAMENT?	5
VI	IS THERE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH, ON A BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES, THAT THE NAMED RESPONDENTS, PASTOR CHARLES SCOTT AND THE CHURCH OF CHRIST IN ISRAEL DID COMMUNICATE OR CAUSE TO BE COMMUNICATED REPEATEDLY THE MESSAGES GIVING RISE TO THIS COMPLAINT?	6
VII	WERE THE MESSAGES COMMUNICATED LIKELY TO EXPOSE A PERSON OR PERSONS TO HATRED OR CONTEMPT BY REASON OF THE FACT THAT THAT PERSON OR THOSE PERSONS ARE IDENTIFIABLE ON THE BASIS OF A PROHIBITED GROUND OF DISCRIMINATION?	9
VIII	ORDER	19

I INTRODUCTION

This inquiry was held in Vancouver, British Columbia on February 29 and March 1, 1996. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Tribunal rendered an oral decision finding the complaints to have been substantiated, and ordering the respondents to cease the discriminatory practise.

What follows are the reasons for that decision.

II THE COMPLAINTS

These two complaints are brought by the Chilliwack Anti-Racism Project Society (the "Society") under section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act (the "CHRA").

The Society is a corporation established with the stated purpose of developing informed and collective action against prejudice and discrimination on the basis of race and ethnicity within the community. The Society was represented at these proceedings by Ms. Maureen Chapman and Ms. Jan Pavlic, both directors of the Society.

Section 13 of the CHRA provides:

13. (1) It is a discriminatory practice for a person or group of persons acting in concert to communicate telephonically or to cause to be so communicated, repeatedly, in whole or in part by means of the facilities of a telecommunication undertaking within the legislative authority of Parliament, any matter that is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the fact that that person or those persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination.

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply in respect of any matter that is communicated in

2

whole or in part by means of the facilities of a broadcasting undertaking.

(3) For the purposes of this section, no owner or operator of a telecommunication undertaking communicates or causes to be communicated any matter described in subsection (1) by reason only that the facilities of a telecommunication undertaking owned or operated by that person are used by other persons for the transmission of that matter."

Subsection 3(1) of the CHRA sets out the prescribed grounds of discrimination which include, inter alia, race, national or ethnic origin, colour and religion.

The two complaints, both dated March 15, 1995, are identical in form and in substance, save that one names Pastor Charles Scott as respondent and the other identifies the Church of Christ in Israel as respondent.

The complaints state:

We, the Chilliwack Anti-racism Project Society, have reasonable grounds to believe that Pastor Charles Scott [or the Church of Christ in Israel, as the case may be] discriminates against non-white and Jewish persons on the grounds of colour, national or ethnic origin, race, and religion, by causing to be communicated telephonic messages which expose them to hatred and contempt, contrary to section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act.

On March 1, 1995, telephone number (614) 795-5835 provided a message claiming that this country was built by white people and that minorities, non-white crime, and racial treason are ruining this nation. The speaker introduced himself as Pastor Charles Scott. The message claims that the Church of Christ in Israel is laying the groundwork for a revolution which will return power to the

3

white race. The message goes on to relay the organizations desire to wipe out Zionism and "every kike who supports it throughout the free world."

Although the March 1st message has been changed, we believe that messages with similar themes and contents are still being relayed. We believe that messages of this nature expose people to hatred and contempt which is in violation of section 13 of the Canadian Human Rights Act.

Tapes and transcripts of four messages were filed as exhibits in support of the complaints. These relate to messages recorded on March 1, March 6, March 31, and April 18, 1995. Copies of the transcripts of the messages in issue are appended to this decision.

III THE RESPONDENTS

Neither the respondent Pastor Charles Scott nor the Church of Christ in Israel appeared at the hearing of these complaints.

Mr. Bernard Fournier, the Tribunal Officer, filed statutory declarations of Shauna Wallace providing proof of service upon Charles Scott personally, and on behalf of the respondent Church of Christ in Israel of true copies of the appointment of a Human Rights Tribunal, a letter from the Registrar of the

Tribunal, Mr. Michael Glynn, outlining the pre-hearing process, the guide to the operations to the Human Rights Tribunal and the agenda for the pre-hearing conference. Service was effected on October 10, 1995. Mr. Fournier also filed a memorandum from Mr. Glynn dated October 10, 1995 recounting a telephone discussion Mr. Glynn had with Charles Scott on that date. According to Mr. Glynn's memorandum, Pastor Scott advised Mr. Glynn that he had been served that day with notification of the Tribunal proceedings.

4

Pastor Scott advised Mr. Glynn that he did not recognize or accept the role or jurisdiction of the Tribunal in this matter, and that he would not be participating in any of the Tribunal proceedings.

All further correspondence from the Tribunal Registry to the respondents was returned to the Tribunal Registry Office with the notation "moved - address unknown".

The respondents did not participate in the pre-hearing conference, and, as previously noted, did not appear at the hearing of these complaints.

The Tribunal is satisfied on the evidence that the respondent Pastor Charles Scott had notice of these complaints, and elected not to participate in the Tribunal process. For reasons that will be set out further on in this decision, the Tribunal is also satisfied that Charles Scott is directly involved in the operations of the respondent Church of Christ in Israel, and that notice to Pastor Scott was effective notice to the Church.

At the outset of the hearing, counsel for the Canadian Human Rights Commission (the "Commission") brought a motion to add Leanne Decou, the wife of Pastor Charles Scott, as a respondent. For reasons given at the time, this motion was denied.

IV THE ISSUES

As was noted in *Payzant et al. v. McAleer and Canadian Liberty Net* (T.D. 4/94, *Aff'd* F.C.T.D. Feb. 6, 1996 per Joyal J.), in proceedings under section 13 of the CHRA there are three issues that must be considered in the determination of whether or not a complaint has been substantiated:

5

1. For the legislation to apply and for this Tribunal to have jurisdiction, it must be established that the communication took place "in whole or in part by means of a telecommunication undertaking within the legislative authority of Parliament".
2. There must be sufficient evidence to establish, on a balance of probabilities, that the named Respondents ... did "communicate or cause to be communicated repeatedly" the message giving rise to this complaint.
3. It must be established that the subject matter of the message "is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the fact that the person or those persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination." (at p.5)

V WERE THE MESSAGES COMMUNICATED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY MEANS OF THE FACILITIES OF A TELECOMMUNICATION UNDERTAKING WITHIN THE LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY OF PARLIAMENT?

