



LETTER OF DECISION

File OF-Fac-Oil-T260-2013-03 19
4 October 2017

To: All persons listed in Appendix I and Appendix II

**Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (Trans Mountain)
Trans Mountain Expansion Project Certificate OC-064
Letter of Decision on the Filed Statements of Opposition to the Proposed Detailed
Route of the Pipeline for Segment 7**

Background Information

On 19 May 2016, the National Energy Board (the Board) issued a report recommending that Governor in Council (GIC) approve the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP), subject to 157 conditions ([A77045](#)). On 29 November 2016, GIC approved the TMEP and [directed](#) the Board to issue Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity OC-064 (Certificate).

On 9 December 2016, Trans Mountain filed its draft Plan, Profile, and Book of Reference (PPBoR), sample Notices for service, and its publication plan ([A80988](#)). On 10 February 2017, the Board approved these documents ([A81698](#)).

With this approval, Trans Mountain began publishing Notices with information about the detailed route approval process in local newspapers along the pipeline route, and providing a copy of the publication Notice and the Board letter of 10 February 2017 to various Aboriginal groups. Trans Mountain noted in its 16 June 2017 letter to the Board ([A84459](#)) that the final date of publication in local newspapers was 6 June 2017. As provided in subsection 34(4) of the *National Energy Board Act* (NEB Act), persons, other than an owner of lands who anticipates that their lands may be adversely affected, had 30 days from 6 June 2017 to file a statement of opposition with the Board.

Trans Mountain was also required to provide hard copies of the relevant segmented PPBoR filings to local libraries, municipal offices and Indian Band offices, and to include details of the locations where the PPBoR filings can be viewed, in the published Notices.

.../2

Trans Mountain also began serving written Notice to landowners whose lands will be crossed by the pipeline. This Notice showed where the detailed route is proposed to cross the landowner's property and provided information on how the landowner can oppose the proposed route. Trans Mountain set out the last dates of personal service for each segment of the detailed route in its letter to the Board filed on 18 July 2017 ([A85005](#)). Landowners had 30 days from the date of personal service to file a statement of opposition with the Board.

During and following the time Trans Mountain was providing notification, landowners and persons, other than an owner of lands, who anticipates that their lands may be adversely affected were able to file with the Board a statement of opposition to the proposed detailed route in accordance with subsections 34(3) and 34(4) of the NEB Act.

As of February 2017, the Board made available on its website information about the NEB Act requirements for a statement of opposition, a template with additional instructions on the requirements for a statement of opposition, and instructions on how to file a statement of opposition. Process Advisors were available by email or phone to provide one-on-one support and answer any questions about the requirements and how to file the statement of opposition with the Board. The Process Advisors also held online tutorials about the detailed route approval process and the statement of opposition.

The Board received statements of opposition from a total of 452 interested persons for the entire TMEP detailed route.

Detailed Route Hearings for Segment 7

On 3 and 17 March 2017, Trans Mountain filed with the Board the specific sections of the PPBoR for Segment 7 ([A81972](#)) and ([A82137](#)) of the proposed TMEP pipeline route.

This Letter of Decision only considers those statements of opposition filed for Segment 7. The Board received statements of opposition from 135¹ interested persons related to tracts in Segment 7. The Board notes Trans Mountain did not file comments in relation to any of the statements of opposition filed for Segment 7.

A separate Letter of Decision on the statements of opposition for Segment 1 and Segment 2 was released on 31 August 2017 ([A85764](#)). A decision by the Board on the statements of opposition for the other segments in the TMEP detailed route process, being 3 through 6, will be issued at a later date.

¹ One statement of opposition has since been withdrawn by the landowners.

Detailed Route Hearings Granted for the City of Burnaby

On 15 September 2017, the Board issued Ruling No. 2, granting detailed route hearings to the City of Burnaby for all of the lands opposed by the City of Burnaby ([A86068](#)). Accordingly, the Board has not reconsidered the City of Burnaby's two statements of opposition in this Letter of Decision. The City of Burnaby is noted in this Letter of Decision as a matter of convenience as sections of this Letter of Decision do apply to it as a landowner or affected person granted a detailed route hearing in Segment 7.

Overview of the Statements of Opposition for Segment 7

When assessing the statements of opposition, the Board applied the criteria provided in subsections 34(3), 34(4) and 36(1) of the NEB Act. The assessment was based on the information provided in the statements of opposition. The Board considered:

- 1) if the person opposing is a landowner as defined under subsection 34(3) of the NEB Act, or a person, other than an owner of lands, who anticipates that their lands may be affected as defined under subsection 34(4) of the NEB Act;
- 2) if the opposition was about the location of the detailed route, the timing and/or the methods of construction; and
- 3) if the opposition was filed on time according to subsections 34(3) and 34(4) of the NEB Act.

