# Action No. 9904 00198

# IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRANDE PRAIRIE

BETWEEN:

, į

٦

#### WAYNE PENSON AND LAUREL PENSON Applicants

and

THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD DAVID LLOYD AND TALISMAN ENERGY INC. Respondents

RULING ON SPECIAL CHAMBERS APPLICATION OF THE HOUNOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.S. MOORE

June 18, 1999

Grande Prairie, Alberta

Proceedings held in the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta at the court house in Grande Prairie, Alberta Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta The Honourable J.S. Moore For the Applicant D. Carter, Q.C. For the Respondent The Andrew C.L. Sims, Q.C. Environmental Appeal Board For the Department of the Maureen A. Harquail, Ms. Environment For the Respondent Talisman Energy Alan S. Harvie, Esq. Inc. 

THE COURT: I want to thank all counsel for their important submissions, and sometimes important submissions do take a lot of paper. These did, and I joked about it this morning (about the amount of paper) but it was relevant and important and well done.

In addition to the written submissions which came in long before today, the submissions of counsel today were very helpful. It is not a very long period of time in court for the submissions of four lawyers to be properly dealt with, and if my interruptions caused some problems I apologize. But I had to try in a hurry to get to the gist of the matter.

This is not an easy matter. It is a very interesting matter. These proceedings are on tape, so it is not a secret to anyone what my concerns were. Anybody can get a transcript of these proceedings by ordering it. So I am not going to go into "bunching" reasons right now. I

1

۲,

. Y

think the reasons are self-evident.

I am awarding certiorari, and that means that the matter of costs goes back for a hearing. I will find out from counsel what else I should say about that (whether should say it be held within a certain time limit, or things of that nature).

I want it to be in "bold" or "underlined" that I do not consider this hearing a test case. My decision today is based only on the fact situation that is in front of me today and the law that applies to that fact situation. I hope that no one takes from my granting of certiorari that the Board, in its ordinary day to day hearings, will do much differently from what the Board feels it should do. In other words, I respect the prohibitive clause.

However, there are times, there are days in each of our lives, mine included, the panel's, where something seems to go wrong for a particular fact situation. And that is what I conclude regarding this particular fact situation. The total denial of costs based upon the reasons given by the panel make it patently unreasonable. And that is the test.

This decision should not be considered a precedent decision for anything.

Do I say more than just sending it back? Do I say it should be heard this year, by October 15 or something? Do I have to do anything like that?

MR. CARTER: I am not seeking any further

2

۰, ۲

4.8

3

direction.

usual?

- Time limits? Mr. Sims? What is THE COURT:
- Well customarily, I suppose, the Board MR. SIMS: would seek the party's advice as to whether they wanted an oral hearing or submissions.

That is no part of my job. THE COURT : No. You said to go back for a MR. SIMS: hearing. I think that as long as your Lordship's reasons make it clear that the exchange of submissions would be sufficient. If that is acceptable to the parties or the Board thinks it is appropriate, that would be fine. This Board holds both oral and paper hearings regularly so --Today you represent the Board, Mr. THE COURT:

Yes.

Sims, do you?

MR. SIMS:

Everybody is gathered here today. THE COURT: Although it is not part of my real job, I think my real job ended a couple of sentences ago - just because you are all here we could discuss how it might be. I could leave the room while you discuss that and let the tape recorder stop. I am just thinking of saving costs. Everyone is right here.

Let me say this. I think it is a MR. CARTER: matter that we can discuss amongst ourselves, so I am not requiring anything further from the court.

THE COURT:

#### Okay.

- MR. CARTER: And I am not insisting that the order direct an oral hearing before the Board. That is something we can discuss.
- MR. SIMS: I think the Board is adequately equipped to deal with that, My Lord. The only other issue I would like to make clear, if you would assist the Board that way, is this: costs almost always have to be dealt with by the panel that heard the case, just like a judge. So if you would direct that it go back to the panel rather than the Board?
- THE COURT: That is Mr. Sim's application. You have to respond to it.
- MR. SIMS: I don't feel strongly either way. I think you can appreciate, My Lord, that if it were to be sent back to a different panel they don't have the texture of the hearing.
- THE COURT: They would have to do a lot of extra work going through the --
- MR. SIMS: And that is very difficult to do without seeing the demeanour of witnesses and appreciating the thrust and parry of the day.
- THE COURT: Right.
- MR. CARTER: Okay.
- THE COURT: Ms. Harquail?
- MS. HARQUAIL: I have nothing, sir.
- MR. HARVIE: Nothing further, sir.
- THE COURT: Nothing for you?