Mr. Gordon Mackie, the Manager of Security for the British Columbia Telephone Company ("BC Tell") testified that telephone number (604) 795-5835 was a number within the BC Tel system.

BC Tel is engaged in telecommunications and is linked to a trans-Canada network of telecommunications systems through fiber optic, microwave and satellite technology. These enable BC Tel to offer long distance service to the rest of Canada and throughout the world.

Having considered the law as established by the Supreme Court of Canada in CNICP Communications v. Alberta Government Telephone (AGT) and Canadian Radio-Television Commission, [1989] 2 S.C.R.

6

225, the Tribunal is satisfied that BC Tel has the necessary multilateral commercial links as part of the Canadian national telecommunication system so as to bring it within federal jurisdiction. This is consistent with the conclusions reached by the Tribunal in Khaki et al. v. Canadian Liberty Net and Derrick J. Peterson, (T.D. 17/93 at p.45, and by both the Tribunal and the Federal Court in Payzant, supra).

VI IS THERE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH, ON A BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES, THAT THE NAMED RESPONDENTS, PASTOR CHARLES

SCOTT AND

THE CHURCH OF CHRIST IN ISRAEL DID COMMUNICATE OR CAUSE TO BE COMMUNICATED REPEATEDLY THE MESSAGES GIVING RISE TO THIS COMPLAINT?

Ron Yamauchi and Angela Sandrin, both former employees of the Commission testified that the messages which form the subject matter of these complaints were accessed by dialing telephone number (604) 795-5835.

The March 1, 1995 message opens with:

"Hello, and welcome to the Church of Christ in Israel. This is Pastor Charles Scott. ... Please address all inquiries, donations or comments to Pastor Charles Scott, P.O. Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia V0X 2A0."

Several of the messages conclude by directing the listener to P.O. Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia V0X 2A0 for comments, inquiries or donations.

The other messages contained various references to Pastor Scott and the Church of Christ in Israel, as well as to the Aryan Nations organization and the Church of Jesus Christ Christian. The April 18th message also identifies the speaker as Pastor Charles Scott.

7

Mr. Mackie produced BC Tel's telephone records with respect to telephone number (604) 795-5835, which revealed that that number, and its associated number ((604)-795-5880) were recorded as belonging to L. Decou, at 46488 Hurndall Crescent, Chilliwack, British Columbia. The billing address for the account was P.O. Box 4144, Yarrow, British Columbia.

Freda Derksen, an employee of Canada Post employed in the Post Office in Yarrow testified with respect to the ownership of certain post office boxes. Mrs. Derksen produced the records for Box 265 (the post office box referred to in the messages). Canada Post records reveal that this box was registered to one Carrie Wheeler. Mrs. Derksen testified that she recalled receiving instructions that Ms. Wheeler would be allowing mail for Pastor Charles Scott to be received in her post office box. Sometime later, according to Mrs. Derksen, when Ms. Wheeler realized what Pastor Scott stood for and "the implications" of allowing Pastor Scott to use her box, she withdrew her permission. Mrs. Derksen was unable to pin-point when these events took place.

Mrs. Derksen also testified as to the ownership of a second post office box - that being Post Office Box 144 in Yarrow. This box was opened on April 6, 1995 in the name of Charles Scott. Canada Post records reveal that Charles Scott's business/occupation is recorded as Pastor, Church of Christ in Israel. The records also reveal that other entities would receive mail at that box, these entities being the Church of Christ in Israel, the Mission for Justice, the Aryan Nations, and Leanne Scott. Mrs. Derksen testified that while the box number was identified in Canada Post's records as 144, when Canada Post converted to super boxes, the Super Box number for Yarrow was number 4. This resulted in Box 144 becoming Box 4144. Box 4144, Yarrow is the billing address for the telephone line in question.

8

Mrs. Derksen testified that she recalled sorting mail into Box 144 for each of the entities referred to in the previous paragraph.

Mrs. Derksen further testified that she knows Pastor Charles Scott by sight, and that she had frequently seen him at the Yarrow Post Office collecting the mail from Post Office Box 144. According to Mrs. Derksen, the mail box was abandoned in the fall of 1995.

The evidence before the Tribunal clearly ties the respondent Pastor Charles Scott to the telephone line in question. The billing address for the telephone line is the post office box opened by Pastor Charles Scott on his own behalf, and on behalf of the respondent Church of Christ in Israel and others. In addition, the testimony of Mrs. Derksen ties Pastor Charles Scott to the post office box referred to in the messages (Box 265). Finally, the narrator in certain of the messages identifies himself as Pastor Charles Scott. To the Tribunal's ear, the speaker in each of the four messages which form the subject matter of this complaint was the same.

There was no evidence before this Tribunal as to the legal status of the respondent Church of Christ in Israel. whether it is a legal entity or a mere business style, the Tribunal is satisfied, based upon the circumstantial evidence before it, that it is an entity closely related to the respondent Pastor Charles Scott, and is one vehicle used by him for the expression and promotion of his views.

On all of the evidence, the Tribunal is satisfied that the respondent Pastor Charles Scott, both personally and through the auspices of the respondent Church of Christ in Israel did

9

"communicate telephonically or caused to be so communicated repeatedly" the messages which are the basis of these complaints.

VII WERE THE MESSAGES COMMUNICATED LIKELY TO EXPOSE A PERSON OR PERSONS TO HATRED OR CONTEMPT BY REASON OF THE FACT THAT THAT PERSON OR THOSE PERSONS ARE IDENTIFIABLE ON THE BASIS OF A PROHIBITED GROUND OF DISCRIMINATION?