Statements of Opposition that met the Requirements of the NEB Act

In relation to Segment 7, the Board determined by this Letter of Decision that 27 persons who filed statements of opposition met the requirements of the NEB Act. The Board has previously granted, to the City of Burnaby, detailed route hearings in relation to the two statements of opposition it filed, with respect to its lands in Segment 7. Therefore, the Board has granted detailed route hearings for a total of 28 persons in Segment 7.

The Board grants a detailed route hearing for each of the Landowners or Affected Persons listed below² (and also listed in Appendix I to this Letter of Decision):

- AG Hair Ltd.
- City of Burnaby
- City of Coquitlam
- City of Surrey
- Fraser Heights Community Association
- Fraser Mills Properties Ltd., Inc. No. 704848
- Habitat for Humanity Greater Vancouver
- Katzie First Nation
- King Edward Holdings Ltd. Inc. (No. BC1097093)

² Note those listings that contain a group of names are only granted one detailed route hearing, e.g., Leoran and Marion Anhorn.

- Lafarge Canada Inc.
- Ministry of Technology, Innovation and Citizens' Services (Real Property Division, Asset Management Branch)
- The Nature Trust of British Columbia
- Solid Rock Holdings Inc.
- South Coast British Columbia Transportation Authority
- Underhill Lands Ltd.
- Vesta Properties Ltd.
- Leoran and Marion Anhorn
- Holly Chan
- Steve Cook
- Karim and Anar Dossa
- Lily and Frank Fan
- Min Guo
- Reg Handford
- David John Huntley
- Lili Jia
- Claudia Leung
- Michael Radano
- Berend and Greetje Steunenberg

The Board issued a single Hearing Order on 4 October 2017, which is applicable to all the detailed route hearings for Segment 7.

You should refer to the Hearing Order, which will be provided to you, for information about your roles and responsibilities as a Landowner or Affected Person in a detailed route hearing, as well as for the steps and deadlines in the hearing process. The Hearing Order assigns an individual number for each detailed route hearing. Your individual number is also included in Appendix I of this Letter of Decision.

Being granted a detailed route hearing does not prevent you and Trans Mountain from continuing to negotiate or consult. Additional support is offered by the Board to support dialogue between a company and Landowners or Affected persons. For instance, you may be interested in the Board's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process.

An ADR process is uniquely tailored to individual needs and could take the form of a meeting between the Landowner or Affected Person, and Trans Mountain. To take advantage of ADR, both the Landowner or Affected Person, and Trans Mountain, must agree to take part. This process is voluntary and facilitated by trained Board staff, or by another neutral third party. The facilitator will assist those participating in ADR to develop a process that may help resolve issues related to the statement of opposition.

If you are interested in the Board's ADR services, a session can be scheduled at a location and time agreeable to the Landowner or Affected Person, and Trans Mountain. For more information about ADR options, please email ADR-MRD@neb-one.gc.ca or call 1-800-899-1265.

Statements of Opposition that did not meet the Requirements of the NEB Act

The Board determined that 106 statements of opposition in Segment 7 did not meet the requirements of the NEB Act. These are listed in Appendix II and were filed by:

- UniverCity
- Ambrosio
- Julieta and Nirendia
- Sarah Alexis
- Sultanally Allibhai
- Alan Au
- Grayson Barke
- James Bilous
- Janice Bobic
- Michael Bolton
- Cedric Chan
- Marian Chan
- Lisa Cheuk
- Alice Chiu
- Patrick Chiu
- Evan Clark
- Jacqueline Clark
- Maggie Constantine
- Zeljka Corak
- Mikaela Crisologo
- Andrea Csiszar
- Elsie Dean
- Walter and Gloria Dilk
- Dorothy Doherty
- Richard and Linda Donily
- Tracy Douglas
- Darren Elliott
- Peter Emlick
- Barry Ferguson
- Caitie Flanagan
- Edward Fung
- Mario Galdamez
- Alison Gauthier
- Paul Gaffney and Ana Gomez
- Julia Grandison
- Angelika Hackett
- Robert Hackett
- Kristy Hayter
- Heather Hiebert
- Pat and Roger Howard
- Victor Hu
- Jen-Ho Huang and Yu-Juei Chiang
- Bryce Hurst
- Sally and Lorne Iverson
- Jason Jackson
- Debra Jai
- Chen Kuo "Alex" Kan
- Hung Duc La
- Benson Lam
- Jacqueline Lam
- Michael Langille
- Bertrand Lau
- Wendy Lawson
- John Lee
- Shirley Fung Kuen Lee
- Victoria Lee
- Wai Ying Leung
- Vanessa Lever
- Simon Ling
- Robert Liu
- Georgiana Luk
- R. Orville Lyttle
- Patricia Janine Magson
- Jeffrey May
- Gisele McClain
- Seyed Mohammad Mottaghi
- Cassandra Munro
- Surindar and Prav Nijjar
- Caitlin Olatunbosun
- Walter Opmeer
- Trevor Pavelich
- Theresa Petelycky
- Nicholas Petrie
- Kathryn Pipke
- Lieftherios Podogiros
- Jane and Fred Popowich
- Yvon Postnikoff
- Dale Price
- Gerald Rancourt
- Siew Lian Rancourt
- Yvette Rancourt
- Val Robertson
- J.M. Ronnekleiv
- Louise Rusch
- Heather Sanford
- Geraldine Schlichter
- Geoffrey Senichenko
- Jatinder Singh Baidwan
- Anne Smith
- Graeme Smith
- Nikolai and Marina Sokolov
- Muhammad Soomro
- Shaughnessy Sturdy
- Agata Suraci
- Joanna Tai
- Calvin Taplay
- C. Edward Thompson
- Wendy Thompson
- John Van Dun
- Jackie Walker
- Ruth Walmsley
- Athena Wong
- Helena Yeung
- Erika Young
- Hao Zhang
- Ye Zhao