4

MR. SIMS: It is simply as long as those issues are actually left open, all that I worry about is that there not be a dispute about what your order is.

5

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SIMS: And if it is open that it will go back to the Board to be set in front of a panel I would presume it will be the same panel, assuming they are all alive and well; although occasionally I have had Boards where they are not.

THE COURT: Oh, sure,

MR. CARTER: Maybe this will solve the problem. I will go on record as saying that I am not insisting directly that a different panel hear the matter. I will leave that up to the Board's decision administratively as to what members hear it, so I am not requiring any order from this court to direct a different panel. It could very well be the same panel. Is that good enough?

MR. SIMS: My friend is gracious in that. Thank

you.

THE	COURT:	Okay.	Notł	ing	further?
MR.	CARTER :	Thank	you,	sir.	

#### PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED

# CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing pages, I through 5 inclusive, are a true and faithful transcript of the proceedings taken from tape recording to the best of my skill and ability.

Dated at the City of Grande Prairie, in the Province of Alberta, this 23 of June, A.D. 1999.

andra Spice Sandra Spicer, C.S.R. (A.)

τ.

Official Court Reporter

89



# IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRANDE PRAIRIE

IN THE MATTER OF A COST DECISION OF THE ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD DATED OCTOBER 5, 1998 WITH RESPECT TO APPEAL NO. 98-005-C

# WAYNE PENSON and LAUREL PENSON

Applicant

#### AND -

### THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD, DAVID LLOYD and TALISMAN ENERGY INC.

Respondents

Before the Honourable Justice J.S. Moore, in Chambers Law Courts Building, in the City of Grande Prairie, in the Province of Alberta.

On Friday the 18th day of June, 1999.

#### ORDER

UPON THE APPLICATION of Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson for an order setting aside the costs decision of the Respondent, Environmental Appeal Board (Alberta) dated October 5, 1998 concerning Appeal No. 98-005-C;

AND UPON HAVING heard Counsel for the Applicant, Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson, Counsel for Talisman Energy Inc., Counsel for David Lloyd and Counsel for the Respondent, Environmental Appeal Board; 1. The costs decision of the Environmental Appeal Board dated October 5, 1998, concerning appeal No. 98-005-C, is hereby quashed and set aside.

Entered this day of Dec. 1999. Clerk of the Court APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT; CARTER/LOCK & HORRIGAN Per: Darryl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson ALBERTA JUSPICE

Per: Maureen Harquail Solicitors for Mr. David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

### MACLEOD DIXON

Per:

Alan Harvie Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

#### ANDREW SIMS

Per: \_

J.C.Q.B.A.

· ...

1. The costs decision of the Environmental Appeal Board dated October 5, 1998, concerning appeal No. 98-005-C, is hereby quashed and set aside.

Entered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1999.

Clerk of the Court

#### APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT;

#### **CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN**

Per:

J. Darryl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson

#### **ALBERTA JUSTICE**

Per:

Maureen Harquail Solicitors for Mr. David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

MACLEOD DDKO Per: Ala Harvie /

Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

#### ANDREW SIMS

Per: \_

1. The costs decision of the Environmental Appeal Board dated October 5, 1998, concerning appeal No. 98-005-C, is hereby quashed and set aside.

Entered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_ 1999.

J.C.Q.B.A

Clerk of the Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT;

# CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN

Per:

J. Darryl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson

# ALBERTA JUSTICE

Per:

Maureen Harquail Solicitors for Mr. David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

# MACLEOD DIXON

Per:

Alan Harvie Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

ANDREW SIMS Solicitor for the Environmental Appeal Board

No. 9904-0019

A.D. 1999

IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA

### JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRANDE PRAIRIE

IN THE MATTER OF A COST DECISION OF THE ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD DATED OCTOBER 5, 1998 WITH RESPECT TO APPEAL NO. 98-005-C.

BETWEEN

## WAYNE PENSON AND LAUREL PENSON Applicant

- and -

THE ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPAL BOARD, DAVID LLOYD and TALISMAN ENERGY INC.