In *Canadian Human Rights Commission v. Taylor* (July 20, 1979, (Can. Trib.) [unreported] the Tribunal considered the word "expose" in the context of section 13 of the CHRA. The Tribunal stated:

"Expose" is an unusual word to find in legislation designed to control hate propaganda. More frequently ... the reference is to matter which is abusive or offensive, or to statements which serve to excite or to promote hatred;

"Incite" means to stir up, "promote" means to support actively. "Expose" is a more passive word which seems to indicate an active effort or intent on the part of the communicator or a violent reaction on the part of the recipient are not envisaged. To expose to hatred also indicates a more subtle and indirect type of communication than vulgar abuse or overly offensive language. "Expose" means to leave a person or thing unprotected, to leave without shelter or defence, to lay open (to danger, ridicule, scier, etc.). In other words, if one is creating the right conditions for hatred to flourish, leaving the identifiable group open or vulnerable to ill feelings or hostility, if one is putting them at risk of being hated, in a situation where hatred or contempt are inevitable, one then falls within the compass of section 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act. (at p. 29)

The Supreme Court of Canada has had occasion to consider section 13 of the CHRA in the light of the protection afforded by subsection (2)(b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. In *Canada (H.R.C.) v. Taylor*, [1990] 3 S.C.R. 892, the Court concluded that while subsection 13(1) of the CHRA infringed the freedom of expression protections afforded by subsection 2(b) of the Charter, nevertheless subsection 13(1) represents a reasonable limitation on the right of freedom of expression within the meaning of section 1 of the Charter. In coming to that conclusion, the court stated:

In my view, there is no conflict between providing a meaningful interpretation of s. 13(1) and protecting the s. 2(b) freedom of expression so long as the interpretation of the words "hatred" and "contempt" is fully informed by an awareness that Parliament's objective is to protect the equality and dignity of all individuals by reducing the incidence of harm-causing expression. Such a perspective was employed by the Human Rights Tribunal in *Nealy v. Johnston* (1989), 10 C.H.R.R. D/6450, the most recent decision regarding s. 13(1), where it was noted, at p. D/6469, that:

In defining "hatred" the Tribunal [in *Taylor*] applied the definition in the Oxford English Dictionary (1971 ed.) which reads:

active dislike,
detestation, enmity,
ill-will,
malevolence.

The Tribunal drew on the same source for their definition of "contempt". It was characterised as

the condition of being condemned or despised; dishonour or disgrace.

As there is no definition of "hatred" or "contempt" within the (Canadian Human Rights Act) it is necessary to rely on what might be

described as common understandings of the meaning of these terms. Clearly these are terms which have a potentially emotive content and how they are related to particular factual contexts by different individuals will vary. There is nevertheless an important core of meaning in both, which the dictionary definitions capture. With "hatred" the focus is a set of emotions and feelings which involve extreme ill will towards another person or group of persons. To say that one "hates" another means in effect that one finds no redeeming qualities in the latter. It is a term, however, which does not necessarily involve the mental process of "looking down" on another or others. It is quite possible to "hate" someone who one feels is superior to one in intelligence, wealth or power. None of the synonyms used in the dictionary definition for "hatred" give any clues to the motivation for the ill will. "Contempt" is

by contrast a terms which suggests a mental process of "looking down" upon or treating as inferior the object of one's feelings. This is captured by the dictionary definition relied on in Taylor ... in the use of the terms "despised", "dishonour" or "disgrace". Although the Person can be "hated" (i.e. actively disliked) and treated with "contempt" (i.e. looked down upon), the terms are not fully coextensive, because "hatred" is in some instances the product of envy of superior qualities, which "contempt" by definition cannot be. [Emphasis added.]

The approach taken in Mealy gives full force and recognition to the purpose for the Canadian Human Rights Act while remaining consistent with the Charter. ...

In sum, the language employed in s. 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act extends only to that expression giving rise to the evil sought to be eradicated and provides a standard of conduct sufficiently precise to prevent the unacceptable chilling of expressive activity. Moreover, as long as the Human Rights Tribunal continues to be well aware of the purpose of s. 13(1) and pays heed to the ardent and extreme nature of

12

feeling described in the phrase "hatred or contempt", there is little danger that subjective opinion as to offensiveness will supplant the proper meaning of the section. (at.pp. 927-928)

An intent to discriminate is not a precondition to a finding of discrimination under human rights legislation (Ontario Human Rights Commission and O'Malley v. Simpsons Sears Ltd., [1985] 2 S.C.R. 536, at pp.549-40; Bhinder v. Canadian National Railway Co., [1985] 2 S.C.R. 561, at p.586). Rather, courts and tribunals will be concerned with the effect of the actions in question.

In Taylor (supra), the Supreme Court of Canada considered the fact that a finding of intent was not a prerequisite to the conclusion that there had been a violation of the CHRA. The Supreme Court concluded that the important parliamentary objective behind subsection 13(1) of the CHRA could only be achieved by ignoring intent, and that, therefore, the minimal impairment requirement of the proportionality test espoused by the Court in R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 was not transgress ed.

Two directors of the complainant Society, Ms. Maureen Chapman and Ms. Jan Pavlic, gave evidence with respect to their views of the messages in question.

Ms. Chapman has been actively involved in efforts to combat racism for some time. She testified that shortly after the respondent Pastor Charles Scott and his followers moved to Chilliwack, the city became interested in addressing concerns of racism in the community. Meetings were called which Ms. Chapman attended as a representative of the Sto:lo Nation. The result of these meetings was the formation of the complainant Society and the lodging of these complaints.

13

Ms. Chapman testified that she listened to the March 1st message, and was shocked by the arrogance of the message. She explained that as a member of a First Nation, she was deeply offended by the statements that Canada was built by white people, and that "mud people" or non-whites should be returned to their countries of origin. As Ms. Chapman pointed out, the land of her ancestors is the land Pastor Scott's ancestors immigrated to. Ms. Chapman describes having a similar reaction to the other messages. As to the effects that messages such as this have on the community, in Ms. Chapman's view, it increases the burden on those seeking to reduce the racism prevalent in society.

Jan Pavlic has also been involved in citizen activism for many years, and was also invited to attend the meeting called by the Mayor of Chilliwack. Ms. Pavlic testified that she had listened to three of the messages, (not including the March 6th message), and described her reaction to the messages as one of repulsion, outrage and anger. Ms. Pavlic described the fear that Pastor Charles Scott and his followers had generated in the community, and explained that while a number of individuals had been involved in the founding of the complainant Society, as a result of fears for their personal safety, many members were reluctant to participate in these proceedings, with only Ms. Chapman and Ms. Pavlic willing to come forward and testify.

In analyzing the messages to determine whether they constitute "matter that is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt ..." the Tribunal is assisted by the evidence and report of Dr. Gary D. Prideaux, a Professor of Linguistics at the University of Alberta. Dr. Prideaux was qualified as an expert in the fields of linguistics and discourse analysis.

14

Dr. Prideaux explained that "discourse analysis" draws upon a variety of disciplines, including linguistics, philosophy, psychology, and sociology to study language use.