These statements of opposition did not meet the criteria set out in the NEB Act because they did not meet the criteria of section 34 or 36 of the NEB Act. The Board found they were:

1. lacking sufficient information for the Board to make a determination;
2. comments and information of a general nature and not specific enough to demonstrate the opposition was related to the location of the detailed route, the timing and/or the methods of construction; and/or
3. unclear about the impact of the TMEP detailed route on the lands or the interests in lands that the person who filed the statement of opposition has.

In Appendix II, the reasons explained above, have been noted next to each statement of opposition that did not meet the criteria for a detailed route hearing.

The Hearing Order issued by the Board provides an opportunity for those not granted hearings to apply to participate in the hearings that have been granted for others.

General Concerns and Themes raised in the Statements of Opposition that did not meet the Requirements of the NEB Act

The detailed route approval process takes into consideration issues related to the exact location, and methods and timing of construction of the pipeline. It does not reconsider the Board's original decision for the TMEP. As the Certificate for the TMEP has been issued, concerns related to the TMEP that fall outside of the detailed route approval process may be directed to Trans Mountain.

The Board notes that the statements of opposition listed above did not meet the criteria for a detailed route hearing; however, the statements did provide information about local and current concerns related to the TMEP. For the purposes of the Board's assessment of the statements of opposition, a statement that included the themes noted below fall under reasons #2 and #3 as to why the statement did not meet the requirements of the NEB Act for a detailed route hearing.

As part of its ongoing engagement for the TMEP, the Board encourages Trans Mountain to inform and engage with communities and residents within and immediately surrounding the TMEP corridor to provide relevant information and discuss the concerns raised in the statements of opposition.

Themes from the statements of opposition are summarized below. Included at the beginning of each of these theme sections are further comments from the Board as to why these concerns did not meet the requirements of the NEB Act for a detailed route hearing.

1) General opposition to the TMEP

As noted in the background section of this letter, the TMEP was recommended for approval by the Board and received GIC approval. The Board reminds participants to the process that the detailed route process is not an opportunity to review whether or not the TMEP should proceed. Some statements of opposition noted a general opposition to the TMEP, which cannot be reviewed through a detailed route hearing. Examples of these concerns noted in the statements of opposition include:

“I oppose the pipeline in its entirety.”

“This pipeline only serves to enrich the shareholders of Kinder Morgan Trans [Mountain] pipeline. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN!”

“I have several concerns regarding the proposed route for the Kinder Morgan Pipeline, and do not approve of its construction.”

“Clean energy is the only way to go. Oil companies are self-serving money insane companies that don’t care about our future.”

2) Potential impact to persons and property

The majority of statements of opposition referred to concerns about the TMEP’s potential impact on persons, property and lifestyle. These concerns generally included a variety of reasons for opposition, as opposed to one single reason, and focused on the TMEP’s potential indirect impact on everyday life, particularly during the construction phase. These concerns included potential effects on health, personal property, noise and traffic levels.

These concerns were general in nature. Specific concerns were not raised about the detailed route, timing and/or method of construction (#2). These statements of opposition did not show a direct link between the detailed route and the individual’s lands who filed the statement of opposition and how those lands could be adversely affected (#3). Examples of these concerns include:

“I oppose the proposed route on the grounds that the unsafe conditions created by the proposed route will adversely affect my life, my property, and my interests in the lands surrounding the proposed route.”

“I am concerned for the health of my family, given the amount of dust and pollution that will be in the air from this work.”

“I am especially concerned about the property values of my townhouse and the entire complex of 106 units.”

“I believe our property values will be negatively affected by both the construction and the fact that a potential environmental hazard will be ultimately located less than a block away.”

