

**CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNAL TRIBUNAL CANADIEN DES  
DROITS DE LA PERSONNE**

**RICHARD WARMAN**

**Complainant**

**- and -**

**CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**

**Commission**

**- and -**

**TERRY TREMAINE**

**Respondent**

**RULING**

MEMBER: Michel Doucet 2006 CHRT 45  
2006/10/17

[1] Although no motion was officially filed before the Tribunal, I have decided to dispose of this matter by a formal ruling. On September 13, 2006, the Tribunal received a letter from Mr. Paul Fromm, who during the hearing had acted, with the consent of the Tribunal, as a representative for the Respondent, Terry Tremaine. In this letter, Mr. Fromm requested that the case be re-opened to allow him to make a motion requesting that the complaint of Mr. Richard Warman be dismissed "because of a poisoned environment and harassment" on him, as representative of the Respondent, Terry Tremaine.

[2] Submissions in opposition to Mr. Fromm's request were received from the Complainant and the Commission.

[3] I will not deal with the allegations contained in Mr. Fromm's letter as they are not relevant to any of the issues raised in the complaint and furthermore the parties referred to in this letter were not parties to the complaint. If I was to re-open the case as requested, I would be dealing with a totally new issue concerning new parties. I also take notice of the fact that the Respondent, Terry Tremaine, did not address the Tribunal on this matter or indicate how these events might have affected his case. I must add though that even if he had brought this matter to my attention, there would have been no justification for a re-opening of the case on the basis of those allegations. I fail to see the connection between the allegations made by Mr. Fromm in his letter and the issues that I have to deal with in my decision.

[4] For these reasons the request of Mr. Fromm's that the case be re-opened is denied.

[5] In its Reply to Mr. Fromm's letter the Commission also sought remedies from the Tribunal. In particular it sought an Order that no further motions be introduced by the

Respondent without obtaining the prior authorization from the Tribunal. Since there is no motion or evidence before the Tribunal, I see no reason to deal with this request at this time.

*Signed by*  
Michel Doucet

OTTAWA, Ontario

October

17,

2006

PARTIES OF RECORD

TRIBUNAL FILE:	T1104/8505
STYLE OF CAUSE:	Richard Warman v. Terry Tremaine
RULING OF THE TRIBUNAL DATED:	October 17, 2006
APPEARANCES:	
Richard Warman	For himself
Ikram Warsame	For the Canadian Human Rights Commission
Paul Fromm	For himself
Terry Tremaine	No submissions received