Dr. Prideaux conducted an analysis of the four messages, and prepared a report which was entered as an exhibit in these proceedings (Exhibit HR5 - Tab 6).

The methodology employed by Dr. Prideaux was to examine the messages in terms of:

- (a) lexical choices, that is the choice of words used in the messages;
- (b) overt associations, which refers to the collocation of certain words with other terms intended to disparage; and
- (c) implicit associations, that is the inferences that may be drawn from the wording of the messages.

For the purposes of this decision, and with the benefit of the evidence of Ms. Chapman and Ms. Pavlic, as well as that of Dr. Prideaux, the Tribunal will examine selected portions of the messages.

The March 1st message contains the following passages:

We believe that this country was built by white people, and that minorities, non-white crime, and racial treason are ruining this nation.

15

This segment of the message clearly suggests that minorities and crimes perpetrated by people of colour are responsible for the destruction of Canada, a sentiment which would expose minorities and non-whites to resentment or hatred on the basis of their colour, religion or national or ethnic origin. This phrase is repeated in the message recorded on March 6, 1995.

In our struggle for white victory, we have travelled throughout the country staging demonstrations and rallies, speaking to interested people, defying the traitorous Jew - controlled federal bureaucracy
...

We are lying (sic) the ground work for a revolution which will return power to the white race. We support the free enterprise system, but wish to smash Jew capitalism because of its abuse and exploitation of the true working class.

These extracts are examples of what Dr. Prideaux describes as overt associations. That is, the word "Jew" is collocated with negative terms which both identify Jews as a group, and at the same time place them in a context which focuses contempt and hatred upon them. These statements were repeated in the March 6, 1995 message.

We oppose recognition of the bandit state of Israel by race traitors within our government. We wish to wipe out Zionism and every kike who supports it throughout the free world.

Similarly, in this quotation the disparaging and negative term "bandit" is attributed to the State of Israel, which is predominately populated by Jews. The term "kike" is also utilized in this quotation. "Kike" is a reference to Jews which is usually taken as a disparaging or offensive term. This is an example of what Dr. Prideaux describes as the overt use of a term

16

of contempt. Again, this statement was repeated in the March 6 message.

We demand a crime-free, white-ruled society without the daily fear of rape, robbery, and murder. All immigration from non-white nations must be stopped. Mud people should be repatriated to the lands of their ancestors.

The Tribunal finds that the reference to "mud people" is a disparaging term for people of colour. This section of the message clearly suggests that it is immigration from non-white nations that is responsible for crime in Canadian society.

Are you free today? Do you really think that you are free, my fellow Canadians, when over 40% of your money that you earn is stolen by fraud, by income tax, to support a central bureaucracy gone mad? ... When you will be jailed for exercising your Charter of Rights without some corrupt, degenerate Jew judge's permission.

Here the negative and derogatory terms "corrupt" and "degenerate" are used to modify the words "Jew judge" thereby exposing Jews to hatred and contempt by suggesting that they are both corrupt and degenerate.

The message recorded on March 6, 1995 contains the following statements:

We are laying the ground work for a revolution which will return power to the white race. We support the free enterprise system, but wish to smash Jew capitalism because of its exploitation of the true working class. Massive unemployment, the highest in our nation's history, combined with the government in Ottawa, Ontario that has no concern whatsoever for the welfare for

17

the white race, are reasons enough for a National Socialist state."

The suggestion that the government in Ottawa should be replaced with a National Socialist state, following immediately upon an earlier reference to the "traitorous Jew - controlled federal bureaucracy" evinces the extreme anti-Semitism associated with Nazi Germany, and its tragic consequences for the Jewish people. The suggestion here is that it is the Jewish controlled federal government that is responsible for Canada's woes and that this government should, therefore, be replaced by a National Socialist state.

The message recorded on March 31, 1995 contains numerous biblical references, what Dr. Prideaux describes as "pseudo-scholarship", a technique used by individuals and groups such as the respondents to give credibility to their views. The message contains the following statements:

Are we to hate the Jews since they are God's enemies? and

Who are the terrors? Is it not the devil and his children the Jews?

In identifying the Jews as God's enemies and the children of the devil, the message suggests that Jewish people are evil, thus exposing them to hatred and contempt.

The April 18, 1995 message contains the following statements:

How has our nation slipped into Bath or confusion, you wonder?
Well, that is very clear: at the hands of that old serpent,
Lucifer. ... You ask who or what is this old serpent on earth?
Well, for this answer we look to John 8:44 where Jesus said,
addressing the Jews, "Hear from your father

18

the devil and the lust of your father ye will do."

Here again, the suggestion is that Jews are the children of Lucifer or the devil, and that it is the Jews that are responsible for the confusion in our nation.

Our government has led us astray. It is important to understand how the children of Lucifer have gained this control over our country and the minds of our people. It is very simple: economics, usury, banking and guilt. Slowly but steadfast the children of Satan have dominated our financial markets and imposed the Talmudic usury system on our people.

Having previously identified the "children of Lucifer" as the Jews, this statement goes on to suggest that the Jews have gained control over the country, and are now leading us astray. Jewish people are further alluded to in this statement by the reference to "Talmudic usury system".

The children of Satan have brainwashed our people into Bath, confusion, and now seek to have all of us commit spiritual suicide through adultery to Bible law.

The suggestion here is that the children of Satan (ie: the Jews) are driving "us" (ie: white Canadians) to spiritual suicide, a sentiment which would undoubtedly expose Jews to hatred and contempt.

The foregoing references are cited by way of example. Each message contains other statements which, in the Tribunal's view, would also tend to expose Jews, people of colour, or immigrants to hatred and contempt.

19

Accordingly, this Tribunal finds that the complaints against Pastor Charles Scott and the Church of Christ in Israel have been substantiated.

VIII ORDER

As stated on March 1, 1996:

This Tribunal orders that the respondent Pastor Charles Scott, the respondent Church of Christ in Israel, and any other individuals or organizations who are members of or act in the name of or in concert with Pastor Charles Scott or the Church of Christ in Israel to cease the discriminatory practise of communicating telephonically or causing to be communicated telephonically by means of the facilities of a telecommunications undertaking within the

legislative authority of Parliament, matters of the type of complained of in this case, namely those set out in Exhibit HR5 at Tabs 1 through 4, which are incorporated by reference into this order, that is matters which are likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason that that person or those persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination, including colour, race, national or ethnic origin and religion, and to refrain from any such action in the future, anywhere within Canada.