“We have worked hard to have our home quiet and safe and feel this is going to disturb all that.”

“We have many concerns including devaluation of our property, impact on the environment around us and worries about health impacts.”

“With construction nearby our daily commute will be severely interrupted as we have one way access only to our street that will hinder everyday travel.”

“If the pipeline is going to be built, it will effect [*sic*] our home insurance, our property value and taxes.”

3) Potential impact to the environment and one’s ability to enjoy their surroundings

Some statements of opposition raised concerns about the potential impact of the TMEP on local urban natural areas, including impacts to wildlife (e.g., salmon), as well as local residents’ ability to access and enjoy these natural areas.

These concerns are general in nature and are not sufficiently specific to meet the requirements of the NEB Act for a detailed route hearing (#2). Examples of these concerns include:

“Salmon habitats are already at risk. The proposed pipeline crosses through a salmon spawning creek.”

“...we are concerned that the local roads, parklands, forests, bodies of water and conservation areas will all suffer damage.”

“The impact on streams (may [*sic*] of which have been reclaimed for habitat) and the shrinking urban forest ecosystems will be devastating to the plants and animals that currently find habitat there.”

4) Potential for safety concerns

The potential impacts of a natural catastrophe (notably, an earthquake) on an oil pipeline were raised in a number of statements of opposition. More generally, safety concerns about the potential impacts of a pipeline incident, emergency response and evacuation were also noted. Further, the concerns noted were general in nature and not specific to the detailed route or its impacts on lands (#2 and #3). Examples of these concerns include:

“We feel that our house is not a safe place to live anymore.”

“There is too much risk in having a pipeline and oil tanks, and especially pipeline construction in this area...There is too much risk of oil spills, which are inevitable, that would run downhill through the community...”

“...we are concerned that emergency escape route could be extremely limited...”

“Any oil spill or unpredicted accidents will put the residents living in these neighborhoods at catastrophic risk.”

“Emergency evacuation and issues (very concerned in the event of a major catastrophic event I would not be able to get my children as I work on opposing sides of the pipeline).”

5) Removal of trees

Removal of trees was frequently cited as a concern in the statements of opposition. A detailed route hearing looks at the specific impacts of the detailed route, methods and/or timing of construction on the land of the person filing the statement of opposition. The Board has granted detailed route hearings to some landowners bordering certain treed areas who were able to demonstrate how the tree removal would specifically impact their lands. General concerns about tree removal in local community areas do not meet this criteria (#2). Examples of these concerns include:

“The pipeline and expanded workzone will destroy an existing green belt between our property and Lougheed Highway, and the Skytrain, acting as a buffer for noise and the unsightly highway traffic.”

“Removing the [tree] buffer and installing the pipeline within 10 m of properties will permanently affect not only the adjacent properties but all other properties in the neighbourhood.”

“Currently our neighbourhood has one playground where the kids go to play. The proposed pipeline will remove all trees behind the park and there will be a clear path/view to tanks.”

6) Interest in additional consultation and information

The Board noted that many of the concerns raised in the statements of opposition were about a lack of information being provided by Trans Mountain and thus were considered to be general in nature (#2). Several statements of opposition indicated an interest in being contacted directly by Trans Mountain. It is understandable that local residents have questions about the TMEP. The Board expects Trans Mountain to be in regular contact with communities and residents impacted by the TMEP to provide information and address questions. Examples of these concerns include:

“I am worried about the impact on the project as so far we did not receive any notifications from Kinder Morgan. The route and methods of pipeline construction and timing of the construction are all very unclear.”

“I am opposed to the Kinder Morgan pipeline, tank farm and dock expansion as they did not notify me that their pipeline route would impact me directly by their construction.”

“The proponent of this project, Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC has not provided a detailed plan of how it intends to protect this neighbourhood in the case of released emissions from its operations.”

Other Opportunities to Participate in a Detailed Route Hearing

As outlined in Hearing Orders MH-032-2017 to MH-059-2017 issued by the Board on 4 October 2017, there will be an opportunity for persons to apply to participate in any of the detailed route hearings for Segment 7 as a Commenter or Intervenor. This can be done by filing an application to participate using the Board's Application to Participate portal **before 3:00 pm Pacific Time (4:00 pm Mountain Time) on 31 October 2017**. Complete instructions are provided in section 3 of the Hearing Order. The Board will determine who will be allowed to participate as a Commenter or Intervenor in each of the detailed route hearings.

If you have any questions regarding the Hearing Order, please contact the Board's Process Advisor for this Project at TMX.ProcessHelp@neb-one.gc.ca or toll-free 1-800-899-1265.

