

## **ATCO Gas South**

2003/2004 Gas Storage Services Agreement Placeholder Forecasts

**Cost Awards** 

## ALBERTA ENERGY AND UTILITIES BOARD

Utility Cost Order 2005-071: ATCO Gas South 2003/2004 Gas Storage Services Agreement Placeholder Forecasts Application No. 1388661 Cost Application No. 1418327

## Published by

Alberta Energy and Utilities Board 640 – 5 Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 3G4

Telephone: (403) 297-8311

Fax: (403) 297-7040

Web site: www.eub.gov.ab.ca

## Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	VIEWS OF THE BOARD – AUTHORITY TO AWARD COSTS	1
3	VIEWS OF THE BOARD - ASSESSMENT	2
4	GST	2
5	ORDER	2
ΔP	PPENDIX A – Summary of Costs Claimed and Awarded	Δ

Calgary, Alberta

ATCO Gas South 2003/2004 Gas Storage Services Agreement Placeholder Forecasts Utility Cost Order 2005-071 Application No. 2005-121 Cost Application No. 1418327

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

On February 28, 2005, the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (EUB/Board) received an application (the Application) from ATCO Gas South (AGS), requesting final approval of the forecasted placeholder amounts which had been included in the approved 2003/2004 General Rate Application (GRA) revenue requirement, in respect of the fees to be paid to ATCO Midstream (Midstream) pursuant to the Gas Storage Services Agreement (Storage Services Agreement).

The Panel assigned to deal with the Application consisted of B. T. McManus, Q.C. (Presiding Member), J. I. Douglas, FCA (Member), and M. W. Edwards (Acting Member). On November 8, 2005 the Board issued Decision 2005-121.

On September 15, 2005, a summary of the costs being claimed was circulated to interested parties. Parties were advised that any comments regarding the figures listed in the summary or the merits of the total costs claimed were to be filed by September 29, 2005. The Board did not receive any comments. Accordingly, the Board considers, for the purposes of this Cost Order, the cost process to have closed on September 29, 2005.

## 2 VIEWS OF THE BOARD – Authority to Award Costs

The Board's authority to award costs is derived from section 68 of the *Public Utilities Board Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. P-45, which states in part:

- (1) The costs of and incidental to any proceeding before the Board, except as otherwise provided for in this Act, are in the discretion of the Board, and may be fixed in any case at a sum certain or may be taxed.
- (3) The Board may order by whom or to whom any costs are to be paid, and by whom they are to be taxed and allowed.

When assessing a cost claim pursuant to section 68, the Board is guided by Part 5 of its *Rules of Practice*, AR 101/2001 and by the principles and policies expressed in Guide 31B, *Guidelines for Utility Cost Claims* (Guide 31B). Before exercising its discretion to award costs, the Board must consider the effectiveness of a participant's contribution to the process, its relevance to the issues, and whether the costs claimed are fair and reasonable in light of the scope and nature of the issues in question.

In the Board's view, the responsibility to contribute positively to the process is inherent in the choice to intervene in a proceeding. The Board expects that those who choose to participate will

EUB Utility Cost Order 2005-071 (December 15, 2005) • 1

prepare and present a position that is reasonable in light of the issues arising in the proceeding and necessary for the determination of those issues. When determining a cost award, the Board will consider if the participant acted responsibly in the proceeding and contributed to a better understanding of the issues before the Board. To the extent reasonably possible, the Board will be mindful of participants' will to co-operate with the Board and other participants to promote an efficient and cost-effective proceeding.

As the costs of a utility proceeding are generally passed on to customers, it is the Board's duty to ensure that customers receive fair value for a party's contribution. As such, the Board only approves those costs that are reasonable and directly and necessarily related to the party's participation in the proceeding.

## 3 VIEWS OF THE BOARD - Assessment

Various participants submitted cost claims totalling \$74,364.41 including actual GST of \$217.84 with respect to the Proceeding.