DATED this 1st day of April, 1996.

Anne L. Mactavish

Julie Pitzel

Lyman Robinson

APPENDIX A

This is a transcript of the message played on the Church of Christ Newsreel (604-795-5835) recorded at 1 pm on March 1, 1995:

Hello and welcome to the Church of Christ newsreel. This is Pastor Charles Scott. This is a ten-minute message. You will not be able to leave a message until this message is over, so if this is a personal call, please try back. Please address all enquiries, donations or comments to Pastor Charles Scott, PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia V0X 2A0.

The Church of Christ in Israel is an organization of men, women, and volunteers who are fighting for the rights of white Canadians. We believe that this country was built by white people, and that minorities, non-white crime, and racial treason are ruining this nation. We are witnessing the virtual destruction of our white Aryan culture and heritage in every aspect of daily living. In order to combat this total disregard for white European values, we have dedicated our lives to working for white people everywhere. In our struggle for white victory, we have travelled throughout the country

staging demonstrations and rallies, speaking to interested people, defying the traitorous Jew-controlled federal bureaucracy, constantly spreading our demand for Equal Rights for whites.

We are laying [sic] the groundwork for a revolution which will return power to the white race. We support the free-enterprise system, but wish to smash Jew capitalism because of its abuse and exploitation of the true working class. Massive unemployment, the highest in our nation's history, combined with the government in Ottawa, Ontario that has no concern whatsoever for the welfare of the white race, are reasons enough for a National Socialist state.

We oppose recognition of the bandit state of Israel by race-traitors within our government. We wish to wipe out Zionism and every kike who supports it throughout the free world. We support a healthy environment, one in which white people will be able to live free of disease and chemical contamination. We demand a crime-free, white-ruled society without the daily fear of rape, robbery, and murder. All immigration from non-white nations must be stopped. Mud people should be repatriated to the lands of their ancestors. Aid to foreign countries which are Communist-dominated, or seek our destruction must be eliminated.

There exists an all-out attack on Christian values today, an all-out attack on Christians, unborn children as well. Every value for which our forefathers stood are defiled and slandered in this land. The Judeo-Christian churches act simply as obedient training centres and do not teach true Christianity.

What is behind this moral revolution? We believe the changes come about through the planned, mysterious union of the ancient

Babylonian creed with the modern, emasculated Christian religion. The result has been the civilization-destroying ideology known as Judeo-Christianity.

We are today being conditioned to accept abortion. The insistence that all women have the right to abortion on demand did not evolve from our Christian heritage and love of freedom, nor from the legitimate struggle for women's rights, but was thrust upon our society by a powerful anti-God force what [sic] hates and fears our race, our civilization, and most of all, the religion of Christ.

The first Holocaust, with its alleged mass slaughter, has been used to create a false sense of guilt in Christian nations by making them feel their Christian heritage did nothing really to keep them from committing acts of

vicious cruelty. This has been called a "sneak attack on Christianity." The abortion Holocaust is no sneak attack on Christianity, but rather a full-scale frontal assault on Western Civilization.

Are you free today? Do you really think that you are free, my fellow Canadians, when over forty percent of your money that you earn is stolen by fraud, by income tax, to support a central bureaucracy gone mad? When you can't drive on freeways or public streets without a driver's licence and vehicle registration or be thrown in jail? When you must pay ransom to the insurance company monopoly before you can drive your own vehicle on public streets or highways? When you must send your children to a government-licensed school or the state will confiscate your property, kidnap your children, or put you in jail? When your provincially-approved, tax-exempt church teaches only the one-world religion of obedience to man's government? When a nation's police are more of a threat to life, liberty, and property than the so-called common criminal. When you must ask the province for permission to marry. When you can't practice free enterprise without being regulated, licensed, and taxed by local, provincial, and federal governments. When you will be jailed for exercising your Charter of Rights without some corrupt, degenerate Jew judge's permission. When you must buy freedom movement or underground publications to learn the truth because the controlled media prints only party-line propaganda. When a province tells you when, where, and how to build on your own property and even denies you the right to modify unless you ask their permission first and regulates to whom and if you can sell? When North America has more political prisoners under lock and key than any other nation in the world and is busy building concentration camps to house even more? When the government knows every financial transaction you make, and your private banking records are made available to their prying eyes without your knowledge and consent. When our Prime Minister is more concerned about a one-world order than the sovereignty of the country that he is sworn to protect. When the government and the people have no common interests whatsoever and must live together in a state of perpetual hostility. Sounds like Canada, huh? When

2

everything you and your children will ever own is mortgaged to the world's international bankers and is collectible upon demand?

No, my dear fellow Canadians, if you think Canada is the land of the free, wake up. You're in a dream world and still believe in fairy tales.

So what are you going to do about it? Acts 22:28 say, "I was born free."

Here I stand, sword in hand
Flashing at racial domination from foreign land
Though white traitors have sold our homeland
Our race will soon die if we all don't get sword in hand
One is a martyr, ten is a group.
A thousand makes an army that would be a hell of a troop
Driving away one by one
The dark slimy matters that burns in our hearts
If we say, not today, tomorrow will be too late
So sharpen your sword, and defend your white race

This has been the Church of Christ in Israel. The last poem, by Paster Charles Scott.

If you'd like further information, you may address comments, enquiries, donations to PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia, VOX 2A0. For a five-dollar offering this month, you will receive a copy of Pastor John Bogg's sermon on tape: "Lock and Load, America." I'll end now. Joshua be with you, one and all.

This is an accurate transcript of the message.

Ron Yamauch

3

This is a transcript of the message played on the Church of Christ Newsreel (604-795-5835) recorded at 4:40 pm on March 6, 1995:

Hello and welcome to the Church of Christ Newsreel. My family and I believe that Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, wants us to convey this message to our racial kindred people. This is a ten-minute message. You won't be able to leave a message until this message is over, so if this is a personal call, please try back.