Trans Mountain Service and Notification Requirements

The Board directs Trans Mountain to serve **by 3:00 pm Pacific Time (4:00 pm Mountain Time) on 17 October 2017** a copy of this Letter of Decision, the Hearing Order and its appendices, and its cover letter on all persons listed in Appendix I and Appendix II attached to this Letter of Decision (being Appendix II and Appendix III of the Hearing Order).

Further notification requirements, including requirements for the publication of the Notice of Public Hearing and providing information to Aboriginal groups are noted in the Hearing Order in sections 2.6 and 2.7.

If you have any questions regarding this Letter of Decision or the detailed route hearing process, please contact the Board's Process Advisor for this Project at TMX.ProcessHelp@neb-one.gc.ca or toll-free 1-800-899-1265.

Yours truly,

Original signed by

Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board

c.c. Mr. Scott Stoness, Vice President, Regulatory and Finance, Kinder Morgan Canada Inc.,
Email Regulatory@transmountain.com
Mr. Shawn H.T. Denstedt, Counsel for Kinder Morgan Canada Inc.,
Email Regulatory@transmountain.com
Ms. Terri-Lee V. Oleniuk, Counsel for Kinder Morgan Canada Inc.
Email Regulatory@transmountain.com

Attachments

Appendix I – List of Landowners and Affected Persons who have met the requirements of the NEB Act

Note that each of these listed below has a separate detailed route hearing³

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	PPBoR Sheet Number(s)	Tract Number(s)	RegDocs Number	Individual Hearing Order Number
AG Hair Ltd.		7	Affected Person	c/o Beedie Development Group 3030 Gilmore Diversion Burnaby, BC V5G 3B4	-	-	A83047	MH-032-2017
City of Burnaby ⁴		7	Landowner	c/o Ratcliff & Company Suite 500-221 West Esplanade North Vancouver, BC V7M 3J3	M002- PM03028- 002	7719	A82783	MH-033-2017
City of Coquitlam		7	Landowner	3000 Guildford Way Coquitlam, BC V3B 7N2	M002- PM025002	7605.171	A83006	MH-034-2017
City of Surrey		7	Landowner	13450 104th Ave. Surrey, BC V3T 1V8	M002- PM03022- 002	7568	A83229	MH-035-2017
Fraser Heights Community Association		7	Affected Person	11136 156A St. Surrey, BC V4N 4R4	-	-	A84821	MH-036-2017
Fraser Mills Properties Ltd., Inc. No. 704848		7	Landowner	3030 Gilmore Diversion Burnaby, BC V5G 3B4	M002- PM03027- 002	7678.01	A83009	MH-037-2017
Habitat for Humanity Greater Vancouver		7	Affected Person	8745 Government St. Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	-	-	A83095	MH-038-2017

³ Note that those listings that contain a group of names are only granted one detailed route hearing, i.e. Leoran and Marion Anhorn.

⁴ As previously noted on 15 September 2017, the Board issued Ruling No. 2, granting detailed route hearings to the City of Burnaby for all of the lands opposed by the City of Burnaby. ([A86068](#))

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	PPBoR Sheet Number(s)	Tract Number(s)	RegDocs Number	Individual Hearing Order Number
Katzie First Nation		7	Aboriginal Group	c/o Donovan & Company 804 East 21st Avenue Vancouver, BC V6B 1A1	-	-	A84343	MH-039-2017
King Edward Holdings Ltd. Inc. No. BC1097093		7	Landowner	c/o Beedie Development Group 3030 Gilmore Diversion Burnaby, BC V5G 3B4	-	-	A83048	MH-040-2017
Lafarge Canada Inc.		7	Landowner	c/o DLA Piper (Canada) LLP 666 Burrard St., Suite 2800 Vancouver, BC V6C 2Z7	M002- PM03025- 003	7620	A83067	MH-0412017
Ministry of Technology, Innovation and Citizens' Services (Real Property Division, Asset Management Branch)		7	Landowner	PO Box 9412, Stn Prov Gov Victoria, BC V8W 9V1	M002- PM03025- 001	7605.07	A83224 A82981	MH-42-2017
The Nature Trust of British Columbia		7	Landowner	260-1000 Roosevelt Cres. North Vancouver, BC V7P 3R4	M002- PM03023- 002	7473	A84302	MH-043-2017
Solid Rock Holdings Inc.		7	Landowner	c/o Lindsay Kenney LLP 1800, 401 West Georgia St. Vancouver, BC V6B 5A1	M002- PM03023- 001	7580.03	A83640	MH-044-2017
South Coast British Columbia Transportation Authority		7	Landowner	400-287 Nelson's Court New Westminster, BC V3L 0E7	M002- PM03022- 002	2845	A83832	MH-045-2017
Underhill Lands Ltd.		7	Landowner	207-4288 Lozells Ave. Burnaby, BC V5A 0C7	M002- PM03028- 004	7754	A82949	MH-046-2017
Vesta Properties Ltd.		7	Landowner	101A-9770 196A St. Langley, BC V1M 2X5	M002- PM03024- 002	7602	A83312	MH-047-2017