Respondent

#### ORDER

## J. DARRYL CARTER, Q.C. CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN Barristers and Solicitors

200 Whitby House 9803 - 101 Avenue Grande Prairie, Alberte T8V 0X6 Phone: (780) 532-8350

File No.: 42140 JDC/lz



IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRANDE PRAIRIE

IN THE MATTER OF A COST DECISION FOR A RULING FROM SPECIAL CHAMBERS APPLICATION OF THE HOUNOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.S. MOORE.

#### WAYNE PENSON and LAUREL PENSON

Applicant

#### AND -

#### THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD, DAVID LLOYD and TALISMAN ENERGY INC.

Respondents

By way of telephone conference with the Honourable Justice J.S. Moore, in the Law Courts Building, in the City of Grande Prairie, in the Province of Alberta.

the second is to be a free card

On Thursday the 19<sup>th</sup> of August, 1999.

#### ORDER

UPON THE APPLICATION of Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson for an order determining responsibility for costs on a ruling of special chambers application of the Honourable Mr. Justice J.S. Moore heard on Friday, the 18<sup>th</sup> of June, 1999, concerning Appeal No. 98-005-C to the Environmental Appeal Board (Alberta);

AND UPON HAVING heard Counsel for the Applicant, Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson, Counsel for Talisman Energy Inc., Counsel for David Lloyd and Counsel for the Respondent, Environmental Appeal Board;

- 1. Costs of the Special Chambers Application are awarded to Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson;
- 2. Costs are fixed in the sum of two thousand, four hundred (\$2,400.00) dollars plus any reasonable disbursements with respect to the Special Chambers Application; and
- 3. Costs are to be paid equally by Talisman Energy Inc and David Lloyd.

7

1999. Reans Clerk of the Count

day of

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT;

# CARTER/LOCK & HORRIGAN

Per:

Entered this

J/Dartyl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson

ALBERTA Per: Maureen Harquail

Solicitors for Mr David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

#### MACLEOD DIXON

Per:

Alan Harvie Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

#### ANDREW SIMS

Per:

- 1. Costs of the Special Chambers Application are awarded to Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson;
- 2. Costs are fixed in the sum of two thousand, four hundred (\$2,400.00) dollars plus any reasonable disbursements with respect to the Special Chambers Application; and
- 3. Costs are to be paid equally by Talisman Energy Inc and David Lloyd.

Entered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1999.

J.C.Q.B.A.

.

Clerk of the Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT;

#### **CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN**

Per:

J. Darryl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson

#### **ALBERTA JUSTICE**

Per:

Maureen Harquail Solicitors for Mr. David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

MACLEOD DIXON Pers Jan Harvie

Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

ANDREW SIMS

Per:

- 1. Costs of the Special Chambers Application are awarded to Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson;
- 2. Costs are fixed in the sum of two thousand, four hundred (\$2,400.00) dollars plus any reasonable disbursements with respect to the Special Chambers Application; and
- 3. Costs are to be paid equally by Talisman Energy Inc and David Lloyd.

Entered this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1999.

J.C.Q.B.A.

Clerk of the Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT;

### **CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN**

Per: \_\_\_\_

J. Darryl Carter Solicitors for Wayne Penson and Laurel Penson

### **ALBERTA JUSTICE**

Per:

Maureen Harquail Solicitors for Mr. David Lloyd and Mr. Rick Ostertag (Alberta Environmental Protection)

#### MACLEOD DIXON

Per:

Alan Harvie Solicitors for Talisman Energy Inc.

ANDREW SIMS Per Solicitor for the Environmental Appeal Board

No. 9904-0019

A.D. 1999

#### IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA

#### JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF GRANDE PRAIRIE

IN THE MATTER OF A COST DECISION FOR A RULING FROM SPECIAL CHAMBERS APPLICATION OF THE HOUNOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.S. MOORE.

BETWEEN

WAYNE PENSON AND LAUREL PENSON

Applicant

- and -

THE ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPAL BOARD, DAVID LLOYD and TALISMAN ENERGY INC.

Respondent

ORDER

#### J. DARRYL CARTER, Q.C. CARTER LOCK & HORRIGAN Barristers and Solicitors

200 Whitby House 9803 - 101 Avenue Grande Prairie, Alberta T8V 0X6 Phone: (780) 532-8350

File No.: 42140 JDC/lz

