

# ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD

## Erratum

---

**Date of Decision – May 22, 2012**

**Date of Erratum – June 8, 2012**

**IN THE MATTER OF** sections 91, 92, 95 and 101 of the *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. E-12 and section 115 of the *Water Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. W-3;

**-and-**

**IN THE MATTER OF** seventy-one appeals filed with respect to *Water Act* Licence No. 00285465-00-00 issued to Matt Schultz by the Director, Central Region, Operations Division, Alberta Environment and Water.

Erratum for: *Wilkening et al. v. Director, Central Region, Operations Division, Alberta Environment and Water, re: Matt Schultz* (22 May 2012), Appeal Nos. 11-060, 064-067, 072-074, 077-096, 113-146, 151-155, 166-168, and 174-ID1 (A.E.A.B.).

Cite as: *Erratum: Wilkening et al. v. Director, Central Region, Operations Division, Alberta Environment and Water, re: Matt Schultz* (08 June 2012), Appeal Nos.

11-060, 064-067, 072-074, 077-096, 113-146, 151-155, 166-168, and 174-E  
(A.E.A.B.).

**I. REMOVE**

[37] The Licence Holder explained that a drawdown might be experienced by those Appellants whose water wells are likely in the same aquifer as the licenced well. The three Appellants who filed Statements of Concern that fall into this category are Ms. Wilkening, Mr. Frey, and Ms. Frey, but whether they will be adversely affected depends on the amount of change to their groundwater supply.

**II. REPLACE WITH**

[37] The Licence Holder explained that a drawdown might be experienced by those Appellants whose water wells are likely in the same aquifer as the licenced well. The two Appellants who filed Statements of Concern that fall into this category are Ms. Wilkening and Mr. Dan and Ms. Colene Davie, but whether they will be adversely affected depends on the amount of change to their groundwater supply.