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	PPBoR Sheet Number(s)	Tract Number(s)	RegDocs Number	Individual Hearing Order Number
Leoran and Marion	Anhorn	7	Landowner	#300-10366 - 136A St. Surrey, BC V3T 5R3	M002-PM03022-002	7575	A82565	MH-048-2017
Holly	Chan	7	Affected Person	7995 Woodhurst Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 4C6	-	-	A82759	MH-049-2017
Steve	Cook	7	Affected Person	2108 Meadowood Park Burnaby, BC V5A 4G2	-	-	A82735	MH-050-2017
Karim and Anar	Dossa	7	Affected Person	8015 Woodhurst Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 4C6	-	-	A82733	MH-051-2017
Lily and Frank	Fan	7	Affected Person	2120 Meadowood Park Burnaby, BC V5A 4G2	-	-	A83484	MH-052-2017
Min	Guo	7	Affected Person	16337 113B Ave. Surrey, BC V4N 5A2	-	-	A83128	MH-053-2017
Reg	Handford	7	Affected Person	7999 Woodhurst Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 4C6	-	-	A82786	MH-054-2017
David John	Huntley	7	Affected Person	7341 Ridge Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 1B4	-	-	A82936	MH-055-2017
Lili	Jia	7	Affected Person	1003-8460 Granville Ave. Richmond, BC V6Y 4E7	Visible on M002-PM03024-002	7602.003	A83151	MH-056-2017
Claudia	Leung	7	Affected Person	7991 Woodhurst Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 4C6	-	-	A82776	MH-057-2017
Michael	Radano	7	Affected Person	7995 Woodhurst Dr. Burnaby, BC V5A 4C6	-	-	A82758	MH-058-2017
Berend and Greetje	Steunenberg	7	Landowner	1800, 401 West Georgia St. Vancouver, BC V6B 5A1	M002-PM03023-001	7582.011	A83642	MH-059-2017

Appendix II – List of Persons who filed a statement of opposition that did not meet the requirements of the NEB Act

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met ⁵
UniverCity		7	Affected Person	130-8960 University High Street Burnaby, BC V5A 4Y6	A83097	2
Ambrosio	-	7	Affected Person	7801 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C4	A82732	2; 3
Julieta and Nirendia	-	7	Affected Person	9975 Millburn Court Burnaby, BC V3N 4M8	A82819	2; 3
Sarah	Alexis	7	Affected Person	9051 Halston Court Burnaby, BC V3N 4H2	A83603	3
Sultanally	Allibhai	7	Affected Person	8881 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4J8	A82839	2
Alan	Au	7	Affected Person	7963 Woodhurst Close Burnaby, BC V5A 4E8	A82788	2; 3
Grayson	Barke	7	Affected Person	501-9320 University Crescent Burnaby, BC V5A 4X9	A82796	2; 3
James	Bilous	7	Affected Person	8745 Government St. #11 Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82793	3
Janice	Bobic	7	Affected Person	990 Cliff Avenue Burnaby, BC V5A 2J5	A82849	2
Michael	Bolton	7	Affected Person	7877 Meadowood Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C3	A82698	3
Cedric	Chan	7	Affected Person	2232 Windwood Place Burnaby, BC V5A4E9	A82823	2
Marian	Chan	7	Affected Person	44-900 Ashgrove Crescent Burnaby, BC V5A 4M3	A84966	1
Lisa	Cheuk	7	Affected Person	9097 Halston Court Burnaby, BC V3N 4H2	A82822	2; 3
Alice	Chiu	7	Affected Person	79-9088 Halston Court Burnaby, BC V3N 0A7	A82778	3
Patrick	Chiu	7	Affected Person	171-9133 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 0B1	A82729	3
Evan	Clark	7	Affected Person	3115 Chrisdale Avenue Burnaby, BC V5A 3N4	A82792	2

⁵ Refer to page 5 of this Letter of Decision for explanation of reasons why statements of opposition did not meet the criteria for a detailed route hearing.