It seems that members of CORPSE, oh, I mean CARP, have found it within themselves to use the media as a sensational tool to fight me in my battle for white liberty. Yes, Mrs. Dawn Fuller, I did deliver some literature to your home. I thought it might help you to learn to understand the Israel message. I simply looked you up in the local phone book. My wife and I,

however, did not follow you, we don't have a car on the road. I loved the voice coverup on BCTV. You people conspire and slander me consistently. I really don't care. But don't try to lie about me. As you've noticed, I always claim responsibility for my actions, as I am proud to represent our struggle for white victory. You and yours may rest at ease that I view you simply as loyal members of the cult we call Canada. I feel sorry for you, dear. Only Yahweh can judge you.

Now on to some important issues.

For you sinners who believe you hide from God, there is no choice but repentance, for it is clear in Luke 8:17 that "for nothing is secret that shall not become manifest. Neither anything hid that shall not be known and come abroad."

There soon will come a day when you must face Yahweh God. Are you worthy of the inheritance promised you as a member of the white race? If not, you can repent now. Pray with me. Almighty God, our heavenly Father, Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, we desire to obey thee, O Lord God. We seek your guidance and ask that YOU come into our life and deliver us from the evil tyranny which has infected our race and our nation. We pray for the armour of thy divine protection to surround us as we engage in battle with Satan's children. We pray, for not what we deserve, but rather, for thy mercy and guidance and the resurrection of your holy body and life law, we ask this humbly in your name, Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, Amen.

We at the Church of Christ in Israel are an organization of men, women, and volunteers who are fighting for the rights of white Canadians. We believe that this country was built by white People, and that minorities, non-white crime, and racial treason are ruining this nation. We are witnessing the virtual destruction of our white Aryan culture and heritage in every aspect of daily living. In order to combat this total disregard for white European

1

values, we have dedicated our lives to working for white people everywhere. In our struggle for white victory, we have travelled throughout the country staging demonstrations and rallies, speaking to interested people, and defying the traitorous Jew-controlled federal bureaucracy, constantly spreading our demand for Equal Rights for Whites.

We are laying down the groundwork for a revolution which will return power to the white race. We support the free-enterprise system, but wish to smash Jew capitalism because of its exploitation of the true working class. Massive unemployment, the highest in our nation's history, combined with the

government in Ottawa, Ontario that has no concern whatsoever for the welfare of the white race, are reasons enough for a National Socialist state.

We oppose the recognition of the bandit state of Israel by race-traitors within our government. We wish to wipe out Zionism and every kike who supports it throughout the free world. We support a healthy environment, one in which white people will be able to live free of disease and chemical contamination. We demand a crime-free, white-ruled society without the daily fear of rape, robbery, and murder. All immigration from non-white nations must be stopped. Mud people must be repatriated to the land of their ancestry. Aid to foreign countries which are Communist-dominated, or seek our destruction must be eliminated.

Decisions have consequences, many of which are unforeseen at the time you make them. It is prudent therefore to consider what the future may hold in store for you. This could help in planning a course of action in response to what may befall you. You will then have options to choose from, rather than acting in panic. Our culture is being destroyed, what's left of it anyway. What remains of our freedom surely will not be far behind. Each of us may be forced to make critical decisions about our firearms as we witness our rights being redefined and legislated out of existence. I feel it prudent therefore to remain skeptical about the sincerity of the government's program. We spend a lot of the time talking about individual responsibility versus environmental factors causing crime, but that could be a reasonable and logical discussion and it would lead absolutely nowhere. We're not talking about logic, we're talking about propaganda, manipulation, and programming. Allan Rock isn't interested in reducing crime, he's interested in taking your guns away.

There has (sic) been so many changes in our society in the last thirty years that it's sometimes difficult to understand how we got from there to here. I often think what life was like in the Fifties and early Sixties. Even the blue collar worker could still afford to own their own house and have two cars. A person could even afford to go to college if they so desired. I look around today, and think how much things have changed, and wonder how it could have happened without a revolution.

It happened slowly, in small steps and to one segment of the population at a time. The change was incremental, but the results were profound, diminishing our very expectations of what life should be. Social issues have been used as a smokescreen for the implementation of legislation which tremendously increased the power of the government to redefine and restrict our traditional rights of free people. Will it ever stop, will the people of

this country tell the government that they've had enough? Or will we lay [sic] down and let the establishment rights - rape the rights of decent people in this country. The media have devoted themselves [sic] to creating an atmosphere of fear in sensationalizing the issue of crime. Does gun control equal crime control? The decision is something you must answer yourself. One person can't make things change, it takes all of us working together. Just like the old cliché, there is strength in numbers. So write your MP, and tell your MLA, be heard. Do what you can while you still can, before Big Brother comes knocking at your door. I've always believed it's better to have a gun and not need it, than to need a gun and not have it.

That's enough, boys and girls, for today's lesson is one you must not miss. Your parents and grandparents and great-grandparents have failed to learn the lesson, which is why we are slaves today. We are heavily armed slaves, so we become, could become, very dangerous to our masters. But only if we open our eyes, and learn the lesson our predecessors didn't.

The textbook is the Bible. But it won't work for most of you because you've sucked up to the Babylonian whore churches that most of you attend, thereby making the word of God of no effect. Most Canadians are state-of-mind Communists.

Looking now to Luke 22:36, "He answered them, that now, whoever has a purse, let him take it and likewise a bag, and that he that has no sword, let him sell his cloak and buy one." Sounds like Jesus Christ was for the armament of his people.

Address all enquiries, donations, etcetera, to PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia, V0X 2A0. That's PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia, V0X 2A0. For a five-dollar offering this month, you will receive a copy of Pastor John Bogg's sermon on tape: "Lock and Load, America."

Hail victory! Joshua be with you, one and all.

This is an accurate transcript of the message.

Ron Yamauchi

This is a transcript of the message played on the Church of Christ In Israel (604-795-5835) recorded at 10:45 am on March 31, 1995:

Hello and welcome to the Church of Christ in Israel, Canadian Aryan Nations headquarters. Address all enquiries to Pastor Charles Scott, General Delivery, PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia, V0X 2A0. That's Pastor Charles Scott, General Delivery, PO Box 265, Yarrow, BC, V0X 2A0. All international enquiries should be addressed to Aryan Nations Headquarters, Church of Jesus Christ Christian, at PO Box 362, Hayden Lake, Idaho, 83835.

This is a ten-minute message. You'll be unable to leave a message until the conclusion of this message, so if this is a personal call please try back as all personal calls are forwarded to this number when I am unavailable.