The Board has reviewed the costs submitted by the participants, bearing in mind the principles specified in the Board's *Scale of Costs* set out in Appendix C to Guide 31B. The Board finds that the participation of the interveners was, for the most part, effective and of assistance in reviewing the Application. The Board notes the scope and complexity of the issues before it and the extent of the examination thereof. The Board also notes that the claims for professional fees and other claims were in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Board considers the claims for fees, disbursements, and applicable GST for all participants to be reasonable as outlined in Appendix A to this Order in the total amount of \$74,364.41.

#### 4 GST

In accordance with the Board's treatment of the GST on cost awards, AGS is required to pay only that portion of the GST paid by interveners that may not be recoverable through the GST credit mechanism, accordingly where parties are eligible for a GST credit the Board has reduced this particular portion of their claim. Eligible GST approved by the Board amounts to \$217.84 as shown in column (g) of Appendix A. The GST allowed by the Board may also be charged against AGS' Hearing Cost Reserve Account.

The Board emphasizes that its treatment of the GST claimed in no way relieves participants or their lawyers and consultants from their GST obligations pursuant to the *Excise Tax Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. E-15.

#### 5 ORDER

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. ATCO Gas South shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$50,132.10, as set out in column (h) of Appendix A.
- 2. ATCO Gas South's external costs in the amount of \$24,232.31, as set out in column (h) of Appendix A, are approved.

3. ATCO Gas South shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant and intervener costs in the amount of \$74,364.41, as set out in column (h) of Appendix A.

Dated in Calgary, Alberta on this 15th day of December, 2005.

## ALBERTA ENERGY AND UTILITIES BOARD

Original Signed by Thomas McGee

Thomas McGee Board Member

## APPENDIX A – SUMMARY OF COSTS CLAIMED AND AWARDED



(Back to Table of Contents)

## **ATCO Gas South**

# 2003-2004 Gas Storage Services Agreement (1388661)

## **Summary of Total Costs Claimed and Awarded**

	Total Fees Claimed (a)	Total Expenses Claimed (b)	Total GST Claimed (c)	Total Amount Claimed (d)	Total Fees Awarded (e)	Total Expenses Awarded (f)	Total GST Awarded (g)	Total Amount Awarded (h)
APPLICANT							_	
ATCO Gas South								
Bennett Jones LLP	\$16,792.00	\$200.31	\$0.00	\$16,992.31	\$16,792.00	\$200.31	\$0.00	\$16,992.31
Mercer Human Resource Consulting	\$7,240.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,240.00	\$7,240.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,240.00
Sub-Total	\$24,032.00	\$200.31	\$0.00	\$24,232.31	\$24,032.00	\$200.31	\$0.00	\$24,232.31
INTERVENERS								
Alberta Urban Municipalities Association								
Bryan & Company	\$1,800.00	\$12.01	\$126.84	\$1,938.85	\$1,800.00	\$12.01	\$126.84	\$1,938.85
Liddle Engineering Ltd.	\$1,300.00	\$0.00	\$91.00	\$1,391.00	\$1,300.00	\$0.00	\$91.00	\$1,391.00
Sub-Total	\$3,100.00	\$12.01	\$217.84	\$3,329.85	\$3,100.00	\$12.01	\$217.84	\$3,329.85
City of Calgary								
Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer	\$27,412.50	\$117.31	\$0.00	\$27,529.81	\$27,412.50	\$117.31	\$0.00	\$27,529.81
Energy Group, Inc.	\$11,667.44	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,667.44	\$11,667.44	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Stephen Johnson	\$5,580.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,580.00	\$5,580.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,580.00
Energy Objective	\$2,025.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,025.00	\$2,025.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,025.00
Sub-Total	\$46,684.94	\$117.31	\$0.00	\$46,802.25	\$46,684.94	\$117.31	\$0.00	\$46,802.25
TOTAL INTERVENER COSTS				\$50,132.10	\$49,784.94	\$129.32	\$217.84	\$50,132.10
TOTAL INTERVENER AND APPLICANT COSTS	\$73,816.94	\$329.63	\$217.84	\$74,364.41	\$73,816.94	\$329.63	\$217.84	\$74,364.41