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met ⁵
Jacqueline	Clark	7	Affected Person	3115 Chrisdale Avenue Burnaby, BC V5A 3N4	A82791	2
Maggie	Constantine	7	Affected Person	7685 Kentwood Street Burnaby, BC V5A 2E6	A82851	1
Zeljka	Corak	7	Affected Person	8568 Woodridge Place Burnaby, BC V5A 4B3	A82877	2; 3
Mikaela	Crisologo	7	Affected Person	5026 Manor Street Burnaby, BC V5G 1B5	A82265	2
Andrea	Csiszar	7	Affected Person	227-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A83664	3
Elsie	Dean	7	Affected Person	5285 Empire Drive Burnaby, BC V5B 1N1	A82938	2
Walter and Gloria	Dilk	7	Affected Person	4-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82827	2
Dorothy	Doherty	7	Affected Person	78-8763 Ash Grove Crescent Burnaby, BC V5A 4B8	A82787	2
Richard and Linda	Donily	7	Affected Person	7-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82886	2
Tracy	Douglas	7	Affected Person	8878 Larkfield Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4L2	A82824	2
Darren	Elliott	7	Affected Person	1-8763 Ash Grove Crescent Burnaby, BC V5A 4B8	A82754	1
Peter	Emlick	7	Affected Person	1-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82816	2
Barry	Ferguson	7	Affected Person	8951 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4J8	A82703	2
Caitie	Flanagan	7	Affected Person	3920 Pandora Street Burnaby, BC V5C 2A7	A82273	2
Edward	Fung	7	Affected Person	123-9133 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 0B1	A83072	3
Mario	Galdamez	7	Affected Person	2-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82829	2
Alison	Gauthier	7	Affected Person	108-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82798	2
Paul and Ana	Gaffney Gomez	7	Affected Person	5-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82781	2
Julia	Grandison	7	Affected Person	8326 Aspenwood Place Burnaby, BC V5A 3V3	A82779	2
Angelika	Hackett	7	Affected Person	4151 Bridgewater Crescent Burnaby, BC V3N 4M7	A82338	2

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met ⁵
Robert	Hackett	7	Affected Person	4151 Bridgewater Crescent Burnaby, BC V3N 4M7	A82354	3
Kristy	Hayter	7	Affected Person	413-9283 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 0A5	A82704	2
Heather	Hiebert	7	Affected Person	103-9155 Saturna Dr. Burnaby, BC V3J 7K1	A85201	2; 3
Pat and Roger	Howard	7	Affected Person	9864 Millbrook Lane Burnaby, BC V3N 4M9	A82825	2
Victor	Hu	7	Affected Person	7987 Woodhurst Close Burnaby, BC V5A 4E8	A82753	2
Jen-Ho and Yu-Juei	Huang Chiang	7	Affected Person	747 Elwell Street Burnaby, BC V5E 1L4	A83371	2
Bryce	Hurst	7	Affected Person	9-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82830	2
Sally and Lorne	Iverson	7	Affected Person	7950 Hunter Street Burnaby, BC V5A 2B9	A82817	2; 3
Jason	Jackson	7	Affected Person	8905 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4J8	A82797	2; 3
Debra	Jai	7	Affected Person	7833 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C4	A83637	2
Chen Kuo "Alex"	Kan	7	Affected Person	17440 103b Avenue Surrey, BC V4N 5R4	A82865	2; 3
Hung Duc	La	7	Affected Person	215-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82774	2; 3
Benson	Lam	7	Affected Person	35-9088 Halston Court Burnaby, BC V3N 0A7	A82744	2; 3
Jacqueline	Lam	7	Affected Person	2105 Meadowood Park Burnaby, BC V5A 4G1	A82812 A83094	2
Michael	Langille	7	Affected Person	23-9000 A Burnaby, BC V5A 4L8	A83502	2
Bertrand	Lau	7	Affected Person	3766 Winsford Court Burnaby, BC V5A 4Y9	A82850	2
Wendy	Lawson	7	Affected Person	8346 Vinewood Place Burnaby, BC V5A 3V6	A82795	2; 3
John	Lee	7	Affected Person	7905 Meadowood Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C1	A82837	2
Shirley Fung Kuen	Lee	7	Affected Person	70-9088 Halston Court Burnaby, BC V3N 0A7	A82918	2; 3
Victoria	Lee	7	Affected Person	3766 Winsford Court Burnaby, BC V5A 4Y9	A82852	2