My family and I believe that Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, wants us to convey this message to our racist kindred people. The (inaudible ... "proof?") of God rests upon all of us and will not be removed until the nations repent and turn back to God and keeping His laws holy. It will be the religious leaders to a large degree who will compel all who worship the first beast to worship the first beast, beast, you don't have to go to a certain church, all you have to do is follow the Marxist authority instead of the sign of God's authority and you would be honouring that power more than God in his sight, worshipping it.

This is what's happened in Canada today. We've been led away from following Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, our Father's laws, and into following secular humanist government-created laws. Believe it or not, the Bible foretells us that the atrocities of the dark ages are to be repeated. Society is being manipulated to the degree that in the near future to receive the mark of the beast will be the popular thing to do.

And all the world wondered after the beast, and they worshipped the dragon that gave power unto the beast, which is our municipal and provincial authority, the beast being the internationalist government that's coming to power through the United Nations. And they worshipped the beast, saying who is like unto the beast who is able to make war with them -- Revelations 13, 3 and 4.

Those who dare oppose this law will be seen as rejects of society, or the worst thing you can say about a person nowadays is to call him a member of a cult or a sect. Those who oppose the mark of the beast will be seen as cultists of the worst kind. They'll be worked with by authorities, when fines and all manner of economic boycott have failed, they will be sentenced to death. Revelations 13, 15 through 17. men, women, and children from all walks of life will be fleeing for their lives and hiding in the most desolate

areas, or if caught, cast into jail to await the penalty. The war, strife, and terrible calamities [sic] of nature will be blamed on them like their saviour and millions of martyrs before them.

They'll be rejected by loved ones, mocked and looked upon as the poor fools who have brought all of this trouble on us. As those loyal to God are brought to court for their faith, the issue about God's truth, true laws, will spread around the world. The truth about, of God's fourth commandment will be seen in contrast with the counterfeit day which the images of the beast is trying to enforce by law. Now withstanding the strife, pleasure seeking and chaos of this world, all will be led either to receive the seal of God or the mark of the beast. Spirits of devils will go out to deceive the whole world. Those who make the world, or word of God their guide will not fall for this world-wide hoax. They will discover the truth about Jesus and His laws and observe it in obedience and love and gratitude even in the face of mockery and (debt?) and then when all have decided, which won't be long, the close of probation will come and Jesus pronounce the most solemn sentence.

He that is unjust, let him be unjust still and he who is filthy, let him be filthy still and he who is righteous, let him be righteous still. And he that is holy, let him be holy still. Revelations 22:11.

I think it's important to understand that we are currently living in Babylon of Revelations 18, which means that we are living under the power of the Antichrist. We are the great horde in the country, spoken about in Revelations, that will fall. O daughter of Babylon, who are to be destroyed, happy shall he be that rewardeth thee, as thou have served us. Happy shall he be that dasheth even thy little ones against the stones.

Looking now to a Bible study prepared to identify the true Israel to you and expose who these Antichrist parasites that have infected our country and our people are.

Who was the Bible written for? Read Genesis 5:1. Who did Jesus come for? Read St. Matthew 15:24. Are the Jews Israel? Read St. John 10:24 through 26. Why aren't the Jews Israel? Read St. John 8:44. Did the Jews come from Abraham? Read St. John 8:39. Were the Jews ever in bondage? Read St. John 8:33. Did Cain come from Adam? Read Genesis 3:13 through 15. Who is the serpent? Read Revelations 12:9. What came of the wicked one? Read 1 John 3:12. Is Israel at war with the Canaanite Jews? Read Genesis 3:15. What does the Bible say we are to do with these Canaanite Jews? Read Deuteronomy 7:1 through 6. Are we to hate the Jews since they are God's enemies? Read Psalm 139:19 through 22. Did God say he would call his people Israel by a

new name? Read Isaiah 68:2. What was this new name that Yahweh would call us by? Read the Acts 11:26. Would Jew Babylon be thrown down by violence? Read Revelations 18:21. Should we give heed to Jewish fables? Read Titus 1:14. Are the Jews anti-Christ? Read 2 John 7. Who is a liar? Read 1 John 2:22. Do the Jews deny that Jesus is the Christ? Read St. John 10: 25. Will there be any Canaanite Jews in the house of Yahweh of hope? Read Zachariah 14:21. What is to happen to the false preachers that teach that Jews are God's chosen? Read

Jeremiah, chapter 23. What is to happen to those who overcometh? Read Revelations 2:7. Do you know about the second mystery, the terrors among the, the weak? Read St. Matthew 13:24 through 30. Who are the terrors? Is it not the devil and his children the Jews? Read St. Matthew 13:36 through 40.

This has been the Church of Christ in Israel. Address all enquiries, donations etc. to Pastor Charles Scott, General Delivery, Yarrow, British Columbia. V0X 2A0. All international enquiries and membership enquiries should be addressed to Aryan Nations International Headquarters, at PO Box 362 Hayden Lake, Idaho. Joshua be with you. Hail his victory. You may leave a message at the tone.

This is an accurate transcript of the message.

Ron Yamauchi

This is a transcript of the message played on the Church of Christ In Israel (604-795-5835) recorded at 10:15am on April 18, 1995:

Hello and thank you for calling the Church of Christ in Israel and Canadian Aryan Nations headquarters. Address all enquiries to Pastor Charles Scott at PO Box 265, Yarrow, British Columbia, V0X 2A0. That's PO Box 265, Yarrow, BC, V0X 2A0. All international and membership enquiries should be addressed to Aryan Nations International Headquarters, The Church of Jesus Christ Christian, at PO Box 362, Hayden Lake, Idaho, 83835.

My family and I believe that Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ, wants us to convey this message to our racially kindred people.

In the news, Ottawa Reform Party MP Chuck Strall is obviously a little concerned with his slanderous action toward myself and people of my faith.

He admits he is trashing my character through his influence on the media and has used his position to paint a predisposed bias in the eyes of Mr. Max Yalden, the Chairman of the Canadian Human Rights Can... Commission. Just to straighten out the facts, again misrepresented by the Chilliwack Progress to the people. Mr. Strall called me not a "desperate breed of white supremacist" as quoted by the above-mentioned Commie media. Strall stated, quote: "he's just a despicable breed of white supremacist garbage who's offended everyone," endquote. Obviously Mr. Strall, the state of mind Communist that I believe him to be, didn't like the fact that I freely expressed my feelings in regards to the liberalized or rather liberally neutralized reform party. Furthermore, if you would like to know just how many of us white racialists voted for you fence-sitting internationalist parasites, I would have to say all of us, and I believe if you contact Wolfgang Droege of the Heritage Front, he would confirm this fact. I voted for you, Strall, and I encouraged everyone I knew to do the same. Your party the Heritage Front is security in Ontario for public speeches. Guess what? I believe everyone in the Heritage Front voted for your party as well.