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met ⁵
Wai Ying	Leung	7	Affected Person	7910 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A4E6	A82794	2
Vanessa	Lever	7	Affected Person	8575 Woodridge Place Burnaby, BC V5A 4B3	A82882	2
Simon	Ling	7	Affected Person	2124 Knightswood Place Burnaby, BC V5A 4B9	A83359	2; 3
Robert	Liu	7	Affected Person	17349 103A Avenue Surrey, BC V4N 5R3	A83096	2; 3
Georgiana	Luk	7	Affected Person	8262 Elkwood Place Burnaby, BC V5A 3V4	A82858	2
R. Orville	Lyttle	7	Affected Person	111-9584 Manchester Road Burnaby, BC V3N 4R1	A82935	2
Patricia Janine	Magson	7	Affected Person	27-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82775	2
Jeffrey	May	7	Affected Person	8863 Robins Court Burnaby, BC V5A 4K8	A82789	2
Gisele	McClain	7	Affected Person	8943 Corona Place Burnaby, BC V3J 7C6	A83320	2; 3
Seyed Mohammad	Mottaghi	7	Affected Person	1703-3980 Carrigan Court Burnaby, BC V3N 4S6	A82861	2
Cassandra	Munro	7	Affected Person	104-9847 Manchester Drive Burnaby, BC V3N 4P4	A82731	2
Surindar and Prav	Nijjar	7	Affected Person	7617 Kentwood Street Burnaby, BC V5A 2E6	A82853	2
Caitlin	Olatunbosun	7	Affected Person	111-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82743	2
Walter	Opmeer	7	Affected Person	17452 103B Avenue Surrey, BC V4N 5R4	A83230	2
Trevor	Pavelich	7	Affected Person	3862 Pentland Court Burnaby, BC V3N4L4	A82845	2; 3
Theresa	Petelycky	7	Affected Person	3862 Pentland Court Burnaby, BC V3N4L4	A82844	2; 3
Nicholas	Petrie	7	Affected Person	9518 Willowleaf Place Burnaby, BC V5A 4A5	A82784	2
Kathryn	Pipke	7	Affected Person	91-8400 Forest Grove Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4B7	A82944	2
Lieftherios	Podogiros	7	Affected Person	7869 Meadowood Close Burnaby, BC V5A 4C2	A84307	2
Jane and Fred	Popowich	7	Affected Person	119 Fifth Avenue New Westminster, BC V3L 1R3	A82209	2

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met ⁵
Yvon	Postnikoff	7	Affected Person	109-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82763	2
Dale	Price	7	Affected Person	7966 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4E6	A84462	2
Gerald	Rancourt	7	Affected Person	12-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82810	2
Siew Lian	Rancourt	7	Affected Person	12-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82700	2
Yvette	Rancourt	7	Affected Person	12-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A82699	2; 3
Val	Robertson	7	Affected Person	3822 Pentland Court Burnaby, BC V3N 4L4	A83040	2; 3
J.M.	Ronnekleiv	7	Affected Person	7959 Meadowood Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C1	A82820	2
Louise	Rusch	7	Affected Person	8525 Woodtrail Place Burnaby, BC V5A 4A9	A82840	2; 3
Heather	Sanford	7	Affected Person	8358 Vinewood Place Burnaby, BC V5A 3V6	A82777	2
Geraldine	Schlichter	7	Affected Person	216-10139 137A Street Surrey, BC V3T 4J5	A82772	2; 3
Geoffrey	Senichenko	7	Affected Person	6-8700 Forest Grove Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4C9	A82751	2
Jatinder	Singh Baidwan	7	Affected Person	7817 Meadowood Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4E4	A82694	2
Anne	Smith	7	Affected Person	15712 106 Ave Surrey, BC V4N 1K5	A82683	2
Graeme	Smith	7	Affected Person	113-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82818	2
Nikolai and Marina	Sokolov	7	Affected Person	235-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82780	2; 3
Muhammad	Soomro	7	Affected Person	16-8745 Government Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4G9	A83391	2
Shaughnessy	Sturdy	7	Affected Person	72-8763 Ash Grove Crescent Burnaby, BC V5A 4B8	A83858	2
Agata	Suraci	7	Affected Person	2073 Meadowood Park Burnaby, BC V5A 4G1	A83385	2
Joanna	Tai	7	Affected Person	7958 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4E6	A82730	1
Calvin	Taplay	7	Affected Person	8-7130 Barnet Road Burnaby, BC V5A 4S4	A82782	2; 3

First Name	Last Name	Seg.	Landowner or Affected Person	Address	RegDocs Number	Reason (#) criteria not met⁵
C. Edward	Thompson	7	Affected Person	7880 Meadowood Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4E5	A82826	2
Wendy	Thompson	7	Affected Person	8882 Larkfield Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4L2	A82785	2
John	Van Dun	7	Affected Person	8911 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 5J8	A82916	2
Jackie	Walker	7	Affected Person	4131 Bridgewater Crescent Burnaby, BC V3N 4M7	A82821	2
Ruth	Walmsley	7	Affected Person	110-4272 Albert Street Burnaby, BC V5C 2E8	A82471	2
Athena	Wong	7	Affected Person	9151 Forest Grove Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 3Z5	A83154	2
Helena	Yeung	7	Affected Person	3382 Claridge Court Burnaby, BC V5A 4T1	A82828	2
Erika	Young	7	Affected Person	202-9061 Horne Street Burnaby, BC V3N 4L2	A82773	2
Hao	Zhang	7	Affected Person	7850 Woodhurst Drive Burnaby, BC V5A 4E6	A82696	2
Ye	Zhao	7	Affected Person	10388 174 Street Surrey, BC V4N 5R4	A83498	2