I know you don't like to hear this, but it is the truth, plain and simple. I really don't feel you thrashed me by painting public opinion through childish insults. If you want to talk about slander, between you Mr. Strall, the mayor and your local hate papers, I should say I have a wonderful case of defamation of character and slander. You were too much of a coward to even return my telephone calls. You would rather go and whine to some bureaucrat. You people judge me, yet have never met me or even taken the time to find out what I truly stand for. You call me a white supremacist which anyone who knows me or has even met me knows is untrue. You sir have become a dragon, feeding your people to the serpent. Why don't you concern yourself with what you were elected to do and your ego will soon feel much better.

It appears the politically correct establishment is feeling threatened by the kingdom identity message. It is not our

2

intention to threaten people of colour or racial diversity. Rather, we wish to establish a white Christian territorial imperative, and in doing so, hope to help other racially diverse communities to establish their own cultural imperative in order to safeguard secular racial and cultural traditions, thus promoting Yahweh god's natural law, kind begets kind. The practices of our government in every way defile natural law. As I explained in my previous message, the Book of Revelations clearly states that our new Jerusalem, our promised land has slipped into the state of Bath, in Hebrew meaning "confusion." Thus we have become the great whore Babylon which is to fall, according to Revelations 18.

How has our nation slipped into Bath or confusion, you wonder? Well, that is very clear: at the hands of that old serpent, Lucifer. Yes, Revelations 12:9 tells us that the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent called the devil and Lucifer was to see that the whole world he was cast out into the earth and his angels were cast out with him. You ask who or what is this old serpent on earth? Well, for this answer we look to John 8:44, where Jesus said, addressing the Jews, "Hear from your father the devil and the lust of your father ye will do." He was a murderer from the beginning in the [sic], not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own, for he's a liar and the father of it.

Not only was it Jesus identified who Satan's children on earth are, he makes reference to the father of the Jewish race on earth, Cain, the murderer of the noble Adam, Abel, excuse me, son of Adam. Or the son of the race which has blood in their face, as Adom, or Adam in Hebrew literally means, "blood in the face." Now listen back to Genesis 5:1. This book is written for the generations of Adom, Adam. When looking to the Hebrew root word for "generations" we find the word "gene," which means "race." I've already explained that "Adom" in Hebrew means, "blood in the face." Now we see clearly that in Genesis 5:1 it states, this book is written for the race that has blood in their face. Jesus was fully aware that his people would fall into the confusion state, had today the same temptations representative of the tree of knowledge surround us.

Looking now to Romans 1:25. Instead of believing what they knew was the truth about God, they deliberately chose to believe lies, so they prayed to the things that God made but refused to acknowledge the blessed God who made the things. Does this sound like Canada today?

Our government has led us astray. It is important to understand how the children of Lucifer have gained this control over our country and the minds of our people. It is very simple: economics, usury banking and guilt. Slowly but steadfast the children of Satan have dominated our financial markets and imposed the Talmudic usury system on our people. We need only look to James 5:1 through 5 for the the answer to what will befall these

3

children of Satan and those fools who choose to worship the secular human god, money. Look here you rich men. Now is the time to cry and groan with violent grief in view of all the terrible troubles ahead of you, for the wrath of ... for your wealth is rotting away and your fine clothes are becoming moth-eaten rags. The value of your gold and silver is dropping fast, yet it will stand as evidence against you and eat your flesh like fire. That is what is stored up for you in the coming day of judgement, for listen,

hear the cries of the field workers whom you I've cheated out of pay. The cries have reached the ears of the lord of [sic]. You have spent your years here on earth having fun, satisfying your every whim and now your fat hearts are ready for the slaughter. How clear can it be? The children of Satan have brainwashed our people into Bath, confusion, and now seek to have all of us commit spiritual suicide through adultery to Bible law. Society is being manipulated to breed, and in the near future to receive the mark of the beast will be the popular thing to do.

Everyone will ... Excuse me, the mark of the beast and the seal of God are direct opposites. In the end, everyone will have one or the other. Those who choose the seal of God will be with Jesus in the great kingdom. Those who choose the mark of the beast will be cast into the lake of fire. There are two ways to learn what the mark of the beast is. Number one: ask the beast what it's mark of authority is. Number two: find what the seal of god is and then you'll know the mark of the beast is just the opposite. To receive the mark is the greatest sin against God. Those who receive it will be lost. And all the world wondered after the beast, and they worshipped the dragon which gave power unto the beast and they worshipped the beast saying, who is like unto the beast, who is able to make war with him. Revelations 13:3 and 4. Those who would dare oppose this law, the secular humanist law will be seen as rejects of society.

About the worst thing you can say about a person nowadays is to call them a member of a cult or a sect. Those who oppose the mark of the beast will be seen as cultists of the worst kind. They will be worked with by authorities when fines and all manners of economic boycott have failed they will be sentenced to death. Revelations 13:15 through 17. Men, women and children from all walks of life will be fleeing for their lives and hiding in the most desolate areas and if caught cast into jail to await their penalty. The war strife and terrible (commidities?) of nature will be blamed on them. Like the saviour and millions of martyrs before them, they will be rejected by loved ones, mocked and labelled and looked upon as poor fools who have brought all this trouble on us.

As those loyal to Yahweh god are brought to court for their faith, the issues about Yahweh Joshua, Jesus the Christ laws will spread throughout the globe. I challenge you to repent, thus return to the laws of Yahweh Joshua. Take on his seal. Arm yourself with the knowledge of truth so that the truth might set you free. Acts

22:28 states, "I was born free." Refuse to be (sheeple?) before you go to the slaughter.

I will end today with a quote from the theological mastermind, Frederick (Haverman?) from his 1930 book, Tracing our Ancestors: "A wanderer who has no recollection of where he came from has a poor chance of reaching his destination."

For Yahweh race and nation this has been Pastor Charles C. Scott. You may leave a message at the tone. Hail Joshua. Hail his victory.

This is an accurate transcript of the message.

Angela Sandrin